REDACTED

# STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DG 23-xxx

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

TYLER J CULBERTSON

AND

ADAM R.M. YUSUF

August 21, 2023



# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 1 of 12

# 1 I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2	Q.	Please state your full name, business address, and position.
3	A.	My name is Tyler J Culbertson. I am the Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for
4		Liberty Utilities Service Corp. ("LUSC"), which provides service to Liberty Utilities
5		(EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty ("Liberty" or the "Company"). My
6		business address is 15 Buttrick Road, Londonderry, New Hampshire.
7	Q.	On whose behalf are you submitting this testimony?
8	A.	I am submitting testimony in this proceeding before the New Hampshire Public Utilities
9		Commission ("Commission") on behalf of Liberty.
10	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
11	A.	I graduated from the University of Iowa in 2009 with a Bachelor of Science degree in
12		Accounting, and I have held an active Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") license since
13		2012. I joined LUSC in May 2023. Prior to my employment at LUSC, I was employed by
14		DCP Midstream as the Senior Manager of Regulatory Affairs from 2015 to 2023. My
15		responsibility at DCP Midstream was to ensure company-wide compliance with the
16		economic regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and various state
17		regulatory agencies. From 2014 to 2015, I was a Senior Rate Analyst for Tallgrass
18		Energy, and from 2010 to 2014, I was a Rate Analyst for SourceGas (now Black Hills
19		Energy).

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 2 of 12

1	Q.	Please describe your duties at LUSC.
2	A.	As Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, I am primarily responsible for rates
3		regulatory affairs for Liberty and Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp.
4	Q.	Have you previously testified in regulatory proceedings before the Commission?
5	A.	Yes. I testified in a regulatory proceeding before the Commission in Docket No. DE 23-
6		044 in support of Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp.'s Default Energy Service
7		rates.
8	Q.	Mr. Yusuf, please state your full name, business address, and position.
9	А.	My name is Adam R.M. Yusuf. I am an Analyst I for Rates and Regulatory Affairs for
10		LUSC, which provides service Liberty. My business address is 15 Buttrick Road,
11		Londonderry, New Hampshire.
12	Q.	Please describe your business and educational background.
13	A.	I graduated from the University of New Hampshire, Durham, in 2009 with a Bachelor of
14		Science in Psychology with a minor in Kinesiology: Sports Studies. I received an
15		Associate Degree in Human Services from New Hampshire Technical Institute in
16		Concord, in 2014. I received a Master of Business Administration from Southern New
17		Hampshire University in 2022. I joined Liberty in April 2019, where I held positions as a
18		Customer Service Representative and Billing Representative before joining the Rates and
19		Regulatory Affairs Department.

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 3 of 12

1	Q.	Please describe your duties at LUSC.
2	A.	As Analyst I of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, I am responsible for providing rate-related
3		services for Liberty and Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp.
4	Q.	Have you testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
5		("Commission")?
6	A.	No, this is my first testimony before the Commission.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
8	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain the Company's proposed 2023/2024 Local
9		Delivery Adjustment Charge, effective November 1, 2023.
10	II.	LOCAL DELIVERY ADJUSTMENT CHARGE ("LDAC")
10 11	II. Q.	<b>LOCAL DELIVERY ADJUSTMENT CHARGE ("LDAC")</b> What is the purpose of the LDAC?
11	Q.	What is the purpose of the LDAC?
11 12	Q.	<b>What is the purpose of the LDAC?</b> As described on pages 33–34 of the Company's tariff, the purpose of the LDAC is to
11 12 13	Q.	What is the purpose of the LDAC? As described on pages 33–34 of the Company's tariff, the purpose of the LDAC is to adjust, on an annual basis, the Company's delivery charges in order to recover
11 12 13 14	Q.	What is the purpose of the LDAC? As described on pages 33–34 of the Company's tariff, the purpose of the LDAC is to adjust, on an annual basis, the Company's delivery charges in order to recover Conservation Charges ("CC") <sup>1</sup> , Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor ("RDAF"),
111 12 13 14	Q.	What is the purpose of the LDAC? As described on pages 33–34 of the Company's tariff, the purpose of the LDAC is to adjust, on an annual basis, the Company's delivery charges in order to recover Conservation Charges ("CC") <sup>1</sup> , Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor ("RDAF"), Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM"), Environmental Surcharges ("ES"), rate
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	<b>Q.</b> A.	What is the purpose of the LDAC? As described on pages 33–34 of the Company's tariff, the purpose of the LDAC is to adjust, on an annual basis, the Company's delivery charges in order to recover Conservation Charges ("CC") <sup>1</sup> , Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor ("RDAF"), Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM"), Environmental Surcharges ("ES"), rate case expenses and recoupment ("RCE"), and Gas Assistance Program costs ("GAP").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The CC only includes the Energy Efficiency Charge ("EEC"), as the Demand Side Management Charge is no longer applicable.

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 4 of 12

1		commercial/industrial customers effective November 1, 2023. In compliance with
2		HB549, the LDAC rate effective January 1, 2024, upon approval, will be \$0.2006 per
3		therm for residential customers, and \$0.0909 per therm for the commercial/industrial
4		customers.
5	Q.	Which customers are billed an LDAC?
6	A.	All Liberty customers, including those in the Keene Division, are billed an LDAC charge.
7		When calculating the LDAC for the November 1, 2023, through October 31, 2024,
8		Recovery Period, forecasted Keene therm sales of 1,445,482 are added to all other
9		Liberty therm sales forecast of 183,480,749, for a total therm sales forecast of
10		184,926,232 ("Forecasted Throughput").
11	Q.	Please explain the Energy Efficiency Charge ("EEC").
12	A.	The EEC is designed to recover the projected expenses associated with the Company's
13		energy efficiency programs for the November 2023 through October 2024 period. The
14		new legislation set funding for Energy Efficiency based on 2020 levels with subsequent
15		adjustments beginning January 1, 2023, based on the inflationary data of the most
16		recently available 3-year average of the consumer price index for wage earners (CPI-W <sup>2</sup> )
17		as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, all as
18		calculated by the New Hampshire Department of Energy. HB 549 also established a
19		mechanism to reconcile over- and under-collections that requires New Hampshire utilities
20		to submit a filing to the Commission each December in which they summarize their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.bls.gov/opub/btn/volume-3/why-does-bls-provide-both-the-cpi-w-and-cpi-u.htm#\_edn1

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 5 of 12

1		variances and propose rate changes to reconcile the differences. The Joint Utilities will
2		submit tariff amendments December 1 altering solely the gas utilities' LDAC reconciled
3		for over- and under-collections already occurred, for effect each January 1 for the
4		following year. HB549 sets the rate for residential and commercial customers for a
5		calendar year, versus the LDAC period of November through October used for other
6		LDAC components. The EEC rate is calculated using the most recently available 3-year
7		average of the consumer price index ("CPI-W") as published by the Bureau of Labor
8		Statistics of the United States Department of Labor [to account for inflation] as calculated
9		by the DOE, both in accordance with HB 549. The estimated rates for effect January 1,
10		2024, will be updated by December 1, 2023, to account for any over or under collections
11		and to incorporate the inflation adjustment in accordance with HB 549.
12		As shown on Schedule 2, the proposed EEC effective January 1, 2024, is \$0.0699 per
12 13		As shown on Schedule 2, the proposed EEC effective January 1, 2024, is \$0.0699 per therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial
13	Q.	therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial
13 14	<b>Q.</b> A.	therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial customers.
13 14 15		therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial customers. Please describe the Environmental Surcharge ("ES")?
13 14 15 16		therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial customers. Please describe the Environmental Surcharge ("ES")? The ES recovers environmental costs associated with investigation, testing, remediation,
13 14 15 16 17		therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial customers. Please describe the Environmental Surcharge ("ES")? The ES recovers environmental costs associated with investigation, testing, remediation, litigation expenses, and other liabilities relating to manufactured gas program sites,
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>		therm for residential customers and \$0.0466 per therm for commercial and industrial customers. Please describe the Environmental Surcharge ("ES")? The ES recovers environmental costs associated with investigation, testing, remediation, litigation expenses, and other liabilities relating to manufactured gas program sites, disposal sites, or other sites onto which material may have migrated, as a result of the

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 6 of 12

1		insurance and third-party claims and any recoveries or other benefits received by the
2		Company as a result. The costs submitted for recovery through the ES cost recovery
3		mechanism, as well as the third-party recoveries, are included in Schedule 3 of this filing.
4		The environmental investigation and remediation costs that underlie these expenses are
5		the result of efforts by the Company to respond to its legal obligations regarding these
6		sites, as described by Mr. Sanborn in his pre-filed direct testimony in this proceeding and
7		as outlined in the Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) site summaries included in this filing
8		under Schedule 3. Any prior period reconciliation adjustments are also incorporated into
9		the environmental surcharge rate calculation.
10	Q.	Please describe how the Company calculated the ES rate component of the LDAC
11		included in this filing.
12	Δ	The ES rate calculated annually consists of one-seventh of the net actual environmental

A. The ES rate calculated annually consists of one-seventh of the net actual environmental response costs incurred by the Company in the twelve-month period ending June 30 of each year until fully amortized over seven years. The net costs, inclusive of any insurance and third-party recoveries or other benefits, plus any prior period reconciliation is divided by the Company's Forecasted Throughput for the upcoming twelve months of November 1 through October 31. Schedule 3 provides the support and calculations that make up the MGP and Relief Holder rate components of the LDAC.

As shown on Schedule 3, page 1, overall ES rate for the period beginning November 1,
20 2023, and ending October 31, 2024, is \$0.0020 per therm. This combined rate includes

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 7 of 12

- 1 the proposed MGP rate of \$0.0018 per therm and the Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street rate of \$0.0002 per therm. 2 As described in more detail in Mr. Sanborn's testimony, the first stage of the work 3 associated with the stabilization of the holder house was completed in July 2022. Liberty 4 EnergyNorth's continuing contribution portion of the costs of the stabilization work to 5 6 the Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street in Concord, NH, is \$245,080. 7 Q. Please explain why there is a considerable decrease in in the Environmental Surcharge ("ES") compared to previous years? 8 9 The decrease in the ES rates is due to the full amortization of 2015–2016 costs which A. were a significant portion of the annual costs. Additionally, a miscalculation of the 10 amortization of remediation cost pools in the 2022/2023 filing was found during the 11 preparation of the current filing. Corrections were made in Schedule 3.3 (lines 37-44) of 12 the current filing to correct the amortization in the prior year and reverse any over 13 amortization. 14 Please explain the Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor ("RDAF"). **Q**. 15 16 A. The purpose of the RDAF is to recover or refund, on an annual basis, the difference between the Actual Base Revenue per Customer and the Benchmark Base Revenue per 17 Customer. In 2022, the Company proposed to recover \$3,733,697 in under recoveries for 18 19 the 2021–2022 RDAF year. In Order No. 26,692 the Commission ordered the Company
- 20 to remove the RDAF and gas holder costs from the October 2022 hearing in DG 22-045,

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 8 of 12

1		to be addressed in a later hearing <sup>3</sup> . As such, the Company has not begun collection of the
2		under-collection for the 2021–2022 period. At this time, the Company is requesting to
3		recover the 2021–2022 under-collection in addition to the under-collection for the 2022–
4		2023 period. The total request for recovery is \$9,175,597 (includes residential,
5		commercial and industrial). The summary of the under-collections is shown on Schedule
6		4, Page 1. The calculation of the 2021–2022 under-collection is shown on Schedule 4,
7		Page 2. The calculation of the 2022–2023 under-collection is shown on Schedule 4, Page
8		3. As shown on Schedule 4, the proposed RDAF charge is \$0.1041 per therm for
9		residential customers and \$0.0177 per therm for commercial and industrial customers.
10	Q.	Has the Company included the \$4,024,830 related to improperly refunded revenue
10 11	Q.	Has the Company included the \$4,024,830 related to improperly refunded revenue decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in
	Q.	
11	<b>Q.</b> A.	decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in
11 12		decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in this RDAF calculation?
11 12 13		decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in this RDAF calculation? No. The Company has excluded the \$4,024,830, which arises from the first two years of
11 12 13 14		decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in this RDAF calculation? No. The Company has excluded the \$4,024,830, which arises from the first two years of the decoupling mechanism (2018–2019 and 2019–2020) as this is the subject of a
11 12 13 14 15		decoupling reconciliation revenues that is the subject of Docket No. DG 22-041 in this RDAF calculation? No. The Company has excluded the \$4,024,830, which arises from the first two years of the decoupling mechanism (2018–2019 and 2019–2020) as this is the subject of a separate proceeding, Docket No. DG 22-041. The parties addressed the dispute over these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission scheduled an August 30, 2023, hearing in Docket No. DG 22-045, to rule on the 2021–2022 RDAF reconciliation and the Concord gas holder costs that were removed from the hearing in the fall of 2022.

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 9 of 12

1	Q.	Please describe the Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism ("PTAM") charge.
2	А.	The PTAM reconciles the Company's actual property tax expense incurred with the
3		revenue collected through customer rates.
4	Q.	What period is covered by the PTAM adjustment proposed in your testimony?
5	A.	The PTAM adjustment proposed here covers the period April 1, 2022, through March 31,
6		2023 ("Municipal Property Tax Year 2022").
7	Q.	Has the Company reconciled the 2022 Property Tax year?
8	A.	Yes. To calculate the total property tax variance to be collected or refunded, the
9		Company first reconciled the previous period collections for property tax year 2021. For
10		the current Recovery Period, the total actual and estimated collections is \$277,517 plus
11		interest of \$81,322, for a total under-collection of \$358,839 as shown on Schedule 5,
12		Page 2, line 20. Next, the Company summed the actual tax expense for the Municipal
13		Property Tax Year 2022 to \$11,470,227 and removed \$8,924,897 which is included in
14		base rates (see Schedule 5, Page 3). The difference between the Property Taxes Billed for
15		2022 and the approved municipal Property Taxes of \$8,924,897 set in Order No. 26,505
16		is \$2,545,330.
17		The Company is proposing to recover the property taxes in excess of what is currently in
18		rates (\$8,924,897) and the 2022 total bills (\$11,470,227), or \$2,545,330, in addition to
19		the under-collected amount of \$358,839, per above. This calculation is provided in
20		Schedule 5, Page 3. The Company is requesting to include this amount in the rate for

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 10 of 12

1		November 1, 2023, spread over 184,926,232 therms. The rate resulting from this
2		calculation is \$0.0157 per therm.
3	Q.	Did the Company include a Rate Case Expense (RCE) surcharge in this filing?
4	A.	Yes, the surcharge includes rate case expenses that previously approved for recovery per
5		the Settlement Agreement and Order No. 26,808 (April 28, 2023) where the Company
6		will be allowed to recover the amount of \$123,519.25 while forgoing recovery of
7		\$37,996.91 for a depreciation study. The information is included in Schedule 6, Page 3.
8	Q.	Does the Company anticipate full recovery of the previously allowed Rate Case
9		Expense recoupment?
10	А.	Yes. The Company expects to fully recovery all Rate Case Expense by November 1,
11		2023.
12	Q.	Has the Company included expenses that were not part of the Settlement Agreement
13		of Order No. 26,808?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	Has the Company calculated a rate associated with these expenses?
16	A.	Yes. As shown on Schedule 6, the Company is anticipating an over-recovery balance of
17		\$73,721, resulting from the overcollection of rate case expenses previously approved in
18		Order No. 26,808 (April 28, 2023) in Docket No. DG 20-105. The RCE rate of (\$0.0004)
19		per therm is determined by dividing the (\$73,721) by the estimated November 2023
20		through October 2024 sales volumes of 184,926,232 therms.

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 11 of 12

### What is the proposed Gas Assistance Program ("GAP") charge? 1 **Q**. A. As shown on Schedule 7, the proposed GAP charge is \$0.0093 per therm. It is designed 2 to recover administrative costs, revenue shortfall, and the prior period reconciliation 3 adjustment relating to this program. For the 2023/2024 winter period, the Company is 4 providing a 45% base rate and cost of gas discount, consistent with the settlement 5 agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. 26,397 (August 27, 2020) in 6 Docket No. DG 20-013. The proposed Residential Gas Assistance Program charge is 7 designed to recover \$1,715,939, of which \$1,336,221 is for the revenue shortfall resulting 8 from 6,097 projected customers receiving a 45% discount off their base and cost of gas 9 rates, and \$99,724 for the prior year reconciling adjustment. 10 III. **CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS** 11 12 Q. What are the estimated impacts of the proposed LDAC on an average heating customer's winter bill as compared to the winter rates in effect last year? 13 14 A. The detailed bill impact analysis by month is presented in Schedule 8 of this filing. Please see the table below for the total LDAC bill impact on an annual basis, for residential and 15

16 commercial customers.

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx 2023–2024 Local Distribution Adjustment Charge (LDAC) Direct Testimony of Tyler J. Culbertson and Adam R.M. Yusuf Page 12 of 12

LDAC-Bill Impacts <sup>4</sup>	Winter 2023–2024	Summer 2024	Annual Total
Residential R-3	\$57.04 or 80.7%	\$13.09 or 72.3%	\$70.13 or 79.0%
Commercial/Industrial G-41	\$3.74 or 2.0%	\$(1.24) or (3.4%)	\$2.51 or 1.1%
Commercial/Industrial G-42	\$32.15 or 1.9%	\$(15.69) or (3.6%)	\$16.46 or 0.8%
Commercial/Industrial G-52	\$23.57 or 2.0%	\$(30.05) or (3.8%)	\$(6.48) or (-0.3%)

1

# 2 Q. Is the Company providing a redlined tariff as part of the LDAC reconciliation

- 3 filing?
- 4 A. Yes, the Company is providing both a clean and redlined version of proposed tariff Page
- 5 99, 100, and 101, as part of the LDAC reconciliation filing.

# 6 IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

# 7 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

8 A. Yes, it does

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The dollar amounts in the table will identify an increase/(decrease) in the LDAC portion of a customer's bill. The percentage will represent an increase/(decrease) in the LDAC portion.

# STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DG 23-xxx

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

LUKE W. SANBORN

August 21, 2023



# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Docket No. DG 23-076 Exhibit 21 Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 1 of 8

# 1 I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2	Q.	Please state your name, job title, and job description.
3	A.	My name is Luke W. Sanborn. I am the Manager, Environment, for Liberty Utilities
4		Service Corp. ("LUSC"). I am responsible for overseeing the management, investigation,
5		and remediation of manufactured gas plant ("MGP") sites for Liberty Utilities
6		(EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty ("Liberty" or the "Company"), as well as
7		operational environmental compliance, including air and waste permitting, wetlands
8		permitting, and protection and spill response.
9	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
10	A.	I hold a Bachelor of Science in Environmental Engineering from the University of New
11		Hampshire, and a Master of Liberal Arts in Sustainability and Environmental
12		Management from the Harvard University Extension. I am a Professional Engineer
13		registered in the State of New Hampshire. I have been employed by LUSC since August
14		29, 2022, managing the investigation and remediation of Liberty's MGP sites. Prior to
15		my employment at LUSC, I had been a consultant for 17 years assisting clients with
16		various environmental compliance and environmental remediation projects. In addition, I
17		worked at a power generating facility with the responsibility of environmental
18		compliance for 1.5 years.
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
20	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the status of Liberty's site investigation and
21		remediation efforts at various MGP sites in New Hampshire, to briefly describe the

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 2 of 8

1		MGP-related activities performed by the various contractors and consultants, to discuss
2		the costs for which the Company is seeking rate recovery, and to describe the status of
3		the Company's efforts to seek reimbursement for MGP-related liabilities from third
4		parties. My testimony is intended to update the information provided by the Company in
5		prior cost of gas proceedings. The costs associated with these investigations and
6		remediation efforts and certain of the amounts recovered from third parties are included
7		in the schedules and other data prepared by Mr. Culbertson and Mr. Yusuf as part of the
8		Local Distribution Adjustment Charge ("LDAC") portion of the Company's cost of gas
9		filing.
10	II.	STATUS OF INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES
10	11,	STATUS OF INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES
11	Q.	Please briefly describe the status of each of the Company's MGP sites.
12	А.	Consistent with past practice, the description of the status of investigation and
13		remediation efforts at each site, as well as the various efforts to recover the site
14		investigation and remediation costs from third parties, are summarized in materials
15		included in the Company's filing in Schedule 3.
16	Q.	Please briefly describe the status of the Company's remediation efforts at the Lower
17		Liberty Hill site in Gilford and any significant events over the past year at that site.
18	A.	The project has been completed since December 2015. The site is stable, and the grass is
19		mowed twice a year. The Notice of Activity and Use Restriction ("AUR") was approved
20		by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services ("NHDES") and recorded
21		at the Belknap Registry of Deeds in February 2017. The groundwater wells are

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 3 of 8

3	Q.	Please briefly describe the status of the Company's remediation work at the
2		obtained from NHDES in May 2017 and renewed in 2023.
1		monitored and sampled once a year per the Groundwater Management Permit that was

4 Mancl

### Manchester MGP.

A. Groundwater monitoring is ongoing twice a year pursuant to the Groundwater
 Management Permit for this site. Two dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL)

7 monitoring and recovery wells were installed at the site in November 2022 to further

8 evaluate the potential presence of recoverable DNAPL. The next phase of DNAPL

9 monitoring and recovery is proposed to include installation of wells downgradient from

10 the site. In addition, excavation and management of MGP-impacted soil was performed

in July 2022 associated with a sinkhole repair located outside of the northeastern corner

12 of the LNG area. Pavement was removed in the vicinity of the sinkhole, and

13 approximately 12 tons of soil was excavated and shipped offsite for proper disposal.

# 14 Q. Please briefly describe the status of the Company's remediation work at the 15 Concord MGP.

A. The Company continues to move toward a remedy for the MGP-impacted "Concord Pond" site on the parcel known as Healy Park. In 2020, the City and the Company finalized an access agreement that gives Liberty access for the pre-design investigation (PDI) fieldwork, the construction of the remedy, and subsequent maintenance of the capped area after its completion. Pre-design field investigations commenced in 2021 to develop the final design of a wetland and subaqueous cap, per the Remedial Action Plan

019

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 4 of 8

1	approved by NHDES. Based on the results of the PDI, additional data collection tasks
2	are warranted the evaluate the source and nature of NAPL discharges to the pond in
3	relation to the stormwater drainage system. The additional data will be used to inform
4	the final design remedy. Construction of the design remedy is likely to occur in 2025.
5	In 2017, the Company received approval from NHDES on a near-bank sediment
6	sampling program in the Merrimack River, or Monitored Natural Recovery ("MNR").
7	This program involves annual sediment sampling for contaminants and river bathymetry
8	studies to monitor both the chemical and physical behavior of sediments that may have
9	been impacted by coal tar wastes. The plan involved five annual samplings, the last of
10	which was conducted in October 2021. NHDES accepted the results of the MNR
11	program, along with the recommendation to undergo a confirmatory sampling in October
12	2023 to determine if any additional future sample events in the river are needed.
13	As for the Gasholder site, the City of Concord and the Company jointly prepared a report
14	in 2019 that details various use options for the Gasholder site on the east side of the
15	highway, including costs for various scenarios ranging from cleaning and fortifying the
16	holder structure for public entry to the demolition of the structure. In response to
17	Liberty's communication that the gasholder needed to be demolished, as the condition of
18	the structure raised safety concerns, the City Council established a working group in
19	2020, comprised of representatives of the City Council, City Staff, Liberty, and the New
20	Hampshire Preservation Alliance ("NHPA"), and charged with exploring the viability of
21	a plan to stabilize and preserve the holder house structure.

020

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 5 of 8

1	The working group discussions resulted in a plan for the NHPA to raise funds to stabilize
2	the holder house and manage the relevant construction, and for Liberty to seek
3	Commission approval to contribute up to the estimated costs of demolition and
4	remediation beneath the holder house, as the least cost option for customers. The City,
5	the NHPA, and Liberty met with Commission Staff in February 2021 and obtained
6	Staff's preliminary support for the plan, provided Liberty can demonstrate that the
7	Company's contribution toward the stabilization of the holder house is less than the
8	estimated costs of demolition and remediation that would otherwise have been incurred.
9	In October 2021, the NHPA and Liberty signed a formal Emergency Stabilization
10	License Agreement to provide for the repairs to the holder house (the "Agreement").
11	Under the Agreement, NHPA is responsible for the engineering and construction of the
12	stabilization work. Under the Agreement, Liberty agreed to allow NHPA access to the
13	site to perform the work and to contribute one-half of the stabilization costs, capped by
14	the amount Liberty would otherwise have spent to demolish the gasholder, investigate
15	beneath the gasholder for further contamination, and remedy any contamination found.
16	That is, absent the stabilization work that NHPA completed in the summer of 2022,
17	Liberty would have incurred those demolition-related costs. The NHPA completed the
18	stabilization work in July 2022. Liberty completed the estimate to demolish the holder
19	house and investigate and remedy any contamination beneath the holder footprint in
20	December 2022 to serve as the cap of Liberty's contribution toward stabilization. The
21	New Hampshire Department of Energy supported this approach in Direct Testimony of
22	Gas Director Faisal Deen Arif submitted in June 2023.

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 6 of 8

1	In this docket, Liberty is seeking recovery of the costs Liberty contributed toward the
2	stabilization work that was performed in 2022 and is seeking approval to contribute
3	toward the further stabilization costs up to the amount of the demolition estimate.

# 4

5

Q.

Please briefly describe the status of the Company's remediation work at the Nashua

MGP site.

6 A. In May 2019, the NHDES accepted details of a cap design for the central portion of the property, and construction was planned for 2020, in conjunction with a capital paving 7 project for this property. In August 2021, the Facilities Management team started the 8 9 project at the site to remove defunct subsurface and aboveground infrastructure in preparation to pave the entire property. In fall 2022, installation was completed of an 10 engineered cap approved by the NH Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) 11 12 Waste Site Bureau over approximately one-third of the site beneath the newly paved 13 surface where old Holder #2 is situated, as a remedy for the MGP site. (It has been 14 determined that it is technically infeasible to remove the contents of the holder as a 15 critical high-pressure gas main passes through it). Soil management of excavated materials was challenging as MGP waste and asbestos-containing soil exist onsite, further 16 17 complicated by an NHDES-permitted stormwater handling system installation and the installation of a new impoundment for the propane day tank. Installation of these 18 improvements were also completed in fall 2022. 19

20

> Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 7 of 8

1	Q.	What other MGP investigation and remediation activity has the Company
2		undertaken in the last year?
3	A.	No other MGP investigation and remediation activity has occurred in the last year.
4	III.	STATUS OF INSURANCE COVERAGE LITIGATION
5	Q.	Have there been any recent significant developments in the Company's efforts to
6		seek contribution from its insurance carriers in the past year?
7	A.	No. Insurance recovery efforts are complete with respect to all the Company's former
8		MGP sites.
9	Q.	What environmental remediation efforts do you anticipate for the remainder of
10		2023 and 2024?
11	A.	At the Manchester MGP site, the Company will continue remediation of localized areas
12		of contamination on-site as well as install additional NAPL recovery and monitoring
13		wells downgradient from the site. Discussions will continue with the City regarding
14		storm drain improvements for a deteriorated drainage pipe along the western boundary of
15		the property. This work will be coordinated with the design for the railroad overpass that
16		is planned by the City as part of the "RAISE Manchester" project. At the Concord Pond
17		site, the Company will conduct additional investigation and assessment activities, and
18		continue to develop the final design of a subaqueous cap. Construction of the remedy is
19		estimated to occur in 2025. The monitoring of near-bank sediments will resume in
20		October 2023 per the NHDES-approved Monitored Natural Recovery plan. At the
21		Nashua MGP site and the Concord MGP site, activities are expected to consist of

023

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 23-xxx Winter 2023–2024 Cost of Gas and Summer 2024 Cost of Gas Direct Testimony of Luke W. Sanborn Page 8 of 8

- 1 groundwater monitoring. All sites are also now in the monitoring phase, so groundwater
- 2 monitoring will occur at all of them under their respective Groundwater Management
- 3 Permits.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

# Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty November 2023 – October 2024 LDAC <u>Factor Summary (EnergyNorth & Keene Service Area)</u> Table of Schedules

Summary of LDAC Rate Components	Schedule 1
Energy Efficiency Charge	Schedule 2
Environmental Surcharge	Schedule 3
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor	Schedule 4
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism	Schedule 5
Rate Case Expense Factor	Schedule 6
Gas Assistance Program	Schedule 7
Bill Impact	Schedule 8
Tariff Page 99	Tariff Page 99
Tariff Page 100	Tariff Page 100
Tariff Page 101	Tariff Page 101

Schedule 1 LDAC Summary Page 1 of 1

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Factor Summary (Energy/North & Keene Service Area) (S/Therm)

φ/	'	110	 ıı)	

Line		Energy Efficiency Charge <sup>1</sup>	Demand Side Management Charge	Conservation Charge	Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH <sup>2</sup>	Manufactured Gas Plants	Environmental Surcharge	Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor <sup>2</sup>	Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism	Rate Case Expense Factor	Gas Assistance Program	Total
No.	Rate Schedule			(CCx)			(ES)	(RDAF)	(PTAM)	(RCEF)	(GAP)	(LDAC) <sup>3</sup>
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(K)
	Reference	Schedule 2	N/A	(B)+(C)	Schedule 3	Schedule 3	(E)+(F)	Schedule 4	Schedule 5	Schedule 6	Schedule 7	(D)+(G)+(H)+ (I)+(J)+(K)
	Residential Customers											
1	R-1 Non-Heating	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.1041	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.1976
2	R-5 Non-Heating (MEP)	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.1041	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.1976
3	R-3 Heating	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.1041	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.1976
4 5	R-6 Heating (MEP)	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0667	\$0.0000	\$0.0022 \$0.0022	\$0.0022 \$0.0022	\$0.1041	\$0.0157 \$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093 \$0.0093	\$0.1976
5 6	R-4 Heating Gas Assistance Program R-7 Heating Gas Assistance Program (MEP)	\$0.0667 \$0.0667	\$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0667 \$0.0667	\$0.0000 \$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.1041 \$0.1041	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004) (\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.1976 \$0.1976
0	R-/ Realing Gas Assistance Program (MEP)	\$0.0007	\$0.0000	\$0.0007	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.1041	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.1976
	Commercial/Industrial Sales Customers											
7	G-41 Low Annual/High Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
8	G-44 Low Annual/High Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
9	G-51 Low Annual/Low Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
10	G-55 Low Annual/Low Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
11		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
12		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
13		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
14	G-56 Medium Annual/Low Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
15	G-43 High Annual/High Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
16		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
17		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
18	5	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
19		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
20	G-58 High Annual/Load Factor > 90% (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
	Commercial/Industrial Transportation Customers											
21	G-41 Low Annual/High Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
22		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
23		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
24	G-55 Low Annual/Low Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
25	G-42 Medium Annual/High Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
26	G-45 Medium Annual/High Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
27	G-52 Medium Annual/Low Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
28	G-56 Medium Annual/Low Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
29	G-43 High Annual/High Winter Use	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
30	G-46 High Annual/High Winter Use (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
31		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
32		\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
33	G-54 High Annual/Load Factor > 90%	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889
34	G-58 High Annual/Load Factor > 90% (MEP)	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0444	\$0.0000	\$0.0022	\$0.0022	\$0.0177	\$0.0157	(\$0.0004)	\$0.0093	\$0.0889

#### Reference:

1- The Energy Efficiency Charges for both Residential and Commercial/Industrial customers will be amended on January 1, 2024 persuant to HB 549. The current rate for the 2023 calendar year will still be applied for all Residential & Commercial/Industrial customers respectively through 12/31/2023. The anticipated rate effective during the 2024 calendar year is \$0.0699 for Residential customers and \$0.0466 for all Commercial/Industrial customers.

2- Per Order No. 26,692, Relief Holder and Pond at Gas Street, Concord and RDAF to be reviewed on a longer timeframe from rest of LDAC components effective November 1, 2022.

3- CCx, RDAF, ES, RCE, and GAP applies to all therms and all customers, including Managed Expansion ProgramCustomers, are at the same rate per therm as the corresponding non-Managed Expansion Program Customers.

> Schedule 2 Energy Efficiency Charge Page 1 of 1

# Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 LDAC Energy Efficiency Charge

Line			Commercial &	
<u>No.</u>	Description	<u>Residential</u>	Industrial	<u>Reference</u>
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	2023 and 2024 EEC Rates (as set by Statute in HB549)			
1	Energy Efficiency Charge Factor (\$/Therm) Eff 1/1/23 - 12/31/23	\$0.0667	\$0.0444	HB549
2	Energy Efficiency Charge Factor (\$/Therm) Eff 1/1/24 - 12/31/24	\$0.0699	\$0.0466	HB549

Schedule 3 Environmental Surcharge Page 1 of 2

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty November 2023 - October 2024 Environmental Surcharge

#### Manufactured Gas Plants

Line No. 10 - Line 7 / Line 8 & 9 Line No. 11 - Line 6 + Line 10

1	Required Annual Environmental Increase	\$578,817
2	Estimated Ending Balance on October 31, 2023	-\$168,651
3	Annual Net Increase to Rates	\$410,166
4 5	Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/2024 - sales and transportation	184,926,232_therms
6	MGP Surcharge per therm	\$0.0022 per therm
	Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	
7	Required Annual Environmental Increase	\$0
8 9	Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/2024 - sales and transportation	<u>184,926,232</u> therms
10	Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Surcharge per therm	\$0.0000 per therm
11	Total Environmental Surcharge	<u>\$0.0022</u> per therm
	Reference: Line No. 1 - Schedule 3.3, Line 36, Column GO Line No. 2 - Schedule 3, page 2, Line 5, Column L Line No. 3 - Line 1 + Line 2 Line No. 4 & 5 - Company Forecast Line No. 6 - Line 3 divided by Line 4 & 5 Line No. 7 - Schedule 3.2, page 8, Column Total Line No. 8 & 9 - Company Forecast	

Schedule 3 Environmental Surcharge Page 2 of 2

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty November 2023 - October 2024 Environmental Collections Reconciliation

		(Actual) (a)	(Actual) (b)	(Actual) (c)	(Actual) (d)	(Actual) (e)	(Actual) (f)	(Actual) (g)	(Actual) (h)	(Estimate (i)	(Estimate) (j)	(Estimate) (k)	(Estimate) (I)
1	FOR THE MONTH OF:	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23
2	DAYS IN MONTH	30	31	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31
								•					<u> </u>
3	Beginning Balance*	\$ 1,244,084	\$ 1,132,580	\$ 990,689	\$ 753,485	\$ 578,313	\$ 391,666	\$ 254,746	\$ 172,935	\$ 119,159	\$ 41,184	\$ (29,822)	\$ (106,360)
4	Less: Collected Revenue	(111,503)	(141,891)	(237,204)	(175,172)	(186,646)	(136,921)	(81,811)	(53,775)	(77,975)	(71,006)	(76,538)	(62,291)
5	Ending Balance	\$ 1,132,580	\$ 990,689	\$ 753,485	\$ 578,313	\$ 391,666	\$ 254,746	\$ 172,935	\$ 119,159	\$ 41,184	\$ (29,822)	\$ (106,360)	\$ (168,651)

#### Prior Recovery Period (November 2022-October 2023) Updated with Actual Collections

		(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)
6	FOR THE MONTH OF:	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22
7	DAYS IN MONTH	30	31	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31
8	Beginning Balance	\$ 2,833,284	\$ 2,656,186	\$ 2,354,611	\$ 1,967,918	\$ 1,526,129	\$ 1,131,620	\$ 853,408	\$ 660,155	\$ 548,839	\$ 470,864	\$ 399,857	\$ 323,319
9	Less: Collected Revenue	(177,098)	(301,575)	(386,693)	(441,789)	(394,508)	(278,213)	(193,253)	(111,316)	(77,975)	(71,006)	(76,538)	(62,291)
10	Ending Balance	\$ 2,656,186	\$ 2,354,611	\$ 1,967,918	\$ 1,526,129	\$ 1,131,620	\$ 853,408	\$ 660,155	\$ 548,839	\$ 470,864	\$ 399,857	\$ 323,319	\$ 261,028

\*Beginning Balance for November, 2022 is equal to the October, 2022 ending plus the previously approved recovery amount of \$983,055.80

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

# LINE <u>NO.</u>

- 1. SITE LOCATION: One Gas Street, Concord, New Hampshire.
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED: EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. (ENGI)<sup>1</sup> received a Notice Letter from the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) in September 1992. The Notice related primarily to contamination identified in the pond adjacent to Exit 13 off Interstate 93, although it was broad enough to also include the former manufactured gas plant (MGP) site itself.
- 3. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Residual materials from the historic operation of the MGP were discovered in the area of the Exit 13 pond, as the NHDOT began site preparation work for the reconfiguration of that interchange. Subsequent investigations by ENGI and others indicate that contaminants originating from the MGP on Gas Street are present in soil and groundwater between the MGP and the Merrimack River, including within the Exit 13 pond.
- 4. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES:

<u>Concord MGP</u>: The New Hampshire Department of Transportation (NHDOT) contacted ENGI in August 2001 and February 2002 regarding possible coal tar-related impacts in a sewer line on a parcel adjacent to the former gas plant. NHDOT is currently conducting groundwater monitoring as part of a Groundwater Management Zone Permit on this parcel. ENGI met with NHDOT and NHDES in January 2003 to review the results of its 2002 site investigation. Limited coal tar impacts were observed in groundwater and subsurface soils at select locations.

On July 15, 2003, NHDES issued a letter to ENGI requesting submission of a schedule and scope of work for a site investigation of the MGP site by mid-September 2003. ENGI proposed a May 2005 date for submission of a Site Investigation Report for the MGP site on Gas Street to NHDES by way of a letter dated October 6, 2003. NHDES agreed to the proposed schedule in their response letter dated October 31, 2003.

ENGI submitted the work plan for the MGP site investigation to NHDES on May 20, 2004. NHDES accepted the work plan on June 16, 2004. The investigation took place between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In July 2012, EnergyNorth was acquired by Liberty Utilities and its legal name changed to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty. For consistency purposes, the acronym ENGI will be used throughout this document.

### CONCORD FORMER MGP

### LINE <u>NO.</u>

September 2004 and March 2005, and the Site Investigation Report was submitted to NHDES on June 6, 2005. The report indicated that subsurface impacts are present at the MGP, and additional investigation as well as limited remediation will be required. NHDES accepted the report on August 12, 2005, and requested ENGI submit a supplemental scope of work to complete the delineation of MGP-related impacts on and off Site. The document was submitted in November 2005. Site investigation activities at and downgradient of the MGP were conducted in 2006. ENGI submitted an additional supplemental scope of work to further delineate MGP impacts on May 31, 2007, and NHDES subsequently approved the scope on June 5, 2007. ENGI bid the NHDESapproved scope of work in June 2008 and awarded the contract in late July 2008. ENGI met with NHDES at the site in August 2008 to discuss the additional supplemental site investigation activities. The field work took place during October through December 2008, during which time 8 groundwater monitoring wells were installed at 4 off-site locations. The Additional Supplemental Site Investigation Report was submitted to NHDES in September 2009. ENGI met with NHDES to discuss the report findings and strategy for moving forward in October 2009. NHDES issued an approval letter for the Supplemental Site Investigation Report on February 9, 2010. The correspondence approved the report and requested that certain additional activities be completed by ENGI. These requested activities include the following: a) preparation and submission of an Initial Response Action Work Plan to remove approximately 3,500 gallons of liquid and sludge from historic subsurface drip pots and tar wells at the MGP property on Gas Street; b) evaluation of the groundwater conditions in the vicinity of the "Tar Pond" which is depicted on a referenced NHDOT site plan; and c) evaluation of potential indoor air impacts at select locations identified during the additional SSI work.

ENGI submitted the Initial Response Work Plan to NHDES in July 2010 to remove approximately 3.500 gallons of liquid and sludge from historic subsurface drip pots. NHDES issued an approval letter for this Work Plan on August 3, 2010, and the work was completed in June 2011. In addition, ENGI submitted a Supplemental Data Collection Work Plan for the additional off-ENGI-owned property investigation activities (items b and c above) to NHDES in August 2010. NHDES approved of the Work Plan on September 16, 2010. ENGI obtained access to 4 properties in the vicinity of the site in order to conduct the supplemental investigation activities, which included soil, groundwater and soil vapor sampling, along with further investigation of the brick tar sewer. ENGI submitted a revised Work Plan with revised sampling locations to NHDES in November 2011; the revision was necessary because site access was not granted by the property owners for some of the originally proposed locations. The investigation work was completed in July 2012 and summarized in a Supplement Data Collection Report that was submitted in August 2013, in preparation for submittal of the Remedial Action Plan. This Supplement Data Collection Report was accepted by NHDES on October 24, 2013, and ENGI was authorized to prepare a RAP and Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) application. The GMP application was submitted on September 4, 2014, and the permit was received on December 1, 2014.

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

## LINE <u>NO.</u>

On June 16, 2013, wind during a thunderstorm caused a tree to fall on the northern side of the roof of the Holder House located on the former Concord MGP property. Damage to the slate roof and brick was sustained. In a letter dated February 24, 2014, NHDES stated that the holder structure "…serves as a physical barrier to prevent infiltration of precipitation into the foundation and thereby limits the amount of MGP byproducts that may be released to the environment."

On March 31, 2015, ENGI submitted a proposed Remedial Action Plan involving removal of shallow soils displaying MGP-related residual impacts, investigation, and remediation of remaining known subsurface structures, capping of components of the local storm water drainage system, site capping design, and continued monitoring of groundwater on the site. NHDES approved the RAP on May 29, 2015, with the condition that roof of the brick gasholder either be restored, or the holder be razed and the soils beneath it remediated. Soil vapor monitoring; soil vapor probe installation; and remedial design investigations including subsurface structure location and inspection, shallow tar-saturated soil delineation, and site storm drain system inspections, as approved by the RAP, were performed in December 2015. A Remedial Design Report (RDR) was submitted to NHDES on March 16, 2016, summarizing the above remedial design investigations. The remediation activities, required to be completed prior to site capping, include tar-impacted material removals and plugging of the on-site drain system, took place in 2017.

A developer approached the Company during 2016 and into 2017 regarding potential purchase of the property, there has been no movement or activity on a transfer of the holder site regarding that developer. In 2020, further deterioration of the holder structure was observed. In addition, fencing was repaired and added to the areas around the deteriorated areas near the vestibule and the outside scaffolding where the tree fell in 2013.

In 2019, the City of Concord, and the Company jointly prepared a report that details various use options for the Gasholder site on the east side of the highway, including high level cost estimates for various scenarios ranging from cleaning and fortifying the holder structure for public entry to demolition of the structure. In response to Liberty's communication that the gasholder needed to be demolished, as the condition of the structure raised safety concerns, the Concord City Council established a working group in 2020, comprised of representatives of the City Council, City Staff, Liberty, and the New Hampshire Preservation Alliance ("NHPA"), and charged with exploring a plan and assigning responsibilities to stabilize and preserve the holder house structure. The working group discussions resulted in a plan for the NHPA to raise funds to stabilize the holder house and to manage the relevant construction, and for Liberty to seek Commission approval to contribute up to the estimated costs of demolition, investigation, and remediation beneath the holder house, as the least cost option for customers.

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

### LINE <u>NO.</u>

The City, the NHPA, and Liberty met with Commission Staff in February 2021 and obtained Staff's preliminary support for the plan, provided Liberty can demonstrate that the Company's contribution toward the stabilization of the holder house is less than the estimated costs of demolition and remediation that would otherwise have been incurred.

In April 2021, the City, the NHPA, and Liberty signed an MOU documenting the above understanding as the parties worked toward a formal agreement. The parties later signed a formal Emergency Stabilization License Agreement to govern the repairs to the holder house and each party's contribution toward the costs to stabilize the holder house. The NHPA completed the engineering for the stabilization work and obtained a contractor to complete the work. The contractor completed the stabilization activities in July 2022. Liberty's share of the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 costs to stabilize the holder house, for which Liberty seeks recovery in the 2022-2023 cost of gas proceeding, is approximately \$731,675.36.

Liberty and NHPA completed a detailed estimate of costs in December 2022, that would have been incurred to demolish the holder house, to investigate the footprint of the holder house for additional contamination, and to remedy any contamination likely to be found. The estimate is intended to serve as the cap of Liberty's contribution toward stabilization under the terms of the License Agreement. Liberty and NHPA presented that estimate to the Commission during the course of the 2021-2022 LDAC proceeding.

On January 21, 2020, NHDES issued a renewed GMP for the site and ENGI continues to monitor wells in the groundwater monitoring system on site every June and October under this permit. ENGI requested that soil vapor monitoring be ceased and NHDES removed this requirement from the new permit. The last GMP Annual Summary Report, submitted to NHDES in March 2023, summarized the results of the 2022 GMP sampling rounds and recent small source remediation activities undertaken at the site.

<u>Concord Pond</u>: ENGI has continued to monitor groundwater semi-annually at the Exit 13 pond, in May and November, as required by the Groundwater Management Zone Permit that was issued in 1999 as part of the overall remedy following the remediation of the southern end of the Exit 13 pond. The permit was renewed in 2003, 2007,2012 2017, **and in 2022**, and NHDES specified semiannual collection of surface water samples from the pond as an additional condition of the permit.

When the Exit 13 pond was remediated in 1999, NHDES required that the northern portion remained untouched, allowing for storm water input to the pond, with the knowledge that some contamination remained and may require remediation in the future. In 2006, NHDES requested ENGI address the residual contamination in the pond, and in response, ENGI submitted an Interim Data Collection Report and Scope of Work in May 2006, which was approved in July 2006. This Scope of Work was implemented in 2006 and the results were to be used to prepare the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) which NHDES

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

# LINE <u>NO.</u>

requested be submitted by August 31, 2006. In July 2006, NHDES extended the deadline for submittal of the RAP to June 30, 2007, to allow ENGI additional time for data collection and design. ENGI submitted an Interim Data Collection Report to NHDES in September 2006, and a Conceptual Remedial Design in March 2007. On March 25, 2009, ENGI submitted a Presumptive Remedy Approval Request to NHDES, in order to allow for the design and implementation of an engineered cap without the need to prepare a RAP. On May 4, 2009, NHDES granted the Presumptive Remedy Approval, and the project moved into the remedial design phase.

The proposed remedial work is to be performed on city-owned land and within a NHDOT right-of-way; therefore, ENGI is working with these parties to come to agreement on the design features, negotiate access and clarify the responsibilities of the three parties. In April 2010, ENGI met with representatives from NHDES, the City of Concord, and NHDOT to present the proposed remedy, and ENGI submitted the draft design plans to the parties in June 2010. ENGI met with the regulatory permitting agencies in October 2010. The agencies requested that ENGI modify the remedial design to include an upland cap versus a wetland cap to minimize the impacts of the project. The cap was redesigned and ENGI met with the stakeholders in December 2010. At a subsequent meeting in January 2011, the City of Concord requested that the design be further modified to relocate the City's storm water outfall location.

ENGI met with the City in March 2011 to present the feasibility evaluation that was conducted for several alternatives, and concluded that the original design was the appropriate design. Contact was reconvened with the City in 2013, and adjustments to the original design were made to address outfall maintenance and access concerns of the City and NHDOT, respectively. The design was presented to the City on January 26, 2016. A rigorous schedule toward construction in late summer 2017 was agreed to by ENGI and the City in February 2016. The City did not meet an early deadline to determine and communicate details regarding access to their storm water system. Communication was again resumed in July 2016 by the City, however the City remained unresponsive to ENGI on implementation of the joint remedial design.

In March 2018, discussions with the new City Engineer took place and the City's engagement level had increased to come to a design solution on outfall maintenance. However, there has been turnover at the City Engineer position and ENGI will need to restart these discussions with the City.

Semiannual groundwater monitoring at the pond is ongoing, as is recovery of separate phase coal tar from a monitoring well in the vicinity of the pond. In May 2017, the NHDES requested by letter that all active hazardous waste sites managed by the Hazardous Waste Remediation Bureau include sampling for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in one of their groundwater sampling rounds, as part of a statewide study of these compounds. ENGI fulfilled this request during regularly scheduled sampling in 2018.

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

### LINE <u>NO.</u>

During May 19 through May 22, 2009, ENGI implemented a NHDES-approved sediment sampling program in the Merrimack River to evaluate potential MGP-related impacts. ENGI met with NHDES in October 2009 to present the results of the sediment investigation and submitted the sediment sampling data report to NHDES in October 2009. The investigation indicated limited site-related impacts to the shallow near-shore sediments of the Merrimack River. Based uonthe results of the sediment investigation, it is unlikely that remedial actions will be necessary in the river. ENGI met with NHDES on February 20, 2013 to, discuss all sampling activities to date, summarized in an SIR Addendum Report, submitted in June 2013.

In May 2016, ENGI submitted a proposed plan for monitoring the near-bank sediments to the pond area in the Merrimack River. After discussions regarding frequency, duration of the Monitored Natural Recovery (MNR) program, and methodologies to be used in determining the contaminant trending in the river sediment, NHDES approved a revised MNR Plan in a letter dated July 2017. The 5-year sampling plan began in 2017 with the first of 5 annual samplings. Subsequent rounds of sediment sampling were conducted in October 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021. NHDES has accepted the MNR reports submitted by ENGI summarizing the sediment sampling results. Upon completion of the five rounds of MNR sampling, NHDES has agreed with the Company's recommendation to take confirmatory samples in the river in Fall of 2023.

# 5. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE:

Concord MGP: In July 2003, NHDES requested that ENGI submit a schedule and scope of work for completion of a site investigation of the MGP site. ENGI submitted the scope to NHDES in May 2004 and implemented the work between September 2004 and March 2005. The results of the investigation were documented in the Site Investigation Report, dated June 6, 2005, which was subsequently approved by NHDES. Supplemental investigation activities were performed in 2006. Additional investigation activities were performed in 2008. The additional SSI report was submitted to NHDES in September 2009. In addition, ENGI submitted the Initial Response Work Plan to NHDES in July 2010 to remove approximately 3,500 gallons of liquid and sludge from historic subsurface drip pots. NHDES issued an approval letter for this Work Plan on August 3, 2010, and the work was completed in June 2011. The Supplemental Data Collection report summarizing the investigation activities was accepted in October 2013, authorizing ENGI to prepare a RAP and GMP Application. The GMP application was submitted on September 4, 2014, and the permit was received on December 1, 2014. On March 31, 2015, ENGI submitted a proposed RAP, and NHDES approved the RAP with conditions. A Remedial Design Report, summarizing pre-design investigations, was provided to NHDES in March 2016.

Outstanding remedial activities including the investigation for decommissioning of the deep well (historic water supply well), closure of the "old tar separator" and a small drip pot, closure of the on-site storm drain, and removal of an area of soil containing hardened

# CONCORD FORMER MGP

# LINE <u>NO.</u>

tar were completed in late 2020, and results of these activities were reported to NHDES in the 2020 Annual Summary Report submitted in February 2021 as a requirement of the GMP.

Concord Pond: ENGI submitted an application for a five-year Groundwater Management Zone Permit to the NHDES in April 2002 for the Exit 13 pond. The permit was renewed in October 2007, with the collection of pond surface water samples as an additional condition. Under that permit, groundwater monitoring is expected to be required for the foreseeable future. In addition, as requested by NHDES, ENGI undertook a review of remedial technologies to address the residual contamination remaining in the pond. A conceptual remedial design was submitted to NHDES in March 2007, a Presumptive Remedy Approval was granted by NHDES in May 2009, and the engineered cap design has been drafted. The work will be undertaken pending agreement between the City, NHDOT, and ENGI. ENGI met with these parties on several occasions in 2010 and 2011. The Company reinitiated discussion with the City in July 2014 regarding access to the site to implement the approved design of the wetland cap. The design was adjusted to accommodate the City's desire to simplify maintenance of the storm water system. ENGI has altered the design of the construction to provide temporary access through the wetland area and a permanent access road that does not encroach on the NHDOT rightof-way.

In 2020, ENGI obtained the access agreement from the City to the property to allow access for the wetland cap pre-design investigation (PDI) activities and construction. ENGI commenced the PDI in 2021. Based on the results of the PDI, additional data collection tasks are warranted the evaluate the source and nature of NAPL discharges to the pond in relation to the stormwater drainage system. The additional data will be used to inform the final design remedy. Construction of the design remedy is likely to occur in 2025.

A renewal application for the Groundwater Management Permit was submitted on October 5, 2022, and the renewed permit was granted by NHDES on March 2, 2023. Groundwater and surface water monitoring continues under this permit annually in May. The 5-year sediment sampling plan to monitor natural attenuation of MGP residuals in the river began in autumn 2017 continued each October, and the fifth and final sampling occurred in October 2021.

6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP: The Concord MGP operated from approximately 1850 to 1952 when the natural gas pipeline was extended to Concord. The plant was constructed and operated by predecessors of the Concord Gas Company, which later became known as the Concord Natural Gas Company. By virtue of a merger, ENGI acquired Concord Natural Gas. As has been reported previously by ENGI, it filed a contribution claim in the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire against the successor to the United Gas Improvement Company. In that

## CONCORD FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

> claim, ENGI alleged that under the federal Superfund statute, the United Gas Improvement Company exercised control over the operations of the Concord Gas Plant to the extent that the United Gas Improvement Company should be considered an "operator" under the statute. That matter was settled in 1997.

- 7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3RD PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: Numerous confidential settlements with insurance carriers and with one private party have been entered into. *Insurance recovery efforts at the Concord Site are complete.*
- *Note:* This summary is an overview only and is not intended to be a comprehensive recitation of all relevant information relating to the site and the associated liability.

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- 1. SITE LOCATION: The former MGP was located on Messer Street in Laconia. Sometime in the early 1950s, during decommissioning of the MGP, wastes from the MGP were disposed of at a location on Liberty Hill Road in Gilford. At the time of the disposal, the property was utilized as a gravel pit, and the disposal reportedly occurred with the permission of the gravel pit owner. The property currently comprises part of a residential neighborhood.
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED: In 1994 and 1995, Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH), one of the former owners and operators of the Laconia Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP), conducted limited site investigations at the plant. In 1996, the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) sent a "Notification of Site Listing and Request for Site Investigation" for the former Laconia MGP to PSNH and its parent company, Northeast Utilities Services Company (NU), and to EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. (ENGI)<sup>1</sup>, another former owner. NHDES designated the site DES #199312038. ENGI and PSNH reached a settlement, reported previously to the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (NHPUC), in September 1999. As a result of that settlement, PSNH has had responsibility for the MGP site remediation and interactions with NHDES.

Per the aforementioned settlement, ENGI retained responsibility for any decommissioning-related liabilities, including off-site disposal. Therefore, in October 2004, ENGI notified NHDES of the possibility that wastes from the MGP were disposed of at a location on Liberty Hill Road sometime in the early 1950s during decommissioning of the plant. Drinking water samples were collected from two residential properties in the vicinity in December 2004, and from three additional properties in June and July 2005 by the NHDES; no MGP-related contaminants were detected. At the request of NHDES, ENGI began preliminary site investigations in July 2005 that culminated in the submission of a Site Investigation Report to NHDES in June 2006. As detailed in the report, MGP-related constituents have been detected in soil and shallow groundwater on four residential properties, and in the abutting brook. The report concluded that further investigations were necessary to determine the extent of the contamination. Additional investigation activities were completed between 2006 and 2009.

3. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Residual materials from the former MGP have been identified at the Laconia MGP site and in the adjacent Winnipesaukee River. Please contact PSNH and refer to PSNH filings with NHDES for complete information on the nature and extent of site contamination at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In July 2012, EnergyNorth was acquired by Liberty Utilities and its legal name changed to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty. For consistency purposes, the acronym ENGI will be used throughout this document.

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

#### LINE <u>NO.</u>

the MGP. Residual materials from the former MGP were disposed of at the Liberty Hill disposal area, and MGP-related constituents have been detected in soil and ground water.

4. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES: Based on the settlement with PSNH that has previously been reported to the Commission, ENGI has had no further involvement with the MGP site since the summer of 1999, except with regard to the Liberty Hill disposal area. Please contact PSNH and refer to PSNH filings with NHDES for complete information on material developments and interactions with environmental authorities.

With respect to the Liberty Hill disposal area, in October 2004, ENGI notified NHDES of the possible existence of this disposal site; the site was assigned disposal site number 200411113 by NHDES. NHDES collected drinking water samples from two residential wells in the vicinity in December 2004 and from three additional residential wells in June and July 2005; no MGP-related contaminants were detected. In January 2005, NHDES requested that ENGI conduct a preliminary site investigation on the two residential properties. ENGI submitted a scope of work for the investigation to NHDES on March 2, 2005. The investigation began in July 2005 and was completed in June 2006 with the submission of the Site Investigation Report.

Additional site investigations were conducted in 2006 and summarized in the December 20, 2006, Interim Data Report #2 submitted to NHDES. Based upon the results of the investigations, remediation is required at the site. In response, a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) was submitted to NHDES on February 28, 2007. The RAP presented NHDES with several remedial alternatives to address soil and groundwater contamination at the site. The February 2007 RAP identified soil excavation (to a depth of 3 feet), construction of a containment wall and impermeable cap on the four residential properties purchased by ENGI as the In September 2007, NHDES responded to the recommended alternative. February 2007 RAP and required that ENGI evaluate additional remedial alternatives that included further soil removal. In November 2007, a RAP Addendum was submitted to NHDES. The revised RAP recommended a remedial alternative that included removal of tar-saturated soils to a depth of approximately 45 feet, construction of a containment wall and impermeable cap on the four residential properties owned by ENGI. On February 29, 2008, NHDES issued a letter to ENGI indicating that NHDES had reached a preliminary determination that the remedy recommended in the November 2007 RAP met the NHDES

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

#### LINE <u>NO.</u>

requirements and that a final decision would be reached following a public meeting and comment period.

On March 24, 2008, NHDES held a public comment meeting to discuss the recommended alternative and began 30-day public comment period. In April 2008, NHDES received a request to extend the public comment period closing date to May 8, 2008, to allow the Town time to provide technical comment. On June 26, 2008, NHDES issued a letter deferring its final decision on the recommended remedial alternative for the Liberty Hill site pending further data analysis following the development of a scope prepared collaboratively between the Town of Gilford In July and August 2008, technical representatives from ENGI, the and ENGL Town of Gilford, the Liberty Hill neighborhood and NHDES met twice to discuss the comments provided to NHDES during the public comment period and discuss the scope for additional groundwater modeling activities and limited additional site data collection. The Company submitted Scopes of Work for additional data collection and groundwater modeling to NHDES in September and October 2008, respectively. The field activities were completed between November 2008 and January 2009. Modeling efforts began in late 2008 and were completed in May 2009. In March and May 2009, technical representatives from ENGI, the Town of Gilford, the Liberty Hill neighborhood and NHDES met to discuss the results of the field investigations and the modeling activities. One topic discussed with the technical team was that the modelling results indicate that low-flow pumping would need to be added to the selected remedy meet the remedial goals for the site. On June 30, 2009, NHDES issued a letter to ENGI requesting that a second RAP Addendum be prepared for the site to evaluate the technical changes (mainly the addition of low-flow pumping) to the proposed remedy that resulted from the modeling effort. ENGI submitted the second RAP Addendum to NHDES on August 17, 2009 and presented the findings at a public meeting held in Gilford on September 10, 2009. In October 2009, NHDES hired a third party consultant to review the RAP cost estimates and the results were presented in a report to NHDES in April 2010. In October 2010, NHDES issued a Preliminary Decision on RAP Addendum No. 2, in which NHDES indicated that it did not concur with ENGI's recommended remedial alternative and further recommended the complete removal of coal tar-impacted soils at the site. On January 28, 2011, ENGI submitted a comment letter to NHDES further explaining its rationale for the remedial alternative recommended in RAP Addendum No. 2. On November 2, 2011, NHDES announced a Final Decision indicating that it did not concur with ENGI's recommended remedial approach and selecting the full removal option as the remedy for the site. On December 2, 2011, ENGI filed an appeal of the NHDES Final Decision with the New Hampshire Waste Management Council. In March 2012, ENGI attended the Pre-Conference Hearing with the Council related to the

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

## LINE <u>NO.</u>

appeal. Hearings on the matter were scheduled for October 18 and November 15, 2012. On July 26, 2012, the Hearing Officer granted an Assented to Motion to Continue the hearing until a date after January 3, 2013.

During the period of time the appeal was subject to the continuance, the company, the New Hampshire Department of Justice and NHDES engaged in settlement discussions on a confidential basis. At the conclusion of those negotiations, NHDES and the company agreed on a final remedy for the site, which was approved by NHDES. That approval allowed ENGI to withdraw its appeal as of December 19, 2012, and proceed with implementation of the remedy. The town of Gilford was briefed on the agreed-upon remedy concurrently with NHDES approval and ENGI's withdrawal of the appeal.

ENGI has also performed numerous other activities requested by NHDES between 2008 and 2011, including remediation of the groundwater seep area near Jewett Brook in accordance with NHDES-approved September 2008 Initial Response Action Plan; evaluation of options for providing financial assurances to NHDES for the site remediation activities; coal tar recovery; semi-annual groundwater and surface water sampling activities; and drinking water well sampling. Groundwater sampling is reported to the NHDES in semi-annual reports. In addition, ENGI developed a Liberty Hill Road site website to assist in updating interested parties.

In conjunction with the Site Investigation work, ENGI has acquired 4 properties on Liberty Hill Road to facilitate remediation activities, and eliminate any potential risk to residents associated with a significant remediation and construction project. The properties were obtained based upon arms-length negotiations, and in one instance to settle potential litigation.

The site was remediated in 2014-2015 construction seasons, and was restored to a grass field by December 2015. NHDES approved the Notice of Activity and Use Restriction (AUR) in February 2017. In May 2017, ENGI received the postconstruction groundwater monitoring permit, requiring annual groundwater sampling. In April 2022, the Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) renewal application was submitted to NHDES on its five year cycle, with no substantive recommended changes. Subsequently, ENGI submitted a request for a GMP Amendment and Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ) Modification in November 2022 to request removal of a privately-owned parcel from the GMZ. This change was requested by the property owner, in coordination with the NHDES. The modification to the GMZ was approved as part of the renewed GMP that was issued in March 2023.

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

LINE <u>NO.</u>

5. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE: On December 10, 2012, ENGI submitted a Conceptual Remedial Design Report to NHDES describing the approach for full removal. NHDES approved this Conceptual RAP Addendum design on December 18, 2012, and ENGI withdrew their appeal before the New Hampshire Waste Management Council on December 19, 2012. A public meeting was held in the Town of Gilford to present the approved Conceptual Remedial Design on January 23, 2013. The pre-design investigation to confirm extent and depth of contamination commenced on February 20, 2013 and was completed first week in April 2013. A public meeting was held on September 25, 2013 to present the design to the Town. The Remedial Design Report was finalized and approved by NHDES in December 2013. Plans and Specifications were developed concurrently, and the bidding process commenced in September 2013 with a Request for Information to ten (10) prospective contractors. On October 28, six (6) contractors were selected to participate in the bidding for the construction, with bids due back on December 6, 2013. On January 9, 2014, three (3) of the bidders were interviewed and Charter Environmental of Boston, MA (the Contractor) was selected for the project. A public meeting took place on February 12, 2014 to further explain details of the anticipated construction and to introduce the project team to the community.

The Contractor mobilized to the site and began set-up in May 2014, with the first load of soil being hauled from the site on June 6, 2014. Construction began to remove tar-impacted soil on the south side of the site in the first season, with little to no impact to the surrounding community. In 2014, approximately 65% of the impacted soil was removed for treatment. On April 8, 2015, ENGI presented the results of the first season of construction at a Gilford Town Select Board meeting. and presented expectations for the second season to the community. Starting on April 13, 2015, the north side of the site was remediated, with the removal of all tar-impacted soil completed on August 3, 2015. The entire project was completed on September 24, 2015 with 2,662 truckloads hauling 93,502 tons of tar-impacted soil removed for thermal treatment. Some additional site restoration work was needed in October 2015 and another seeding in April 2016 to repair damage to the original restoration caused by a heavy rainstorm that occurred on September 30, Throughout the course of the project there was no disruption to the 2015. neighboring community and no safety incidents, logging 26,975 safe working hours. The project was completed within budget parameters.

The only activities on this site during the past year and ongoing are mowing and groundwater and surface sampling, per the new post-remedial Groundwater Management Permit received on May 10, 2017. In May 2017, the NHDES requested by letter that all active hazardous waste sites managed by the

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

LINE NO.

> Hazardous Waste Remediation Bureau include sampling for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in one of their groundwater sampling rounds, as part of a statewide study of these compounds. ENGI fulfilled this request during regularly scheduled sampling in 2018. **ENGI continues to mow the site twice a year and sample the groundwater per the Groundwater Management Permit each September.**

6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP: ENGI is the successor by merger to Gas Service, Inc. (GSI). In 1945, GSI acquired the gas manufacturing assets of PSNH. The Laconia MGP, which began operating in 1894, was included in that transaction. Gas manufacturing took place at the property until 1952, when the MGP was converted to propane. Half of the property is now owned by Robert Irwin and maintained as an open field, and the other half is owned by PSNH, which operates an electric substation on the parcel.

The Liberty Hill Road parcel on which disposal was believed to have occurred was utilized as a gravel pit at the time of the disposal. It was subdivided in May 1970, and currently constitutes part of a residential subdivision.

7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3RD PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: ENGI and PSNH entered into a confidential settlement in 1999. Under this agreement, PSNH took the lead on the MGP site investigation and remediation and all communications with NHDES. ENGI retained responsibility for any decommissioning-related liabilities, including off-site disposal.

Insurance recovery efforts are complete with respect to the MGP, and numerous confidential settlements have been entered into. In 2003, the United States District Court certified a question to the New Hampshire Supreme Court asking what "trigger of coverage" should be applied to the insurance policies issued by Lloyds of London to ENGI's predecessor, Gas Service, Inc. In May 2004, the Supreme Court responded that a "continuous injury-in-fact" trigger should be applied. The federal court conducted a jury trial against Lloyds of London - the only remaining defendant – in October 5, 2004. At the end of that trial the jury returned a verdict in favor of ENGI. Subsequent to the verdict, ENGI and Lloyds of London entered into a confidential settlement.

With respect to Liberty Hill, insurance carriers have been placed on notice of a potential claim, but no litigation has been initiated. The Company does not expect to pursue any insurance litigation.

# LACONIA FORMER MGP AND LIBERTY HILL DISPOSAL AREA

LINE <u>NO.</u>

*Note: This summary is an overview only and is not intended to be a comprehensive recitation of all relevant information relating to the site and the associated liability.* 

## MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE NO.

- 1. SITE LOCATION: 130 Elm Street, Manchester, New Hampshire.
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED: The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) compiled a list of all former Manufactured Gas Plants (MGPs) in New Hampshire that were not already subject to a site investigation or remediation. In March of 2000, NHDES sent out notice letters to all parties it deemed responsible for the sites. EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. (ENGI)<sup>1</sup> received a "Notification of Site Listing and Request for Site Investigation" for the former Manchester MGP from NHDES, which designated the site DES #200003011.
- 3. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Residual materials from the former MGP have been identified at the site. These residuals, which include tars and oils, have been found mainly in subsurface soil at discrete locations and in groundwater at the former MGP, as well as in the downgradient Singer Park and river sediment.
- 4. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES:
  - On behalf of ENGI, Harding ESE, Inc. (Harding ESE), submitted a Scoping Phase Field Investigation Scope of Work to NHDES in March 2000.
  - NHDES approved the Scoping Phase Field Investigation Scope of Work in June 2000.
  - During the summer and fall of 2000, ENGI and Harding ESE conducted the Scoping Phase Field Investigation, collecting site background information and soil, groundwater, surface water and sediment samples from the former Manchester MGP and the nearby Merrimack River.
  - On August 31, 2000, an underground tank containing MGP residuals was discovered at the site. As required by NHDES regulations, the tank contents were removed and disposed of subject to a permit from NHDES. Harding ESE, on behalf of ENGI, submitted a summary report to NHDES in January 2001 documenting the response action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In July 2012, EnergyNorth was acquired by Liberty Utilities and its legal name changed to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty. For consistency purposes, the acronym ENGI will be used throughout this document.

# MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- ENGI and Harding ESE submitted the Scoping Phase Field Investigation Report to NHDES in February 2001.
- NHDES provided comments to ENGI and Harding ESE in April 2001 on the Scoping Phase Field Investigation Report and requested a Phase II Investigation Scope of Work.
- ENGI responded to NHDES' comments on the Scoping Phase Investigation Report and indicated that ENGI planned to solicit bids for the Phase II Scope of Work.
- In July 2001, on behalf of ENGI, Harding ESE submitted a Scope of Work to NHDES to fence the ravine near the former Manchester MGP to prevent access to impacted sediments. In October 2001, NHDES accepted ENGI's fence installation plan, but requested clarification on the fence location and signage. In correspondence dated April 3, 2002, ENGI provided proposed language to NHDES for the signs to be attached to the ravine fence. NHDES approved the ravine sign language in April 2002.
- On May 1, 2002, ENGI issued a Request for Proposals to eight environmental consultants for the Phase II Site Investigation and Risk Characterization. ENGI received six proposals for the Phase II work in June 2002.
- In June 2002, the City of Manchester approved the ravine fence location and granted access to City property to install. The work was completed in August 2002.
- URS Consultants were awarded the contract to undertake the next phase of work. A Phase II Site Investigation Scope of Work was submitted in September 2002.
- Phase II field investigations began in the fall of 2002.
- In June 2003, the City of Manchester approved a proposal to construct a minor league ballpark, retail shops, parking garage, hotel and high-rise condominium complex on the Singer Park site, in the same general areas that MGP impacts were detected in ongoing Phase II investigations. Following supplemental ravine investigations during the spring and summer of 2003, the Drainage Ravine Engineering Evaluation was submitted to

# MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

NHDES in January 2004, and presented four potential remedial alternatives for the ravine, which is located on a portion of Singer Park.

- ENGI had been a regular participant in monthly Singer Park redevelopment meetings with NHDES, the City of Manchester and the various developers from April 2003 until the regular meetings ended on November 15, 2004. ENGI had attended these coordination meetings to ensure that the environmental and construction aspects of the redevelopment were being addressed concurrently and that ENGI avoided incurring costs associated with another entity's contamination.
- ENGI entered into confidential agreements with Manchester Parkside Place (the owner of the ravine property) for access and cleanup of MGP byproducts in the ravine in January 2005.
- In January 2005, ENGI submitted a Remedial Design Report to NHDES selecting excavation and off-site disposal of source material and impacted soils as the remedial alternative for the ravine. NHDES approved of this alternative via a letter dated February 7, 2005. Eleven contractors were invited to bid on the ravine remediation in January 2005. The contract was awarded to the low bidder (ENTACT) in February 2005. Remediation of the ravine began in March and was completed in July 2005. A remedial completion report was submitted to NHDES on September 2, 2005.
- ENGI submitted a Phase II Site Investigation Report to NHDES in March 2004. The report concluded that MGP impacts (including impacted soil and groundwater and separate phase coal tar) were present in the subsurface beneath the 130 Elm Street property, portions of Singer Park at depth and the Merrimack River sediment. Further investigations were recommended by ENGI to further assess the nature and extent of this contamination and a work plan proposing those investigations was submitted to NHDES in May 2004 and approved in July 2004. These supplemental investigations were completed and documented in the Supplemental Phase II Investigation Report and the Stage I Ecological Screening Report for the Merrimack River, submitted to NHDES in February and March 2005, respectively. The reports concluded that Remedial Action Plans for the upland and Merrimack River portions of the site were required. On September 15, 2005, NHDES issued a letter accepting the reports and requested ENGI prepare a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) to address impacted sediments in the Merrimack River, as well as MGP-related impacts on the upland portion of the site. Preparation of the RAPs began in August 2006.

## MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- Additional Merrimack River investigations were completed in 2007 and the Remedial Design Report for dredging approximately 9,000 cubic yards of coal tar-impacted sediments from the river was submitted to NHDES on May 11, 2007. ENGI applied for, and was granted, a Dredge and Fill Permit for the remedial dredging from NHDES and the United States Army Corps of Engineers on May 18, 2007. Dredging of the river commenced in June 2007 and was substantially completed by the end of the year. Final site restoration activities associated with the sediment remediation were complete in May 2008. A Remedial Action Implementation Report documenting the sediment remediation activities was submitted to NHDES in May 2008.
- Certain pre-design investigations were completed on the upland portion of the site in 2008/2009. ENGI also completed interim Phase I Corrective Actions at the site, including pilot scale light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL) recovery, pilot scale dense non-aqueous phase (DNAPL) recovery, and design for repair/replacement of a deteriorated portion of the site drainage system located within a known LNAPL area of the site. Limited surface soil removal activities were conducted during the summer/fall of 2008 in an area with detected Upper Concentration Limit exceedances in shallow soils.
- ENGI was issued a Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ) permit No. GWP-200003011-M-001 for the former MGP site on June 15, 2009. The permit establishes a groundwater management zone in the vicinity of the former MGP site with associated notification/groundwater monitoring requirements. Groundwater monitoring events to support this GMZ permit have been ongoing, every April and October.
- ENGI submitted an RAP for the upland portion of the site to NHDES on June 30, 2010. The remedial objectives for the site include control of mobile DNAPL, reduction in contaminant mass (where practicable), and management of residual contamination through the use of administrative controls. The recommended remedial alternative includes removal of the contents of certain subsurface structures where removal is anticipated to provide a reduction in the potential for the further release of DNAPL to the subsurface; NAPL recovery from the subsurface; construction of a barrier wall proximate to the Merrimack River to mitigate potential DNAPL migration; and use of administrative controls to address potential human exposure to residual soil and groundwater contamination. Additional investigation activities were recommended to support the preparation of

# MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

> Design Plans and Construction Specifications following NHDES approval of the RAP and to confirm the appropriateness of certain remedial alternatives recommended in the RAP.

- In Fall 2010, ENGI performed storm drain rehabilitation activities on a deteriorated portion of the site drainage system that is located within a known LNAPL area. This work was performed to mitigate the migration of LNAPL to the Merrimack River via the storm drain system. These activities were mainly completed in late 2010.
- In April 2011, NHDES approved of the upland RAP and requested that ENGI proceed with the additional investigation activities recommended in the June 2010 RAP. In addition, ENGI was contacted by both the developer and condominium association associated with the property directly downgradient of the site regarding potential impacts to the property, as well as the proposed remedy; ENGI met with both parties in early and mid-2011.

After meeting with the developer of the property directly downgradient of the site at the potential location of the barrier wall regarding potential impacts to the property in September/October 2011, access was obtained to conduct certain approved pre-design off-site investigation activities as recommended in the June 2010 RAP. The off-property investigations were substantially completed in December 2011. A meeting was held with NHDES in December 2011 to discuss the results.

- On-site pre-design investigation activities were conducted during the spring and summer of 2012 including: additional groundwater quality monitoring, former gas holder foundation test pit excavations, supplemental LNAPL delineation, cyanide source investigation test pit excavations, cyanide delineation and source investigation monitoring well installation, and storm drain inspection.
- Further storm drain inspections occurred during July and August 2013. The remedial design and construction specifications report was drafted including a summary of the design investigation activities and findings. The remedial design includes the monitoring and practicable recovery of NAPL at strategic on-site and off-site locations, as well as excavation of subsurface structures with concurrent source removal if encountered. The Remedial Design Report drafted, also summarizes the results of cyanide source investigation and delineation work, with further source delineation work anticipated.

## MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- In addition to routine Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) sampling and reporting, an application for GMP renewal was also submitted to NHDES in July 2014, with the Annual Summary Report for the 2013/2014 groundwater Monitoring year. The Remedial Design Report was submitted to NHDES on December 19, 2014. On July 15, 2015, NHDES accepted the proposed remedial design with exceptions involving further remediation of historical Holder 3, and further investigation of the storm drain system beneath and downstream of the site. ENGI responded to NHDES' comments and requests on May 12, 2017.
- Per the 2010 Remedial Action Plan and the 2014 Remedial Design Report ENGI removed material from a tar separator, tar well and other subsurface structures, dug four test pits, and installed three new monitoring wells and an extraction well on-site, prior to property paving in Fall 2017. Further removals from subsurface structures were planned and completed in 2019.
- During 2017, NHDES required active hazardous waste sites managed by the NHDES Hazardous Waste Remediation Bureau to include Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in one of their sampling rounds.
- In 2019, ENGI continued to address potential site impacts per the 2014 Remedial Design Report by removing approximately 9,000 gallons of contaminated liquids and sludge from a subsurface tar liquor decanter structure in the gas plant area. After removal, ENGI cleaned the structure and filled it with inert fill. The details of these activities were reported to NHDES in the 2018/2019 Annual Summary Report dated July 24, 2019.
- In June 2019, three extraction wells were also installed at the western boundary of the site where an existing well in that area was detecting recoverable product. These wells will be used to remove free product on an ongoing basis. Three additional groundwater monitoring wells were installed in the Holder #3 area to monitor potential impacts detected during previous test pit excavation.
- A pump-down of an existing well on the east side of the property, installed in 2017 to recover oil from a known historical oil tank impact in that area, took place in June 2019. The test succeeded to return recoverable product to the well and it will be used to remove free product on an ongoing basis.
- In addition to routine Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) sampling and reporting, an application for GMP renewal was submitted to NHDES in

# MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

> May 2020 with requests to reduce the frequency of sampling of two wells and adding sampling of the 6 new wells installed in 2017-18. Annual Summary Reports detailing the results of groundwater monitoring at the site continue to be submitted.

- ENGI reconstructed a water supply line near the entrance to the plant generating a substantial amount of soil that required disposal at ESMI, Loudon, NH.
- ENGI received the renewed GMP on February 26, 2021, effective until 2026, covering the monitoring of 42 groundwater monitoring wells each April and October.
- A sinkhole in the LNG Area over Holder #3 was discovered in October 2020. Fill materials were excavated and the sinkhole was repaired. A new sinkhole reappeared in the same area in May 2021, and the process was repeated to stabilize the area. This area was historically filled with soil and debris when the old holder was decommissioned.
- A sinkhole outside the northeastern corner of the LNG area was repaired in July 2022. The repair involved the excavation and management of MGP-impacted materials.
- Two DNAPL monitoring and recovery wells were installed at the site in November 2022 to further evaluate the potential presence of recoverable DNAPL in the vicinity of Holder #3.
- 5. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PHASE: Phase I Site Investigation complete. Phase II Site Investigation complete and supplemental report submitted to NHDES in February 2005. Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for the ravine submitted and approved by NHDES in 2005; remediation of ravine completed in July 2005. Remediation of the river sediment was completed in 2007. A RAP for the upland portion of the site was submitted to NHDES for review on June 30, 2010. NHDES issued its approval of the RAP for the upland portion of the site in a letter dated April 11, 2011. The Remedial Design Report summarizing the activities for addressing on-site and off-site impacts was submitted on December 19, 2014. On July 15, 2015, NHDES accepted the proposed remedial design with exceptions. ENGI addressed these concerns and implemented the remedial activities on-site and off-site in 2017.

In 2019, ENGI continued to address potential site impacts per the Remedial Design Report by removing approximately 9,000 gallons of contaminated liquids and sludge from a subsurface structure in the gas plant area, installing three extraction

## MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

> wells at the western boundary of the site, and installing three groundwater monitoring wells in one of the gas holder footprints. Also in 2019, needed reconstruction of a major water supply line near the entrance to the property resulted in the removal of a substantial amount of MGP-impacted soil.

> Costs for the repair of a sink hole in the LNG area, and soil management related to the installation of new corrosion protection ground beds were included in the 2022 filing. Costs for repair of the sink hole outside the northeastern corner of the LNG area are included in the 2023 filing. The design for the upgrade of the storm drainage line along the western boundary of the property are on hold pending receipt of the City of Manchester's design for the new bridge spanning over the railroad from the riverside condominium communities and directed toward Elm Street.

- 6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP: The former Manchester MGP is believed to have started producing coal gas in 1852. Gas was produced at the site by the Manchester Gas Company and its predecessors until the MGP was shut down in 1952 when natural gas was supplied to the city via pipeline. ENGI is the successor by merger to the Manchester Gas Company. ENGI continues to own and operate the 130 Elm Street property as an operations center.
- 7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3RD PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: In late 2000, ENGI filed suit against UGI Utilities, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire, alleging that during much of the early part of the 20th century, a predecessor to that entity "operated" the Manchester Gas Plant, as defined by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (commonly referred to as "CERCLA" or "Superfund"). This claim was similar to a claim litigated and ultimately settled by the parties in the late 1990s, related to the former gas plant in Concord, NH. The case went to trial in June 2003 and was settled after 8 days of trial.

Insurance recovery efforts are complete, and confidential settlements have been entered into with all insurance company defendants. An agreement with the last remaining insurance carrier was negotiated in August 2008, under which that carrier paid ENGI's legal fees incurred in the litigation. That settlement came about after a ruling from the New Hampshire Supreme Court, in response to a question certified by the United States District Court, on allocation of coverage, and the scope and meaning of NH RSA 491:22-a, as it relates to awards of attorneys' fees. EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyds, 156 N.H. 333 (2007). As to allocation, the Court ruled as proposed by the carrier that insurance

## MANCHESTER FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

coverage should be allocated on a *pro rata* basis when multiple policies are triggered by an ongoing event. ENGI had argued for an "all sums" allocation approach in which the insured could choose the policy years from which to obtain indemnity. With respect to legal fees, the Court held that " [i]f the insured has obtained rulings that require the excess insurer to indemnify it, the insured has prevailed within the meaning of RSA 491:22-b, and is immediately entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. Recovery of these fees and costs does not depend on whether, after all is said and done; the excess insurer actually has to pay any indemnification. The insured becomes entitled to the fees and costs once it obtains rulings that demonstrate there is coverage under the excess insurance policy." Under that finding, the insurance carrier was obligated to reimburse legal fees even if the *pro rata* allocation analysis resulted in the carrier owning no indemnity.

*Note:* This summary is an overview and is not intended to be a comprehensive recitation of all relevant information relating to the site and the associated liability.

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE NO.

- 1. SITE LOCATION: 38 Bridge Street, Nashua, New Hampshire.
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED: At the end of 1998, the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) sent a "Notification of Site Listing and Request for Site Investigation" for the former Nashua Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) to the former plant owners/operators: EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a National Grid (ENGI)<sup>1</sup>, and Public Service Company of New Hampshire (PSNH) and its parent company, Northeast Utilities Services Company (NU). NHDES designated the site DES #199810022.
- 3. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Residual materials from the former MGP have been identified at the site and in the adjacent Nashua River. These residuals, which include tars and oils, have been found mainly in subsurface soil at discrete locations, in groundwater, and in localized river sediments.
- 4. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES:
  - Prior to the time NHDES issued its notice letter to ENGI, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was remediating contamination (asbestos) at the former Johns Manville plant located adjacent to, and downstream from the 38 Bridge Street property. In the course of that work, EPA detected what it determined to be MGP related residuals in Nashua River sediments containing asbestos. EPA sought reimbursement from ENGI and PSNH of only those incremental additional costs it incurred to dispose of sediments containing MGP related wastes in addition to asbestos. ENGI and PSNH entered into a settlement agreement with the EPA at the end of September 2000. Under the terms of the agreement, each company received a release from liability associated with the so-called Nashua River Superfund Site and contribution protection against future claims associated with that site. The settlement agreement made it clear that EPA does not contend that ENGI or PSNH contributed any asbestos to the Nashua River.
  - In response to the 1998 notice from NHDES, QST Environmental, Inc. (QST, subsequently Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE), and later Harding ESE, Inc. (Harding ESE)), submitted a Scoping Phase

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In July 2012, EnergyNorth was acquired by Liberty Utilities and its legal name changed to Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty. For consistency purposes, the acronym ENGI will be used throughout this document.

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

Field Investigation Scope of Work to NHDES on behalf of ENGI in February 1999.

- In response to comments from NHDES, QST and ENGI refined the Scope of Work for the Scoping Phase Field Investigation and resubmitted to NHDES in April 1999.
- NHDES approved the refined Scoping Phase Field Investigation Scope of Work in May 1999.
- During the summer of 1999, ENGI and QST conducted the Scoping Phase Field Investigation, collecting site background information and soil, groundwater, surface water and sediment samples from the former Nashua MGP and the adjacent Nashua River.
- ENGI and ESE submitted the Scoping Phase Field Investigation Report to NHDES in December 1999.
- NHDES provided comments to ENGI and ESE in February 2000 on the Scoping Phase Field Investigation Report and requested a Phase II Investigation Scope of Work.
- On behalf of ENGI, ESE submitted a Draft Phase II Investigation Work Plan to NHDES in April 2000.
- ENGI and ESE met with the NHDES site manager in April 2000 to discuss the Draft Phase II Investigation Work Plan.
- NHDES provided written comments on the Draft Phase II Investigation Work Plan in June 2000.
- ENGI and ESE met with NHDES in August 2000 to discuss NHDES' comments on the Phase II Work Plan.
- ENGI submitted a letter to NHDES in August 2000 discussing revisions to the Draft Phase II Investigation Work Plan in response to comments from NHDES and PSNH/NU, along with a proposed schedule for implementation of the work.
- NHDES approved the Revised Phase II Work Plan for the site at the end of August 2000.

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- NHDES provided comments to ENGI and Harding ESE on the proposed schedule for Phase II Work Plan implementation in September 2000.
- ENGI submitted an addendum to the Phase II Work Plan, including a proposed approach for risk evaluation, to NHDES in November 2000.
- Subsequent to meetings and discussions throughout 2000, ENGI and PSNH reached agreement in late 2000 regarding sharing of costs for the remediation work and transfer of management of the remediation work to ENGI.
- Harding ESE implemented the Phase II Work Plan during the fall and winter of 2000/2001. Work entailed a comprehensive field program that included the advancement of river borings and collection of sediment samples as well as the installation of borings and monitoring wells on and off the property.
- NHDES provided comments on the Phase II Work Plan addendum in February 2001.
- Harding ESE responded to NHDES comments on the Phase II Work Plan addendum in March 2001.
- In May 2001, ENGI submitted to NHDES a Draft Site Conceptual Model to assist with finalization of the Phase II Work Plan Addendum and met with NHDES to discuss.
- ENGI and Harding ESE revised the Draft Site Conceptual Model and outlined supplemental field activities to be included in the Phase II Work Plan Addendum and submitted to NHDES in June 2001.
- In July 2001, ENGI and Harding ESE met with NHDES to review the Site Conceptual Model and proposed Phase II supplemental investigation activities.
- ENGI and NHDES met in August 2001 to discuss the overall site objectives.
- In September 2001, Harding ESE, on behalf of ENGI, submitted a Phase IIB Supplemental Site Investigation (SI) Scope of Work to NHDES.

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- NHDES provided verbal approval for the Phase IIB Supplemental SI, and Harding ESE initiated the field program on behalf of ENGI in October 2001.
- NHDES provided written approval of the Phase IIB Supplemental SI in October 2001. A modification to the proposed scope of work relating to investigations adjacent to the gas lines was proposed and verbal approval was obtained from NHDES on November 19, 2001.
- Property owners north of the Nashua River did not provide access to install monitoring wells proposed in the Phase IIB SOW. Harding ESE completed all on-site work outlined in the Phase IIB SOW in February 2002.
- ENGI received access from PSNH to install Phase IIB monitoring wells west of the site in March 2002.
- Harding ESE installed additional groundwater monitoring wells west of the site in March and sampled all newly installed monitoring wells in April 2002. All work outlined in the Phase IIB SOW was completed except for the proposed monitoring wells north of the Nashua River where access was denied.
- The Phase II Report was submitted to NHDES in February 2003. The report was approved by NHDES in August 2003. At the time of approval, NHDES required ENGI to begin work on the Remedial Action Plan for the site, due in 2004.
- ENGI met with NHDES on November 3, 2003, to review the proposed remedial schedule, which called for the Remedial Action Plan to be submitted in July 2004, and remediation to occur in 2005. NHDES approved the schedule by letter dated December 1, 2003. In that letter they concurred with ENGI's request to divide the site into terrestrial and aquatic portions, to facilitate remediation of sediments concurrent with re-armoring of ENGI's gas mains crossing the river.
- By way of a May 5, 2004 letter, ENGI requested that NHDES waive the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) requirement for the aquatic portion of the site and allow ENGI to proceed with capping sediments in conjunction with gas main rearmoring, which was scheduled for completion in 2004. NHDES approved the request by letter dated May 14, 2004.
- ENGI held pre-application meetings with state and federal agencies (NHDES Wetlands Bureau, United States Army Corps of Engineers, United

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

States Department of Fish and Wildlife, United States Environmental Protection Agency and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) in June 2004. These meetings were held in advance of permit application submission for the capping/rearmoring project, to review the project and expedite the approval process. The application was submitted to these agencies as well as the City of Nashua on July 1, 2004. On July 6, 2004, NHDES deemed the permit application administratively complete. The hearing was closed on July 26, 2004 and the permit was issued in September 2004. The capping and re-armoring was completed in October 2004 and the Remedial Completion Report, submitted to NHDES in January 2005, was subsequently approved.

- In October 2005, ENGI submitted the Terrestrial Remedial Action Plan to NHDES, and the document was deemed complete by NHDES in March 2006. NHDES requested supplemental information to be submitted before ENGI proceeded with remediation, and in 2007 ENGI gathered the requested data.
- In November 2007, ENGI submitted a Workplan for DNAPL Recovery Pilot Test to NHDES and the document was approved by NHDES on November 14, 2007.
- ENGI applied for three permits required for the implementation of the NHDES-approved DNAPL pilot testing activities: Nashua Conservation Commission Permit, Nashua Zoning Board of Appeals Permit and NHDES Dredge and Fill Permit. ENGI attended numerous hearings related to obtaining the permits and obtained the three permits on April 21, 2008, April 23, 2008, and May 31, 2008, respectively.
- In June 2008, ENGI installed six extraction wells for DNAPL recovery pilot testing at the site. ENGI completed the construction of the coal tar recovery system trailer (i.e., the equipment that will be used to pump, collect and temporarily store the coal tar) in December 2008. Trenching for the subsurface piping and final system installation was delayed in late 2008 due to weather. ENGI performed manual DNAPL recovery throughout 2008 and the first three quarters of 2009.
- In Spring 2009, ENGI began trenching and final system installation activities for the DNAPL recovery pilot testing. The trenching, pump installations and system electrical work were completed in July 2009. Electrical service was

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

installed in late August 2009. The system was started up in November 2009 and has been operational since that time.

- In September 2010, ENGI submitted an Installation Summary and DNAPL Recovery Pilot test summary report to NHDES. This report recommended that DNAPL extraction activities continue. In October 2010, a work plan for an off-site groundwater investigation program to support the delineation of a Groundwater Management Zone was submitted to NHDES. This work plan was approved by NHDES in a letter dated November 5, 2010. Access negotiations and environmental permitting for the NHDES-approved investigation were completed in June 2011.
- The NHDES-approved subsurface soil and groundwater investigation program was initiated on September 26, 2011. The goal of this program was to delineate a Groundwater Management Zone for the site, and allow for the filing of a Groundwater Management Permit (GMP). Due to known asbestos in the off-site area to be investigated, ENGI submitted an "In-active Asbestos Disposal Site (ADS) Work Plan"; NHDES approved the asbestos work plan in October 2011. Soil boring and well installation work was performed between October and December 2011. An In-active ADS Site Completion Report was submitted to and accepted by NHDES on May 4, 2012. Groundwater sampling events were conducted in February and May 2012. A meeting to discuss the preliminary results of the Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ) investigation program with NHDES took place on August 16, 2012. It was agreed that two more rounds of groundwater sampling should occur before a delineation of the GMZ is considered.
- On November 27, 2012 and December 6, 2012, 8.25 feet and 10.83 feet of DNAPL appeared in MW-106, situated in the foot print of historical Holder #2. A weekly monitoring and removal plan was initiated at this time and is ongoing as of July 2013. To date, 109 gallons of DNAPL has been removed manually, in addition to the system removal discussed above.
- In January 2013, a Supplemental Investigation Report (SIR) and DNAPL Recovery System Pilot Test Progress report was submitted to NHDES reporting on additional investigation activities, including the installation of sixteen additional wells in 2011, and the May and September 2012 (second and third of three) rounds of sampling to define groundwater quality and hydrogeologic conditions at the site, so that the GMZ can be delineated. Additionally, the report includes information regarding DNAPL recovery system O&M activities and DNAPL recovery rates demonstrating that the

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

system still effectively recovers DNAPL. A meeting with NHDES took place on March 22, 2013, to discuss these results and next steps.

- NHDES responded to the January 2013 submittal via letter dated May 21, 2013, accepting the SI Report and authorizing ENGI to proceed with the delineation of the GMZ in order to submit a Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) application, and the preparation of a revised Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for the terrestrial portion of the site. NHDES allows ENGI to utilize manual removal of DNAPL as these methods are more effective than the automated recovery system.
- ENGI responded to the NHDES letter on June 19 with a schedule targeting December 31, 2013, for submittal of the GMP application and revised RAP.
- In December 2013, ENGI submitted a request to revise the RAP. The purpose of the request was to summarize activities conducted since submittal of the 2013 Supplemental Investigation Report and to propose a revision to the approved RAP for the area on site known as "Holder # 2."
- The RAP submitted in 2005 selected asphalt capping in the area of Holder #2. The entire area of the Holder was not designated to be capped with asphalt. At the time of the preparation of the RAP, separate phase NAPL was not considered to be present in recoverable quantities in Holder #2. In order to address what appears to be a limited area and quantity of NAPL in a monitoring well in Holder #2, continued manual NAPL recovery from two additional wells in the Holder #2 area was proposed as part of the GMP monitoring program.
- In addition to the NAPL recovery activity, the area of asphalt capping was proposed to be expanded to include all of former Holder #2. This expansion of paving will also address the asbestos contaminated material (ACM) present in this area of the site. The asphalt cap detail presented in the proposed RAP revision will be modified (as necessary) to address the relevant solid waste regulations for ACM in soil.
- On June 4, 2014, the NHDES approved of the requested RAP revision and required that a RAP Summary Report, with the necessary engineering details for the selected remedies, be provided. ENGI plans to submit this RAP Summary Report by December 31, 2014.

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

- The GMP Application was submitted in March 2014. The GMP proposed a list of monitoring wells and analytical methods in order to monitor the Groundwater Management Zone.
- On June 5, 2014, the NHDES approved the GMP application. This Permit was issued for a period of five years requiring the monitoring of groundwater quality, assessing and recovering any free product found, and visually inspecting the Nashua River sediment cap area. During the first year of the Permit, monitoring events will be conducted in October 2014 and April 2015, and each successive April and October. Annual summary reports are submitted to the NHDES in January of each year.
- The first groundwater monitoring annual summary report was submitted to NHDES in February 2015, and included the groundwater data from the first GMP round of sampling on October 27, 2014.
- ENGI submitted the draft Activity and Use Restriction (AUR) and RAP Engineering Design details for the cap on September 14, 2015. ENGI received comments from NHDES on December 15, 2016. NHDES altered the design to include an impermeable capping layer, and incorporation of standards in the Waste Management Bureau's Asbestos Disposal Site rules. As ENGI is planning to pave the Nashua property in 2018, the cap will be installed in conjunction with this capital project.
- In May 2017, the NHDES requested by letter that all active hazardous waste sites managed by the Hazardous Waste Remediation Bureau include sampling for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in one of their groundwater sampling rounds, as part of a statewide study of these compounds. ENGI fulfilled this request during regularly scheduled sampling in 2018.
- The capping remedy was planned for 2018 in conjunction with an overall paving of the property, however a portion of the City's sewer pipe that transects the property collapsed in early February 2018 prompting the City to plan a lining upgrade to it during summer 2018. This event, coupled with further delays caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, caused the remedy construction to be pushed out to 2021.
- In a letter dated May 2, 2019, NHDES approved ENGI's 5-year Groundwater Management Permit (GMP) renewal application decreasing the frequency of sampling for all but two wells in the perimeter groundwater

# NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

management zone. Additionally, NHDES required that a second confirmatory round of PFAS samples be taken in the 2019 GMP monitoring round.

- In the same May 2, 2019 letter, NHDES approved GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc's (GZA) proposed cap design transmitted to them on January 30, 2019. The cap design was altered to require an impermeable barrier only under "non-paved" surfaces.
- The cap installation and subsequent paving of the entire property had been pushed out to 2021, due to delays in permitting and the COVID-19 pandemic. During the 2020-21 period, ENGI worked with the City of Nashua to assess the condition of subsurface stormwater and sewer lines, and prepared applications for NHDES Alteration of Terrain permitting for the property paving. The Alteration of Terrain permit for the project was received on February 11, 2022.
- In August 2021, groundwork began in the area of the yard labeled the Phase 1 area, where the engineered cap is to be installed. All work was performed under a NHDES-approved Asbestos Disposal Site Work Plan, and was completed in fall 2022.
- In accordance with an NHDES request, additional PFAS sampling was performed during the April 2023 sampling event, and an updated receptor survey will be submitted in Summer 2023.
- NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE: All Supplemental Phase II Site Investigation Work that could be performed (based on property access) has been completed. Phase II Report was submitted to NHDES in February 2003, and approved by NHDES on August 28, 2003. Remediation of the Nashua River sediments was completed in A Remedial Action Plan (RAP) for the upland and the fall of 2004. groundwater was submitted in October 2005, and approved by NHDES in March 2006. DNAPL recovery is on-going. A Groundwater Management Permit was granted on June 5, 2014. A RAP Summary, involving the asphalt capping of the area over Holder #2 and continued groundwater monitoring, was submitted on April 2, 2015. A Monitoring Summary and Progress Report was submitted by ENGI on February 7, 2015. NHDES accepted the RAP Summary on April 10, 2015, with the provisions that ENGI submit the draft Activity and Use Restriction (AUR) and final engineering design plan for the cap by September 15, 2015. ENGI submitted the draft Activity and Use Restriction (AUR) and RAP Engineering Design details for the cap on

## NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

September 14, 2015. NHDES responded to ENGI with their comments on December 15, 2016. Design for the engineered cap remedy was completed and approved by NHDES. ENGI received the Alteration of Terrain permit from NHDES in early 2022. The work to prepare the site for capping and paving began in August 2021.

- Construction of the engineered cap remedy was completed in fall 2022, which was performed in conjunction with various site improvements including, removal of existing subsurface propane piping to install an overhead piping system for conveyance of propane to the plant, installation of piers for supports for the overhead propane piping, installation of a new containment berm around the propane day tank, and installation of the new stormwater infiltration system in the Phase 2 area.
- 5. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP: The Nashua Gas Light Company built the original coal gas facility in 1852 or 1853. In 1889, the Nashua Gas Light Company merged with the Nashua Electric Company to form the Nashua Light, Heat and Power Company (NHLPC). In 1914, the NLHPC merged with the Manchester Traction Light & Power Company, and PSNH acquired the facility in 1926. The MGP facility was upgraded and expanded. In 1945, PSNH divested the gas operations to Gas Service, Inc. Gas production was eliminated in 1952 when natural gas was supplied to the city via pipeline. In 1981, Gas Service, Inc. merged with Manchester Gas Company to form ENGI. ENGI currently owns the majority of the former gas plant property.
- 6. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3RD PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: The EPA made a claim against ENGI and PSNH related to the so-called Nashua River Asbestos Site located adjacent to the former MGP. EPA was removing asbestos from the Nashua River, when some was found to be mixed with wastes allegedly from the MGP. Without admitting any facts or liability, by agreement effective December 21, 2000, ENGI resolved EPA's claim in exchange for a payment of \$387,371.46, plus interest accrued between settlement and final approval of an administrative consent order by EPA.

ENGI and PSNH have entered into a confidential Site Responsibility and Indemnity Agreement effective as of September 15, 2000, which governs the financial and decision-making responsibilities of the two companies through the remainder of site study and remediation. Under this agreement, ENGI will take the lead on site investigation and remediation.

## NASHUA FORMER MGP

LINE <u>NO.</u>

> Numerous, confidential insurance settlements have been entered into. A jury trial commenced against the London Market Insurers and Century Indemnity on November 1, 2005. On November 14, 2005, the jury returned a verdict in favor of EnergyNorth finding that the defendants were obligated to indemnify EnergyNorth for response costs incurred at the site. The Court then awarded ENGI its reasonable costs and attorneys fees to be paid by the defendants. Subsequent to the verdict, the London Market and ENGI entered into a confidential settlement. Century appealed to the First Circuit Court of Appeals in the summer of 2006. However, on the day its brief was due at the First Circuit, Century withdrew its appeal. Because the site has not yet been remediated, the jury was not asked to make a damage determination. Future proceedings will take place after the remedy has been approved by the NHDES to determine the indemnification amounts to be paid by Century. The New Hampshire Supreme Court's ruling and guidance on the proper manner in which costs are to be allocated among insurers (discussed in more detail in the Manchester MGP summary) will be used in the calculation of that figure.

# *Note: This summary is an overview only and is not intended to be a comprehensive recitation of all relevant information relating to the site and the associated liability.*

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs 2023 Summary By Site								REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 1 of 9
	1101	1102	1105	1106	1107	1108	1109	

														100 %	IN	SURANCE &	INSURANCE &	
LINE			L	EGAL	C	ONSULTING	REN	IEDIATION	S	ETTLEMENT		OTHER	RE	ECOVERABLE	тн	IRD PARTY	THIRD PARTY	
NO.	SITE	REF NO.	EX	PENSES	E	EXPENSES	EX	PENSES	E	EXPENSES	EX	PENSES		EXPENSES	E	XPENSES	RECOVERIES	TOTAL
1	Concord Pond	DEF056	\$	-	\$	50,164.62	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,066.84	\$	56,231.46				\$ 47,459.39
2	Concord MGP (excludes Relief Holder)	DEF077	\$	-	\$	51,388.19	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11,157.95	\$	62,546.14				\$ (27,027.99)
3	Concord MGP (Relief Holder)*	DEF077	\$	246.00	\$	82,833.73	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	83,079.73				\$ 245,079.73
4	Laconia/Liberty Hill	DEF086	\$	-	\$	26,751.89	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,163.00	\$	29,914.89				\$ 29,914.89
5	Manchester MGP	DEF057	\$	-	\$	102,819.77	\$	-	\$	-	\$	13,046.30	\$	115,866.07				\$ 40,976.47
6	Nashua MGP	DEF054	\$	-	\$	544,029.21	\$	-	\$	-	\$1·	44,734.02	\$	688,763.23				\$ 310,768.13
7	General Expenses	DEF064	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,177.18	\$	7,177.18				\$ 7,177.18
8																		\$ -
9	Total Pool Activity		\$	246.00	\$	857,987.41	\$		\$	-	\$1	85,345.29	\$	1,043,578.70	\$	162,000.00	\$ (551,230.90)	\$ 654,347.80
10	Total Pool Activity Excluding Relief Holder		\$	-	\$	775,153.68	\$	•	\$	-	\$1	85,345.29	\$	960,498.97	\$	-	\$ (551,230.90)	\$ 409,268.07

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs Nashua - Remediation - Project DEF054

REDACTED
Schedule 3.2
MGP Sites
Page 2 of 9

			1101		1102	1105	1106		1107		1108	1109	
LINE			LEGAL	<u> </u>	NSULTING	REMEDIATION	SETTLEMENT		OTHER	SUBTOTAL	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY	TOTAL BMITTED
NO.		REF NO.	EXPENSES		PENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES		XPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSE	RECOVERIES	22 - 6/2023
1	CLEAN HARBORS	1004216091						\$	3,105.87	\$ 3,105.87			\$ 3,105.87
2	ESMI OF NH	438898						\$	6,813.21	\$ 6,813.21			\$ 6,813.21
3	LEIGHTON A. WHITE INC.	23203						\$	50,350.34	\$ 50,350.34			\$ 50,350.34
4	ESMI OF NH	439641						\$	1,588.68	\$ 1,588.68			\$ 1,588.68
5	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0839563		\$	240,706.69					\$ 240,706.69			\$ 240,706.69
6	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	199810022 072922						\$	189.63				\$ 189.63
7	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14221		\$	7.053.27					\$ 7,053.27			\$ 7,053.27
8	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14246		\$	3,700.00					\$ 3,700.00			\$ 3,700.00
9	LEIGHTON A. WHITE INC.	23444			-,			\$	30,275.45				\$ 30,275.45
10	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0840377		\$	97,416.23			Ŷ	00,210.10	\$ 97.416.23			\$ 97.416.23
11	ESMI OF NH	442451		+				\$	752.00	\$ 752.00			\$ 752.00
12	ESMI OF NH	444343						\$	947.97				\$ 947.97
13	ESMI OF NH	442058						\$	1,491.17				\$ 1,491.17
14	ESMI OF NH	442093						\$	1,423.62				\$ 1,423.62
15	ESMI OF NH	443228						\$	2,732.60				\$ 2,732.60
17	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14276		\$	3,296.94				3	\$ 3,296.94			\$ 3,296.94
18	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0842535		\$	97,593.23				:	\$ 97,593.23			\$ 97,593.23
19	ESMI OF NH	447264						\$	4,764.12	\$ 4,764.12			\$ 4,764.12
20	ESMI OF NH	447630						\$	3,230.61	\$ 3,230.61			\$ 3,230.61
21	ESMI OF NH	447298						\$	3,209.20	\$ 3,209.20			\$ 3,209.20
22	ESMI OF NH	447415						\$	2,340.19	\$ 2,340.19			\$ 2,340.19
23	ESMI OF NH	445105						\$	1,961.58	\$ 1,961.58			\$ 1,961.58
	CLEAN HARBORS	1004296997						\$	1,373.12	1 1			\$ 1,373.12
26	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070399						\$	252.14				\$ 252.14
27	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070458						\$	112.06				\$ 112.06
28	CLEAN HARBORS	1004148867						\$	553.00	• • • • • • •			\$ 553.00
29	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	843498		\$	6,292.50					\$ 6,292.50			\$ 6,292.50
30	LEIGHTON A. WHITE INC.	23936						\$	4,860.00	.,			\$ 4,860.00
31	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14309		\$	1,985.00			•	007.0.1	\$ 1,985.00			\$ 1,985.00
32	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q2 INVOICE						\$	237.04	\$ 237.04			\$ 237.04
33	LEIGHTON A. WHITE INC.	24206		•	1 005 15			\$	7,305.00	\$ 7,305.00			\$ 7,305.00
34	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14337		\$	1,825.45					,-=			\$ 1,825.45
35		845514		\$	8,706.63			<b>^</b>	100.00	\$ 8,706.63			\$ 8,706.63
36		1071715		¢	47.040.05			\$	168.09				\$ 168.09
37	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	846923		\$	17,943.85				3	\$ 17,943.85			\$ 17,943.85

MAN NAS	RTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORF UFACTURED GAS PLANT ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS HUA - REMEDIATION JECT DEF054	P. d/b/a LIBERTY	1101		1102	1105	1106		1107			1108	1109		REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 3 of 9
LINE NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	LEGAL EXPENSES			REMEDIATION EXPENSES	SETTLEMENT EXPENSES	F			SUBTOTAL EXPENSES	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY EXPENSE	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY RECOVERIES		TOTAL JBMITTED
	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071840		-				\$	252.14		252.14		RECOVERIED	\$	252.14
00		1011040						Ψ	202.14	Ψ	202.14			Ψ	202.14
40	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14394		\$	7,909.42					\$	7,909.42			\$	7,909.42
41	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14417		\$	7,737.50					\$	7,737.50			\$	7,737.50
42	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14440		\$	2,934.07					\$	2,934.07			\$	2,934.07
43	CLEAN HARBORS	1004527930						\$	2,231.98	\$	2,231.98			\$	2,231.98
15		11105		<b>^</b>	5 0 1 7 0 5					<b>^</b>	5 0 1 7 0 5			<b>^</b>	5.047.05
45	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14465		\$	5,917.85					\$	5,917.85			\$	5,917.85
46 47	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14384A 14510		\$	2,835.52					\$	2,835.52			\$	2,835.52
47	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC. INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14510		¢	9,587.96 20,587.10					¢	9,587.96 20,587.10			¢	9,587.96 20,587.10
40	INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, INC.	14001	1	φ	20,307.10					φ	20,367.10			φ	20,367.10
50										\$	-			\$	
51	Environmental Staff Time							\$	338.21	\$	338.21			\$	338.21
52	Total Pool Activity		\$ -	\$	544,029.21	\$ -	ş -	\$	144,734.02	\$	688,763.23	\$ -	\$ (377,995.10)	\$	310,768.13

For F Manu	ty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Lit Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Ifactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs JECT DEF056														REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 4 of 9
			1101		1102.00	1105	1106		1107			1108	1109		i ugo i oi o
												INSURANCE &	INSURANCE &		TOTAL
LINE			LEGAL	со	NSULTING	REMEDIATION	SETTLEMENT		OTHER	S	UBTOTAL	THIRD PARTY	THIRD PARTY		JBMITTED
NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	EXPENSES	E)	PENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	E)	XPENSES	E)	(PENSES	EXPENSES	RECOVERIES	7/20	022 - 6/2023
1	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3112571		\$	1,454.23					\$	1,454.23			\$	1,454.23
2	ANCHOR QEA LLC	10543		\$	1,116.50					\$	1,116.50			\$	1,116.50
	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3115573		\$	1,720.44					\$	1,720.44			\$	1,720.44
5	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3116410		\$	2,573.50					\$	2,573.50			\$	2,573.50
6	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL	1070908						\$	168.09		168.09			\$	168.09
7	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071931		<b>^</b>	4 004 50			\$	336.18	\$	336.18			\$	336.18
8 9		11851 3117923		\$	1,881.50					\$	1,881.50			\$ \$	1,881.50
9 10	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC. NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q2 Invoice		\$	4,584.80			\$	816.46	\$ \$	4,584.80 816.46			ծ Տ	4,584.80 816.46
10	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3119818		\$	3,772.80			Þ	010.40	ֆ Տ	3,772.80			ֆ Տ	3,772.80
12	ANCHOR QEA LLC	12967		φ \$	3,766.50					э S	3,766.50			э S	3,766.50
12	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3121614		φ \$	1,251.52					ф \$	1,251.52			э \$	1,251.52
	ANCHOR QEA LLC	13703		\$	3.143.34					\$	3.143.34			\$	3.143.34
15	GEI CONSULTANTS. INC.	3123388		\$	3.636.29					\$	3,636.29			\$	3,636.29
16	ANCHOR QEA LLC	14445		\$	1.948.25					\$	1,948.25			\$	1,948.25
17	GEI CONSULTANTS. INC.	3125671		\$	2.331.18					ŝ	2,331.18			\$	2,331.18
18	ANCHOR QEA LLC	14842		\$	929.75					\$	929.75			\$	929.75
19	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3126800		\$	1,463.89					\$	1,463.89			\$	1,463.89
20	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q3, 2022 INVOICE						\$	110.13	\$	110.13			\$	110.13
21	CITY OF CONCORD, NH	23002877						\$	2,040.00	\$	2,040.00			\$	2,040.00
	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3128693		\$	917.01					\$	917.01			\$	917.01
24	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3130668		\$	912.76					\$	912.76			\$	912.76
25	ANCHOR QEA LLC GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	16912 3132452		\$ ¢	8,352.25					\$	8,352.25			\$	8,352.25
26 27	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	3132452 2022 Q4 Invoice		φ	4,408.11			\$	2,202.68	\$ \$	4,408.11 2,202.68			\$ \$	4,408.11 2,202.68
21	INTIDLET OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	2022 Q4 INVOICE						φ	2,202.08	à	2,202.08			φ	2,202.08
29										\$	-			\$	-
	Environmental Staff Time							\$	393.30	\$	393.30			\$	393.30
31	Total Pool Activity		\$ -	\$	50,164.62	\$ -	\$-	\$	6,066.84	\$	56,231.46	\$-	\$ (8,772.07	')\$	47,459.39

For Re Manuf	v Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liber covery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 actured Gas Plant Environmental Costs ester - Remediation- Project DEF057	ty	1101		1102	1105	1106		1107			1108	1109		REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 5 of 9
LINE				~~~		DEMEDIATION			OTHER			INSURANCE &	INSURANCE &		TOTAL IBMITTED
NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	LEGAL EXPENSES		NSULTING KPENSES	REMEDIATION EXPENSES	SETTLEMENT EXPENSES		EXPENSES		SUBTOTAL EXPENSES	THIRD PARTY EXPENSE	THIRD PARTY RECOVERIES		22 - 6/2023
	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	200003011 072922						\$	353.30	\$	353.30			\$	353.30
	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0831255		\$	10,950.96					\$	10,950.96			\$	10,950.96
3	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0840538		\$	30,364.96					\$	30,364.96			\$	30,364.96
5	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070458						\$	392.21	\$	392.21			\$	392.21
-	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070908						\$	112.06		112.06			\$	112.06
7	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071380						\$	168.09	\$	168.09			\$	168.09
	CLEAN HARBORS	1004331723						\$	3,070.94	\$	3,070.94			\$	3,070.94
	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0843216		\$	8,106.85					\$	8,106.85			\$	8,106.85
-	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071125						\$	56.03		56.03			\$	56.03
	CLEAN HARBORS	1004330973						\$	263.29	\$	263.29			\$	263.29
	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC CLEAN HARBORS	1070996 1004356041						\$	56.03 393.24		56.03			\$	56.03
	ESMI OF NH	452127						ֆ Տ		\$ \$	393.24 406.95			\$ \$	393.24 406.95
12		452127						φ	400.95	φ	400.95			φ	400.95
14	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071840						\$	84.05	\$	84.05			\$	84.05
15	NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q2 INVOICE						\$	62.35	\$	62.35			\$	62.35
	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0829519		\$	21,715.47					\$	21,715.47			\$	21,715.47
	GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0848319		\$	6,154.19					\$	6,154.19			\$	6,154.19
		1004458755						\$ \$	7,442.46	-	7,442.46			\$	7,442.46
20	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1072130						\$	84.05	\$	84.05			\$	84.05
23	GZA	858856		\$	20,403.18					\$	20,403.18			\$	20,403.18
24		849998		\$	5,124.16					\$	5,124.16			\$	5,124.16
28										\$	-			\$	-
	Environmental Staff Time							\$	101.25	\$	101.25			\$	101.25
30	Fotal Pool Activity		\$ -	\$	102,819.77	\$ -	\$ -	\$	13,046.30	\$	115,866.07	\$ -	\$ (74,889.60)	\$	40,976.47

For F Man	rty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liber Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 ufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs aral Expenses- Project DEF064	ty									:	Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 6 of 9
Cont			1101	1102	1105	1106	1107		1108	1109		
LINE NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	LEGAL EXPENSES	CONSULTING EXPENSES	REMEDIATION EXPENSES	SETTLEMENT EXPENSES	OTHER EXPENSES	SUBTOTAL EXPENSES	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY EXPENSE	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY RECOVERIES	SU	TOTAL BMITTED 22 - 6/2023
1	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070281					\$ 168.09	\$ 168.09			\$	168.09
2	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070399					\$ 560.30	\$ 560.30			\$	560.30
3	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070458					\$ 224.12	\$ 224.12			\$	224.12
4	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1070908					\$ 224.12	\$ 224.12			\$	224.12
5	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071380					\$ 1,176.63	\$ 1,176.63			\$	1,176.63
6	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071506					\$ 112.06	\$ 112.06			\$	112.06
7	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071233					\$ 280.15	\$ 280.15			\$	280.15
8	BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071125					\$ 1,624.87	\$ 1,624.87			\$	1,624.87
9							* 0.000.04	\$ -			\$	-
_	Environmental Staff Time Total Pool Activity		s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,806.84 \$ 7,177.18			s -	\$ \$	2,806.84 7,177.18

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liber For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs Concord MGP- Remediation - PROJECT DEF077	ty									REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 7 of 9
		1101	1102	1105	1106	1107		1108	1109	
LINE		LEGAL	CONSULTING	REMEDIATION	SETTLEMENT	OTHER	SUBTOTAL	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY	TOTAL SUBMITTED
NO. VENDOR	REF NO.	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSE	RECOVERIES	7/2022 - 6/2023
1 CITY OF CONCORD	25614					\$ 10.20				\$ 10.20
2 CITY OF CONCORD	38046					\$ 10.20				\$ 10.20
3 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0831256		\$ 8,724.38				\$ 8,724.38			\$ 8,724.38
4 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0840511		\$ 7,387.59				\$ 7,387.59			\$ 7,387.59
6 CITY OF CONCORD	50514					\$ 10.20	\$ 10.20			\$ 10.20
7 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-1347					\$ 380.00	\$ 380.00			\$ 380.00
8 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-1551					\$ 536.00	\$ 536.00			\$ 536.00
9 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-1779					\$ 440.00	\$ 440.00			\$ 440.00
10 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-1982					\$ 193.00	\$ 193.00			\$ 193.00
11 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	842414		\$ 1,221.75			\$ 475.00	\$ 1,221.75 \$ 475.00			\$ 1,221.75 \$ 475.00
12 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC 13 BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	CI-2174 1070996					\$ 475.00 \$ 112.06	\$ 475.00 \$ 112.06			\$ 475.00 \$ 112.06
14 BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071233					\$ 560.30	\$ 560.30			\$ 560.30
15 BALANCE PROFESSIONAL INC	1071313					\$ 896.48	\$ 896.48			\$ 896.48
16 NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q2 INVOICE					\$ 925.32	\$ 925.32			\$ 925.32
17 CITY OF CONCORD	75474					\$ 10.33	\$ 10.33			\$ 10.33
18 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-2370					\$ 1,386.00	\$ 1,386.00			\$ 1,386.00
19 CITY OF CONCORD	87982					\$ 10.33	\$ 10.33			\$ 10.33
20 JOE GAUCI LANDSCAPING LLC	CI-2536		<u> </u>			\$ 231.00	\$ 231.00			\$ 231.00
21 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC 22 CLEAN HARBORS	848450 1004458821		\$ 16,858.98			\$ 4,161.86	\$ 16,858.98 \$ 4,161.86			\$ 16,858.98 \$ 4,161.86
22 CLEAN HARBORS	1004456621					\$ 4,101.00	\$ 4,101.00			\$ 4,101.00
24 CITY OF CONCORD	100449					\$ 10.33	\$ 10.33			\$ 10.33
25 CITY OF CONCORD	112934						\$ 10.49			\$ 10.49
26 NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	Q3 INVOICE						\$ 165.20			\$ 165.20
27 CITY OF CONCORD	125420					\$ 10.81	\$ 10.81			\$ 10.81
29 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0858790		\$ 16,729.74				\$ 16,729.74			\$ 16,729.74
30 GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0849994		\$ 465.75				\$ 465.75			\$ 465.75
31 CITY OF CONCORD	137911		¢			\$ 10.81				\$ 10.81
32 CITY OF CONCORD	150399					\$ 10.49				\$ 10.49
33 NH DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	2022 Q4 Invoice						\$ 330.40			\$ 330.40
34 CITY OF CONCORD	162900					\$ 10.48	\$ 10.48			\$ 10.48
36							\$ -			\$ -
30 37 Environmental Staff Time						\$ 250.66	\$ - \$ 250.66			\$ - \$ 250.66
38 Total Pool Activity		\$ -	\$ 51,388.19	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,157.95	+	\$	\$ (89,574.13)	φ 200.00
		ф -	ə 51,388.19	- ¢	<b>р</b> -	ə 11,157.95	ə 62,546.14	<del>م</del> -	৯ (১৬,১/4.13)	ə (27,027.99)

For Re Manufa	Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liber covery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 actured Gas Plant Environmental Costs rd Gas Holder- Project DEF077	ty														REDACTED Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 8 of 9
				1101		1102	1105	1106	1107				1108 INSURANCE &	1109 INSURANCE &		TOTAL
LINE				LEGAL	С	ONSULTING	REMEDIATION	SETTLEMENT	OTHE	R	S	UBTOTAL	THIRD PARTY	THIRD PARTY	SU	BMITTED
NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	E	XPENSES	E	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	EXPENS	ES	E	XPENSES	EXPENSE	RECOVERIES	7/20	)22 - 6/2023
1⊦	IISTORIC PRESERVATION REDEVELOPMENT, LLC	APP# 4									\$	-				
20	DRR & RENO, P.A.	137471	\$	246.00							\$	246.00			\$	246.00
3 0	SZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0840510			\$	35,732.20					\$	35,732.20			\$	35,732.20
4 0	SZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	842344			\$	19,909.35					\$	19,909.35			\$	19,909.35
5 0	SZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	848320			\$	18,169.62					\$	18,169.62			\$	18,169.62
6 0	SZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0849999			\$	3,471.86					\$	3,471.86			\$	3,471.86
7 0	SZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL INC	0858858			\$	5,550.70					\$	5,550.70			\$	5,550.70
8 1	otal Pool Activity		\$	246.00	\$	82,833.73	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	83,079.73				

For F Man	rty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 ufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs rty Hill - RemediationProject DEF086												Schedule 3.2 MGP Sites Page 9 of 9
			1101	1102	1105	1106	1107			1108	1109		
LINE NO.	VENDOR	REF NO.	LEGAL EXPENSES	ONSULTING	REMEDIATION EXPENSES	SETTLEMENT EXPENSES	OTHER KPENSES		UB-TOTAL XPENSES	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY EXPENSES	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY RECOVERIES	SU	TOTAL IBMITTED 122 - 6/2023
1	MULLER'S LAWN & LANDSCAPING, LLC	5910					\$ 800.00	•	800.00			\$	800.00
	BLUE CHIP FILMS LLC	01777					\$ 1,255.00		1,255.00			\$	1,255.00
3	MULLER'S LAWN & LANDSCAPING, LLC	5951					\$ 800.00	\$	800.00			\$	800.00
4	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3117922		\$ 14,710.25				\$	14,710.25			\$	14,710.25
5	CLEAN HARBORS	1004362055					\$ 308.00	\$	308.00			\$	308.00
6	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3119817		\$ 7,654.84				\$	7,654.84			\$	7,654.84
7	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3121613		\$ 1,202.96				\$	1,202.96			\$	1,202.96
8	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3123385		\$ 2,002.40				\$	2,002.40			\$	2,002.40
9	GEI CONSULTANTS, INC.	3128689		\$ 1,181.44				\$	1,181.44			\$	1,181.44
10								\$	-			\$	-
11	Environmental Staff Time						\$ -	\$	-			\$	-
12	Total Pool Activity		\$ -	\$ 26,751.89	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,163.00	\$	29,914.89			\$	29,914.89

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

46

		Concord Pond																	
		(thru - 9/07) pool #1 - #8	(9/07 - 9/08) pool #9	(9/08 - 9/09) pool #10	(9/09 - 9/10) pool#11	(9/10 - 9/11) pool #12	(9/11 - 9/12) pool #13	(9/12 - 6/13) pool #14	(7/13 - 6/14) pool #15	(7/14 - 6/15) pool #16	(7/15 - 6/16) pool #17	(7/16 - 6/17) pool #18	(7/17 - 6/18) pool #19	(7/18 - 6/19) pool #20	(7/19 - 6/20) pool #21	(7/20 - 6/21) pool #22	(7/21 - 6/22) pool #23	DEF056 (7/22 - 6/23) pool #23	subtotal
1	1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061) Remediation costs (i.o. 500005)	5,883,850	95,374	128,187	143,000	249,160	86,412	78,387	40,314	89,626	43,204	102,196	138,701	87,282	187,358	362,700	381,084	56,231	8,153,063 0
3	A Subtotal - remediation costs	5,883,850	95,374	128,187	143,000	249,160	86,412	78,387	40,314	89,626	43,204	102,196	138,701	87,282	187,358	362,700	381,084	56,231	8,153,063
4 5 6 7	Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061) Cash recoveries (i.o. 500004) Recovery costs (i.o. 500004) Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring	-2,075,704 -445,985 623,784	0	-12,608	-6,064	-32,417	-5,173	-19,318	-7,990	-11,392	-8,614	-14,047	-11,345	-14,998	-14,594	-49,657	-78,552	0	-2,362,471 -445,985 623,784
8	B Subtotal - net recoveries	-1,897,905	0	-12,608	-6,064	-32,417	-5,173	-19,318	-7,990	-11,392	-8,614	-14,047	-11,345	-14,998	-14,594	-49,657	-78,552	0	-2,184,672
10	A-B Total net expenses to recover Surcharge revenue:	3,985,944	95,374	115,579	136,936	216,743	81,238	59,069	32,324	78,235	34,590	88,148	127,356	72,283	172,764	313,043	302,532	56,231	5,968,391
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 10 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 25 26 27 28 28	Act June 1998 - October 1998 Act November 1998 - October 1999 Act November 1998 - October 2000 Act November 2000 - October 2001 Act November 2001 - October 2001 Act November 2002 - October 2003 Act November 2003 - October 2003 Act November 2005 - October 2006 Act November 2005 - October 2006 Act November 2005 - October 2006 Act November 2005 - October 2008 Act November 2010 - October 2008 Act November 2010 - October 2008 Act November 2010 - October 2018 Act November 2019 - October 2018 Act November 2019 - October 2018 Act November 2019 - October 2014 Act November 2019 - October 2014 Act November 2019 - October 2014 Act Nove 2019 - October 2014 Act Nove 2019 - October 2014 Act Nove 2014 - Oct 2011 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2011-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev	-54,889 -558,143 -760,871 -840,539 -822,114 -305,907 -43,078 -13,750 -14,081 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				-5,002 -12,749 -4,423 -32,310 -28,448 -2,143	-5,002 -12,749 -2,143												-54, 889 -538, 143 -760, 871 -640, 539 -625, 114 -607, 874 -607, 874 -607, 874 -607, 874 -607, 874 -607, 874 -13, 750 -14, 091 -0 -10,003 -25, 497 -4, 423 -32, 210 -28, 448 -32, 210 -28, 448 -4, 286 -0 0
30 31	AES collections Gas Street overcollection	-69,391 -23,511	-12,620	-12,904	-13,145	-13,221	-13,738	-13,725	-13,948	-14,173	-14,405	-14,664	-14,858	-14,999	-15,312	-15,468	-16,921	-16,477	-299,970 -23,511
32	Prior Period Pool under/overcollection	332,837	38,548	45,088	50,734	155,409	60,721	116,708											
33	C Surcharge Subtotal	-3,739,158	-12,620	-12,904	-13,145	-98,295	-33,631	-13,725	-13,948	-14,173	-14,405	-14,664	-14,858	-14,999	-15,312	-15,468	-16,921	-16,477	-4,074,703
34	D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	246,787	82,753	102,675	123,791	118,448	47,608	45,345	18,376	64,062	20,185	73,484	112,498	57,284	157,451	297,575	287,064	39,754	1,893,688
35	E Allocation of Litigated Recovery		-329,540	-102,675	-123,791	-48,569	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-604,575
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	7-Y-EAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE (7/15 -6/16) (7/16 - 6/17) (7/17 - 6/18) (7/18 - 6/19) (7/19 - 6/20) (7/20 - 6/21) (7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23)										2,884	2,884 10,498	2,884 10,498 16,071	2,884 10,498 16,071 8,183	2,884 10,498 16,071 8,183 22,493	2,884 10,498 16,071.19 8,183 22,493 42,511	5,767 15,747 21,428 10,229 26,992 49,596 41,009	(2,884) 5,249 10,714 6,138 17,994 35,426 41,009 5,679	namortized Amt 0 16,071 16,367 67,479 170,043 205,046 34,075

- (7/20 6/21) (7/21 6/22) (7/22 6/23) Required annual increase in rates: forecasted therm sales 1,456,394,990 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 179,574,679 184,926,232 186,338,561
- 47 surcharge per therm

170,768

\$0.0002

119,325

184.926.232

\$1.0000

Filed under the following protective orders: Order No. 22,853 dated February 18, 1998, in Docket No. DR 97-130 Order No. 23,316 dated October 11, 1998, in Docket No. DG 99-132 Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

#### REDACTED Schedule 3.3 Page 2 of 9

		Environmental Rem	ediation - MGPs	,															
		Tariff page 99 Laconia & Liberty Hi																	
		Laconia & Liberty Hi			i.o. no. 500005														
		(thru - 9/07)	(9/07 - 9/08)	(9/08 - 9/09)	(9/09 - 9/10)	(9/10 - 9/11)	(9/11 - 9/12)	(9/12 - 6/13)	(7/13 - 6/14)	(7/14 - 6/15)	(7/15 - 6/16)	(7/16 - 6/17)	(7/17 - 6/18)	(7/18 - 6/19)	(7/19 - 6/20)	(7/20 - 6/21)	(7/21 - 6/22)	(7/22 - 6/23)	
		pool #1 - #6	pool #7	pool #8	pool #9	pool #10	pool #11	pool #12	pool #13	pool #14	pool #15	pool #16	pool #17	pool #18	pool #18	pool #19	pool #20	pool #21	subtotal
1	1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061)	0	Incl. Audit Corr I	nci. Audit Corr															0
2		9,670,488	428,225	607,876	262,678	210,532	269,281	642,986											24,800,244
3		9,670,488	428,225	607,876	262,678	210,532	269,281	642,986											24,800,244
4	Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061)	0	0	0															0
5		0	0	0															0
6		11,643	21,729	0	0														33,372
7	Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring	0	0	0															0
8	B Subtotal - net recoveries	11,643	21,729	0	0	0	0	0											33,372
	A-B Total net expenses to recover	9,682,131	449,954	607,876	262,678	210,532	269,281	642,986											24,833,616
10																		-	0
11 12		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0
12		-151,933	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-151.933
14		-696,237	0	0	0	0	0	0								-			-696.237
15		-796,714	0	0	0	0	ō	ō		-			-	-	-	-	-		-796,714
16	Act November 2002 - October 2003	-805,434	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-805,434
17		-699,215																	-699,215
18		-652,264																-	-652,264
19		-691,159	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· · .	-691,159
20		-958,171 0	0	0	0	0	0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-958,171
21 22		0	U	U	U	U	-20,006			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-20,006
22		0					-20,008	-76,491										-	-20,008
24		0				-4,296	-23,487	-70,431										-	-4,296
25		0				-31,384												-	-31,384
26		0				-27,632												-	-27,632
27		0				0	-14,208												-14,208
28		0					-28,433		(28,433)									-	-85,298
29		0					-21,639		(21,639)	(21,639)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· · .	-86,554
30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0
31		0 2.395.362	4.242.438	0	0	0	-87.311	0										-	0
32	Phor Period Pool under/overcollection			U	-	-													
33	C Surcharge Subtotal	-3,055,765	4,242,438	0	262,678	-63,313	-197,093	-126,563	(50,071)	(21,639)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-5,822,494
34	D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	6.626.365	4.692.393	607.876	525.356	147.219	72,188	516,424											19.011.122
	× · · ·							,											
35	E Allocation of Litigated Recovery	0	-4,692,393	-607,876	-525,356	-234,530	0	0											-6,060,155
36	7-YEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE																		Unamortized Amt
37	(7/15 - 6/16)										454,469	454,469	454,469	454,469	454,469	454,469	454,469		0
38	(7/16 - 6/17)											14,333	14,333	14,333	14,333	14,333	14,333	14,333	0
39	(7/17 - 6/18)												4,863	4,863	4,863	4,863	4,863	4,863	4,863
40														2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200	4,400
41															3,989	3,989	3,989	3,989	11,968
42																2,129	2,129	2,129	8,515
43 44																	6,984	6,984 4,274	34,918 25.641
45											454,469	468.802	473,665	475,865	479,854	481.983	488.967	38.771	
46	forecasted therm sales	4 404 040 000	179.574.679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179.574.679	470 574 670	470 574 070	179,574,679	470 574 070		179,574,679				184,926,232	186,338,561	404.000.000	
46	torecasted mermisates	1,104,849,639	1/9,5/4,6/9	1/9,5/4,6/9	1/9,5/4,6/9	1/9,5/4,6/9	1/9,5/4,6/9	179,574,679	1/9,5/4,6/9	179,574,679	179,574,679	1/9,3/4,6/9	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	104,920,232	100,338,561	184,926,232	
47	surcharge per therm	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0000	\$0.0025	\$0.0026	\$0.0026	\$0.0026	\$0.0027	\$0.0026	\$0.0026	\$0.0002	

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

Schedule 3.3 Page 3 of 9

		Tariff page 99	emediation - work	,															
		Manchester																	
		(9/00 - 9/07) pool #1 - #7	(9/07 - 9/08) pool #8 Incl. Audit Corr	(9/08 - 9/09) pool #9	(9/09 - 9/10) pool #10	(9/10 - 9/11) pool #11	(9/11 - 9/12) pool #12	(9/12 - 6/13) pool #13	(7/13 - 6/14) pool #14	(7/14 - 6/15) pool #15	(7/15 - 6/16) pool #16	(7/16 - 6/17) pool #17	(7/17 - 6/18) pool #18	(7/18 - 6/19) pool #19	(7/19 - 6/20) pool #20	(7/20 - 6/21) pool #22	(7/21 - 6/22) pool #23	(7/22 - 6/23) pool #24	subtotal
1		3,762,097	4,387,645	312,185	369,037	372,237	507,622	82,113	92,900	116,496	71,011	54,333	470,725	182,093	312,433	44,476	172,672	115,866	11,425,942
2	2 Remediation costs (i.o. 500005) 3 A Subtotal - remediation costs	4,587,189		312.185	369.037	372.237	507.622	82.113	92.900	116.496	71.011	54.333	470.725	182.093	312.433	44.476	172.672	115.866	825,092
4	4 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061)	-765.892			40.050	-234.648	-65.324	070 700		11.057	-48.322				157.101	-39.395	51.701	0	-3.146.605
4		-765,892	-1,127,436		-40,359	-234,648	-65,324	-270,732	-31,690	-41,057	-48,322	-3,810	-124,681	-144,074	-157,401	-39,395	-51,784	0	-3,146,605
6		1,244,872	0																1,244,872
7		0	0																0
8	8 B Subtotal - net recoveries	478,979	-1,127,436	0	-40,359	-234,648	-65,324	-270,732	-31,690	-41,057	-48,322	-3,810	-124,681	-144,074	-157,401	-39,395	-51,784	0	-1,901,734
	9 A-B Total net expenses to recover	5,066,169	3,260,209	312,185	328,678	137,589	442,298	-188,619	61,210	75,440	22,690	50,523	346,043	38,019	155,032	5,080	120,889	115,866	10,349,300
10 11		0																	0
	2 Act November 1998 - October 1999	ő																	ŏ
	3 Act November 1999 - October 2000	0																	0
	4 Act November 2000 - October 2001	0																	0
	5 Act November 2001 - October 2002 6 Act November 2002 - October 2003	-73,543 -75,984																	-73,543 -75,984
	7 Act November 2003 - October 2003	-138.576																	-138,576
	8 Act November 2004- October 2005	-326,132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-326,132
	9 Act November 2005- October 2006	-563,732		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	-563,732
	0 Act November 2006- October 2007	-662,265		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-662,265
21	1 Act November 2007- October 2008 2 Act November 2012- October 2013	0	0	0	0	0	0 -40,012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-40,012
23		0					-50,994												-50,994
24	4 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev	0				0													0
	5 Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011 Base Rate Rev	0				0													0
26	6 Act Nov 2011-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev 7 Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev	0				0	-23.337												0 -23,337
28		0				0	-23,337												-23,337
29		0																	0
30		0																	0
31		0 7.525.691	3.302.330	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0		0		0
32	2 Phor Period Pool under/overcollection			0	U	0	-	U	U		0	0	-	0	U	U	0	0	
33	3 C Surcharge Subtotal	5,685,459	3,302,330	0	0	0	-114,343	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1,954,576
34	4 D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	10,751,628	6,562,539	312,185	328,678	137,589	327,955	-188,619	61,210	75,440	22,690	50,523	346,043	38,019	155,032	5,080	120,889	115,866	8,394,724
35	5 E Allocation of Litigated Recovery	0	-6,562,539	-312,185	-328,678	-94,340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-7,297,742
36																		L	Jnamortized Amt
37											3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241	3,241		0
38 39												7,218	7,218 49,435	7,218 49,435	7,218 49,435	7,218 49,435	7,218 49,435	7,218 49,435	0 49,435
40													49,435	49,435	49,435	49,435	49,435	49,435	49,435
41														0,401	22,147	22,147	22,147	22,147	66,442
42																726	726	726	2,903
43																	17,270	17,270	86,349
44	4 (7/22 - 6/23)																	16,552	99,314
45	5 Required annual increase in rates:									-	3,241	10,459	59,894	65,325	87,472	88,198	105,468	118,779	
46	6 forecasted therm sales	1,284,424,318	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	184,926,232	186,338,561	184,926,232	
47	7 surcharge per therm																\$0.0006	\$0.0006	

Schedule 3.3 Page 4 of 9

\$0.0005 \$0.0010

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

 Filed under the following protective orders: Order No. 22,853 dated February 18, 1998, in Docket No. DR 97-130 Order No. 23,316 dated October 11, 1999, in Docket No. DG 99-132

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Environmental Remediation - MGPs

		Tariff page 99	emediation - MGP	<b>'</b> 5															
		Nashua																	
		(9/00 - 9/07)	(9/07 - 9/08)	(9/08 - 9/09)	(9/09 - 9/10)	(9/10 - 9/11)	per 2/08 Audit (9/11 - 9/12)	(9/12 - 6/13)	(7/13 - 6/14)	(7/14 - 6/15)	(7/15 - 6/16)	(7/16 - 6/17)	(7/17 - 6/18)	(7/18 - 6/19)	(7/19 - 6/20)	(7/20 - 6/21)	(7/21 - 6/22)	(7/22 - 6/23)	
		pool #1 - #7	(9/07 - 9/08) pool #8	(9/08 - 9/09) pool #9	(9/09 - 9/10) pool #10	(9/10-9/11) pool #11	pool #12	pool #13	pool #14	pool #15	pool #16	pool #17	pool #18	pool #19	pool #20	(7/20 - 6/21) pool #21	pool #22	(7/22 - 6/23) pool #23	subtotal
1 Remediation costs Remediation costs		250,299 1,771,567	107,605	78,535	162,729	65,118	399,400	119,095	63,397	105,917	106,129	100,342	61,478	128,071	39,533	96,864	430,127	688,763	3,003,403 1,771,567
A Subtotal - remediat		2,021,866	107,605	78,535	162,729	65,118	399,400	119,095	63,397	105,917	106,129	100,342	61,478	128,071	39,533	96,864	430,127	688,763	4,774,970
Cash recoveries (i.e		-22,732	-10,414	-62,246	-63,753	-31,767	-2,990	-199,336	-27,447	-40,699	-43,694	-15,029	-45,955	-46,103	-28,062	-35,848	-98,800	0	-774,875
Cash recoveries (i.o. Recovery costs (i.o.		0 18,388	0	0															0 18,388
Transfer Credit fron B Subtotal - net recov	m Gas Restructuring veries	-4,344	-10,414	-62,246	-63,753	-31.767	-2,990	-199,336	-27,447	-40,699	-43,694	-15,029	-45,955	-46,103	-28,062	-35,848	-98,800	0	-756,487
																			0
A-B Total net expenses Surcharge revenue	C.	2,017,521	97,191	16,289	98,975	33,351	396,411	-80,241	35,950	65,217	62,435	85,314	15,523	81,969	11,472	61,016	331,327	688,763	4,018,482
Act June 1998 - Octobe Act November 1998 - C		0																	0
Act November 1998 - C		0																	0
Act November 2000 - C		0																	ő
Act November 2001 - C	October 2002	-183,857																	-183,857
Act November 2002 - C		-243,150																	-243,150
Act November 2003 - C		-247,639																	-247,639
Act November 2004- O		-241,054																	-241,054
Act November 2005- O		-274,991	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-274,991
Act November 2006- O		-281,815	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-281,815
Act November 2007- O		0																	0
Act November 2012- O Act November 2013- O		0					-40,012 -38.246												-40,012 -38,246
Act November 2013- 0 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010		0				0	-38,246												-38,246
Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011		0				0													0
Act Nov 2011-Oct 2012		0				0													0
Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013		0				0	-20,916												-20,916
Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014		0				0	-20,010												-20,010
Act Nov 2014-Oct 2015		0																	0
AES collections		ō																	ō
Gas Street overcoll	lection	0																	0
Prior Period Pool un	nder/overcollection	3,186,601	733,479	0	0	0	0	5,616	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
C Surcharge Subtotal	I	1,714,096	733,479	0	0	0		-93,558	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1,571,680
D Net balance to be n	recovered (A-B+C)	3,731,617	830,669	16,289	98,975	33,351	302,853	-80,241	35,950	65,217	62,435	85,314	15,523	81,969	11,472	61,016	331,327	688,763	2,446,803
E Allocation of Litigate	ed Recovery	0	-830,669	-16,289	-98,975	-27,735	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-973,668
7-YEAR AMORTIZ	ATION SCHEDULE																	U	namortized Am
(7/15 - 6/16)											8,919	8,919	8,919	8,919	8,919	8,919	8,919		0
(7/16 - 6/17)												12,188	12,188	12,188	12,188	12,188	12,188	12,188	0
(7/17 - 6/18)													2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218	2,218
(7/18 - 6/19)														11,710	11,710	11,710	11,710	11,710	23,420
(7/19 - 6/20)															1,639	1,639	1,639	1,639	4,916
2 (7/20 - 6/21)																8,717	8,717	8,717	34,866
(7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23)																	47,332	47,332 98,395	236,662 590,368
Required annual inc	crease in rates:									-	8,919	21,107	23,324	35,034	36,673	45,390	92,722	182,198	
forecasted therm sa		738,096,274	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679		179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679				184,926,232	
iorecasted uterin se		130,000,274	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,014,019	118,314,018	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,314,019	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,014,019	110,314,019	104,820,232	100,330,301	104,820,232	

47 surcharge per therm

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

## Filed under the following protective orders: Order No. 22,853 dated February 18, 1998, in Docket No. DR 97-130 Order No. 23,316 dated October 11, 1999, in Docket No. DG 99-132

Schedule 3.3 Page 5 of 9

0

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Environmental Remediation - MGPs Tariff page 99 Dover (9/02 - 9/03) (9/04 - 9/05) (9/05 - 9/06) (9/06 - 9/07) (9/07 - 9/08) (9/08 - 9/09) (9/09 - 9/10) (9/10 - 9/11) (9/11 - 9/12) (9/12 - 6/13) (7/13 - 6/14) (7/14 - 6/15) subtotal pool #1 pool #2 pool #3 pool #4 pool #5 pool #6 pool #7 pool #8 pool #9 pool #10 pool #11 pool #12 1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061) Remediation costs (i.o. 500005) 0 18,854 2,288 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21,142 181.066 181,066 A Subtotal - remediation costs 181,066 18.854 2.288 0 0 202,208 0 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500001) Recovery costs (i.o. 500004) C Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring B Subtotal - net recoveries 0 9 A-B Total net expenses to recover 181,066 18,854 2,288 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 202,208 
 10
 Surcharge revenue:

 11
 Act June 1998 - October 1998

 12
 Act November 1998 - October 1999
 0 13 Act November 1999 - October 2000 14 Act November 2000 - October 2001 Ó Act November 2000 - October 2001
 Act November 2001 - October 2002
 Act November 2002 - October 2003 
 10
 Act November 2002 - October 2003

 17
 Act November 2003 - October 2004

 18
 Act November 2004 - October 2005

 19
 Act November 2005 - October 2006

 20
 Act November 2006 - October 2007
 -29,134 -28,359 -27,499 -29,134 -28,359 -27,499 -28,181 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -28,181 0 0 0 ō Act November 2007- October 2008 Act November 2012- October 2013 23 Act November 2013- October 2014 24 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2011-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2014-Oct 2015 Base Rate Rev AES collections Gas Street overcollection Prior Period Pool under/overcollection 67,892 86,746 89,034 89,034 33 C Surcharge Subtotal -113.174 0 -113.174 67.892 86,746 89.034 89.034 0 0 0 0 0 0 34 D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C) 67,892 86,746 89,034 89,034 89 034 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 89,034 35 E Allocation of Litigated Recovery 0 0 -89.034 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -89.034 7-YEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE t (7/15 - 6/16) (7/16 - 6/17)

36 37

38

(7/18 - 6/17) (7/17 - 6/18) (7/18 - 6/19) (7/19 - 6/20) 39 40 41

3

4

8

21 22

25 26

27

28 29 30

31 32

(7/19 - 6/20) (7/20 - 6/21) (7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23) 42 43

44

45 Required annual increase in rates:

46 forecasted therm sales 179.574.679 179.574.579

47 surcharge per therm

J/b/a Liberty Filed under the following protective orders:

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

#### Filed under the following protective orders: Order No. 22,853 dated February 18, 1998, in Docket No. DR 97-130 Order No. 23,316 dated October 11, 1999, in Docket No. DG 99-132

REDACTED Schedule 3.3 Page 6 of 9

Pa

	Liberty Utilities (			Corp. d/b/a Lib	erty								
	Environmental R Tariff page 99 Keene	emediation - N	IGPs										
	(9/03 - 9/04) pool #1	(9/04 - 9/05) pool #2	(9/05 - 9/06) pool #3	(9/06 - 9/07) pool #4	(9/07 - 9/08) pool #5	(9/08 - 9/09) pool #6	(9/09 - 9/10) pool #7	(9/10 - 9/11) pool #8	(9/11 - 9/12) pool #9	(9/12 - 6/13) pool #10	(7/13 - 6/14) pool #11	(7/14 - 6/15) pool #12	subtotal
1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061)	C									1			
Remediation costs (i.o. 500005) A Subtotal - remediation costs	10,165						0			1,400			
Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061) Cash recoveries (i.o. 500004) Recovery costs (i.o. 500004)	C		18,831	823	0	0	c	0					
Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring B Subtotal - net recoveries		0	18,831	0				0	0	0			
A-B Total net expenses to recover	10,165					-		-	488				
Surcharge revenue: Act June 1988 - October 1998 Act November 1998 - October 1999 Act November 1999 - October 2000 Act November 2000 - October 2001													-
Act November 2001 - October 2002 Act November 2002 - October 2003 Act November 2003 - October 2004 Act November 2004 - October 2005 Act November 2005 - October 2005		0				0			0			:	-
Act November 2006- October 2007 Act November 2017- October 2008 Act November 2012- October 2013 Act November 2013- October 2014 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev	C				0	0	Q	0	0	0	-		(14,091) - - - - -
Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2014-Oct 2015 Base Rate Rev AES collections													-
Gas Street overcollection Prior Period Pool under/overcollection		10,165	16,771	56,622	66,211								-
C Surcharge Subtotal	c	10,165	2,680	56,622	66,211	0	C	0	0	0		-	(14,091)
D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	10,165	16,771	56,622	66,211	66,244	269	C	0	488	1,400			
E Allocation of Litigated Recovery	C	0	0	0	-66,244	-269	C	0	0	0			
7-YEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE (7/15 - 6/16) (7/17 - 6/17) (7/17 - 6/19) (7/18 - 6/19) (7/19 - 6/20) (7/21 - 6/21) (7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23)													

- 45 Required annual increase in rates:
- 46 forecasted therm sales

179,574,679 179,574,679

47 surcharge per therm

For Re	Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty covery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 uctured Gas Plant Environmental Costs		,853 dated Fe	ctive orders: bruary 18, 199 ctober 11, 1999															REDACTED Schedule 3.3 Page 7 of 9
		Liberty Utilities (E Environmental Re			rp. d/b/a Lib	erty													
		Tariff page 99 Concord (Excludi	ng Gas Holde	r)															
			-	•	(9/09 - 9/10) pool #7	(9/10 - 9/11) pool #8	per 2/08 Audit (9/11 - 9/12) pool #9	(9/12 - 6/13) pool #10	(7/13 - 6/14) pool #11	(7/14 - 6/15) pool #12	(7/15 - 6/16) pool #13	(7/16 - 6/17) pool #14	(7/17 - 6/18) pool #15	(7/18 - 6/19) pool #16	(7/19 - 6/20) pool #17	(7/20 - 6/21) pool #18	Excluding Gas Holder (7/21 - 6/22) pool #19	(7/22 - 6/23) pool #20	subtotal
1	1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061)	0									1								
2	Remediation costs (i.o. 500005)	397,110	8,006	77,063	49,403			84,256	135,673		114,749								
3	A Subtotal - remediation costs	397,110	8,006	77,063	49,403	179,732	289,103	84,256	135,673	192,525	114,749								
4	Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061)	-70,215	-12,601	16,623	-3,213	-11,394	-31,575	-38,871	-12,319	-28,742	-19,197								
5	Cash recoveries (i.o. 500004) Recovery costs (i.o. 500004)	0	1,432	-1.007															
7	Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring	0	1,432	-1,007															
8	B Subtotal - net recoveries	-70,215	-11,169	15,616	-3,213	-11,394	-31,575	-38,871	-12,319	-28,742	-19,197								
9	A-B Total net expenses to recover	326,894	-3,163	92,679	46,190	168,338	257,528	45,384	123,355	163,783	95,553								
10	Surcharge revenue:	0																	
11 12	Act June 1998 - October 1998 Act November 1998 - October 1999	0																	-
13	Act November 1999 - October 2000	0																	-
14 15	Act November 2000 - October 2001 Act November 2001 - October 2002	0																	-
15	Act November 2001 - October 2002 Act November 2002 - October 2003	0																	
17	Act November 2003 - October 2004	0																	-
18	Act November 2004- October 2005	0																	-
19 20	Act November 2005- October 2006 Act November 2006- October 2007	-27,499 -28,181	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		-	-		-		-	(27,499) (28,181)
21	Act November 2007- October 2008	20,101	0	0	0	0	0		0	0									-
22	Act November 2012- October 2013	0				-20,006	-20,006												(40,012)
23 24	Act November 2013- October 2014 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev	0				-12,749 -1.891	-25,497												(38,246) (1,891)
24	Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011 Base Rate Rev	0				-13,816													(13,816)
26	Act Nov 2011-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev	0				-12,164													(12,164)
27 28	Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014 Base Rate Rev	0				-6,794	-6,794												(13,588)
28	Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2014-Oct 2015 Base Rate Rev	0																	
30	AES collections	0																	-
31	Gas Street overcollection	0	271.214																-
32	Prior Period Pool under/overcollection	419,182	,																
33	C Surcharge Subtotal	363,501	271,214	0	0	-67,420	-52,297	0	0	0	0	-	-		-	-	-	-	(175,398)
34	D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	690,395	268,051	92,679	46,190	100,919	205,231	45,384	123,355	163,783	95,553								
35	E Allocation of Litigated Recovery	0	-268,051	-92,679	-46,190	-14,702	0	0	0	0	0								
36	7-YEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE																		Unamortized Amt
37	(7/15 - 6/16)										13,650	13,650	13,650	13,650	13,650	13,650	13,650		0
38 39	(7/16 - 6/17) (7/17 - 6/18)											19,038	19,038 8,223	19,038 8,223	19,038 8,223	19,038 8,223	19,038 8,223	19,038 8,223	0 8,223
39 40	(7/17 - 6/18) (7/18 - 6/19)												0,223	6,223	6,223	6,223	6,223	6,223	8,223
41	(7/19 - 6/20)													-,	9,513	9,513	9,513	9,513	28,538
42	(7/20 - 6/21)															54,816	54,816 5,488	54,816 5,488	219,264 27,440
43 44	(7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23)																5,488	5,488 8,935	27,440 53,611
45	Required annual increase in rates:										13,650	32,689	40,912	47,144	56,656	111,472	116,960	112,245	
46	forecasted therm sales	553,964,622	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	184,926,232	186,338,561	184,926,232	
47	surcharge per therm																\$0.0006	\$0.0006	
47	surcharge per therm																\$0.0006	\$0.0006	

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs		owing protective o 353 dated February 316 dated October	y 18, 1998, in Dock																		REDACTED Schedule 3.3 Page 8 of 9
	Liberty Utilities (En Environmental Ren Tariff page 99 General		Gas) Corp. d/b/a	Liberty														u	Jpdated 8/2/23		
	(9/02 - 9/07) pool #1 - #5	(9/07 - 9/08) pool #6	(9/08 - 9/09) pool #7	(9/09 - 9/10) pool #8	(9/10 - 9/11) pool #9	(9/11 - 9/12) pool #10	(9/12 - 6/13) pool #11	(7/13 - 6/14) pool #12	(7/14 - 6/15) pool #13	(7/15 - 6/16) pool #14	(7/16 - 6/17) pool #15	(7/17 - 6/18) pool #16	(7/18 - 6/19) pool #17	(7/19 - 6/20) pool #18	(7/20 - 6/21) pool #19	(7/21 - 6/22) pool #20	(7/22 - 6/23) pool #21	subtotal	(7/22 - 6/23)	total of each subtotal	MGP Remediation subtotal
1 1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061)																		0			
2 Remediation costs (i.o. 500005) 3 A Subtotal - remediation costs	806,611 806,611	-181,000	-26,884 -26,884	4,199	69,286 69,286	93,034 93.034	75,204 75,204	13,139 13,139		11,879 11.879	6,547 6,547	10,799	6,868	7,111	5,646 5.646	8,346 8,346	7,177	934,574 934,574			
4 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061)	0	0	0															0			
5 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500004)	0	-	-															0			
6 Recovery costs (i.o. 500004) 7 Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring		16,012 -3.331	23,953	0	0	-14,068	-1,358	0	-24,250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	288 -3,331			
8 B Subtotal - net recoveries	0	12,681	23,953	0	0	-14,068	-1,358	0	-24,250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-3,043			
9 A-B Total net expenses to recover	806,611	-168,319	-2,931	4,199	69,286	78,967	73,846	13,139	-7,638	11,879	6,547	10,799	6,868	7,111	5,646	8,346	7,177	931,532			
10         Surcharge revenue:           11         Act June 1989 - October 1999           12         Act November 1999 - October 2000           13         Act November 1999 - October 2001           14         Act November 2000 - October 2001           15         Act November 2000 - October 2002           16         Act November 2000 - October 2003           17         Act November 2000 - October 2003           18         Act November 2000 - October 2003           19         Act November 2006 - October 2003           20         Act November 2006 - October 2003           21         Act November 2006 - October 2005           22         Act November 2007 - October 2005           23         Act November 2010 - October 2005           24         Act November 2013 - October 2013           25         Act November 2013 - October 2013           24         Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011 Bane Rate Rev           26         Act Nov 2011-Oct 2013 Bane Rate Rev           26         Act Nov 2011-Oct 2013 Bane Rate Rev           26         Act Nov 2013-Oct 2015 Bane Rate Rev	-8,285 -70,888 -86,247 -49,318 0 0	0 0 0 2.068,527	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 -5.002 -12,749	0 0 -5.002 -12,749	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 -6,265 -70,898 -96,247 -49,318 0 -10,003 -38,246 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			(54,889) (538,143) (912,804) (1,379,228) (1,722,442) (1,422,4735) (1,403,787) (1,604,477) (10,641) (10,641) (10,641) (10,641) (77,509) (86,254) (86,554) (86,554) (29,970) (23,511)
33 C Surcharge Subtotal	1,261,916	2,068,527	0	0	-17,750	-17,750	-12,749	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-272,977	-129,651	-13,999,092	(13,999,092)
34 D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C)	2,068,527	1,900,208	-2,931	4,199	51,536	61,217	61,098	13,139		11,879	6,547	10,799	6,868	7,111	5,646	8,346	7,177	658,555	3,533,575		
35 E Allocation of Litigated Recovery	0	-1,900,208	2,931	-4,199	-8,562	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1,910,037	-89,034		
36         7-KEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE           37         (7/15-6/16)           38         (7/16-6/17)           39         (7/17-6/18)           40         (7/17-6/18)           41         (7/19-6/23)           42         (7/20-6/22)           43         (7/21-6/23)										1,697	1,697 935	1,697 935 1,543	1,697 935 1,543 981	1,697 935 1,543 981 1,016	1,697 935 1,543 981 1,016 807	1,697 935 1,543 981 1,016 807 1,192	935 1,543 981 1,016 807 1,192 1,025	Inamortized Amt 0 1,543 1,962 3,047 3,226 5,961 6,152			
45 Required annual increase in rates:									-	1,697	2,632	4,175	5,156	6,172	6,978	8,171	7,499	21,892			
46 forecasted therm sales	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	179,574,679	184,926,232	186,338,561	184,926,232				
47 surcharge per therm																\$0.0000	\$0.0000				

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Manufactured Gas Plant Environmental Costs

3

4

8

10

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

36

38

39 40 41

42 43

44

45

Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty

Filed under the following protective orders: Order No. 22,853 dated February 18, 1998, in Docket No. DR 97-130

Order No. 23,316 dated October 11, 1999, in Docket No. DG 99-132

184.926.232

Environmental Remediation - MGPs Tariff page 99 Expense and Collection Summary per Year (thru - 9/07) (9/07 - 9/08) (9/08 - 9/09) (9/09 - 9/10) (9/10 - 9/11) (9/11 - 9/12) (7/13 - 6/14) (7/14 - 6/15) (7/15 - 6/16) (7/16 - 6/17) (7/17 - 6/18) (7/18 - 6/19) (7/19 - 6/20) (7/20 - 6/21) (7/21 - 6/22) (7/22 - 6/23) Total 1 Remediation costs (i.o. 500061) Remediation costs (i.o. 500005) 9,917,388 4,590,624 518,907 674,766 686,515 993,434 476,206 312,039 220,344 256,871 670,904 397,446 539,324 504,039 983,883 860,86 13.712.581 255.263 658.324 316.280 459.550 651.906 2.605.250 7.975.394 3.307.910 260.380 115.841 69.261 114.228 448,499 165.638 99.638 A Subtotal - remediation costs 23,629,969 4.845.887 1,177,231 991,045 1.146.065 1.645.340 3,081,456 8,287,433 3,528,254 517,250 786,745 466.707 653,552 952.538 1,149,521 960,49 -2 934 544 -121 889 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500061) -1 150 452 -58 231 -113 390 -310 226 -105 062 -607 704 -119 826 -53 116 -195 423 -208 544 -212 660 -169 140 -299 127 Cash recoveries (i.o. 500001) Recovery costs (i.o. 500004) -445,985 1,918,340 39,173 22,946 -14,068 2,500,000 2,475,750 Transfer Credit from Gas Restructuring -3.331 -1,462,188 B Subtotal - net recoveries -35,285 -119,129 1 892 296 2 353 861 -53,116 -195,423 -208 544 -212,660 -169,140 -299,127 9 A-B Total net expenses to recover 22,167,780 3,731,277 1,141,946 877,655 835,839 1,526,211 4,973,753 10,641,294 3,408,428 464,134 591,322 258,163 440,892 783,398 850,394 960,499 Surcharge revenue: Act June 1998 - October 1998 Act November 1998 - October 1999 -54,889 -538,143 -912,804 Act November 1999 - October 2000 Act November 2000 - October 2001 -1.336.776 Act November 2000 - October 2001 Act November 2001 - October 2002 Act November 2002 - October 2003 -1,679,228 -1,732,442 Act November 2003 - October 2004 -1 428 735 -1,428,735 -1,403,787 -1,694,877 Act November 2004- October 2005 Act November 2005- October 2006 Act November 2006- October 2007 -2,036,113 Act November 2007- October 2008 Act November 2012- October 2013 -30.009 -130,039 -38,246 -10,611 -77,509 -68,244 23 Act November 2013- October 2014 24 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate F -165,731 -89,240 Act Nov 2009-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2010 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2010-Oct 2011 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2011-Oct 2012 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 Base Rate Rev -8,937 -67 398 Act Nov 2012-Oct 2013 base Rate Rev Act Nov 2013-Oct 2014 Base Rate Rev Act Nov 2014-Oct 2015 Base Rate Rev -28,433 -56,865 -21,639 -43,277 -21,639 AES collections -69.391 -12.620 -12.904 -13.145 -13.221 -13,738 -27.673 -14,173 -14.405 -14.664 -14.858 -14,999 -15.312 -15.468 -16.921 -16.477 Gas Street overcollection Prior Period Pool under/overcollection -23 511 0 0 0 0 0 15,673,543 33 C Surcharge Subtotal 2.762.851 -12.620 -12.904 -13.145 -246.777 -426.978 -217.055 -35.811 -14.405 -14.664 -14.858 -14.999 -15.312 -15.468 -16.921 -16.477 1.674.455 34 D Net balance to be recovered (A-B+C) 24,930,631 3,718,657 1,129,042 864,510 589,062 1,099,233 4,756,698 10,605,483 3,394,023 449 470 576,464 243,165 425,579 767,930 833,473 944,022 35 E Allocation of Litigated Recovery 7-YEAR AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE Unamortized Amt (7/15 - 6/16) \$ 484.860 \$ 484 860 \$ 484.860 \$ 484 860 \$ 484 860 \$ 484.860 \$ 487 744 \$ (2.884) \$ (7/16 - 6/17) 64,210 64,210 64,210 64,210 64,210 69,459 58,961 82,352 34,738 82,352 34,738 60,797 76,995 32,692 56,298 (7/17 - 6/18) 82,352 82,352 87,709 82,352 36,784 65,296 (7/18 - 6/19) 34,738 69,476 (7/19 - 6/20) 60,797 182,391 (7/20 - 6/21) (7/21 - 6/22) 116,789 119,275 102,619 119,275 109,704 438 817 596,376 (7/22 - 6/23) 134,860 809,161 \$ 484,860 \$ 549,070 \$ 631,422 \$ 666,160 \$ 726,957 \$ 836,661 \$ 983,056 \$ 578,817 \$ 2,178,573 Required annual increase in rates:

46 forecasted therm sales

47 surcharge per therm

#### REDACTED Schedule 3.4

Page 1 of 1

Spend Period Jul 11 - Jun 12 Jul 12 - Jun 13 Jul 13 - Jun 14 Jul 14 - Jun 15 Jul 15 - Jun 16 Jul 16 - Jun 17 Jul 17 - Jun 18 Jul 18 - Jun 19 Jul 19 - Jun 20 Jul 20 - Jun 21 Jul 21 - Jun 22 Jul 22 - Jun 23 Collection Period Jul 21 - Jun 13 Jul 13 - Jun 14 Jul 14 - Jun 15 Jul 15 - Jun 16 Jul 16 - Jun 17 Jul 17 - Jun 18 Jul 18 - Jun 19 Jul 19 - Jun 20 Jul 20 - Jun 21 Jul 22 - Jun 23 Jul 23 - Jun 24

1 BEGINNING BALANCE	\$	(364,633) \$	942,575 \$	1,123,799 \$	466,582 \$	(1,049,402)	\$ (3,301,194) \$	(5,823,058) \$	(8,310,740)	\$ (10,632,864)	\$ (13,386,581)	\$ (15,663,209) \$	(15,787,391)
2 SPEND / THIRD PARTY RECOVERIES													
3 Concord Pond		81,238	59,069	32,324	78,235	34,590	88,148	127,356	72,283	172,764	313,043	302,532	56,231
4 Liberty Hill													
5 Manchester		442,298	(188,619)	61,210	75,440	22,690	50,523	346,043	38,019	155,032	5,080	120,889	115,866
6 Nashua		396,411	(80,241)	35,950	65,217	62,435	85,314	15,523	81,969	11,472	61,016	331,327	688,763
7 Keene													
8 Concord (excluding gas holder)													
Concord (including gas holder)													
9 General		78,967	73,846	13,139	(7,638)	11,879	6,547	10,799	6,868	7,111	5,646	8,346	7,177
10 Difference between the GL and Filing			9,545	(10,312)	(921)	(2,506)	(783)	(84,532)	811	16,776	78,185	(88,398)	(95,710)
11 TOTAL SPEND	\$	1,526,211 \$	563,370 \$	255,666 \$	374,116 \$	224,640	\$ 229,749 \$	415,191 \$	199,950	\$ 363,155	\$ 462,970	\$ 1,199,708 \$	1,017,408
12 ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS RECOVERED IN BASE RATES (DG 10-017)	\$	(78,892) \$	(78,892) \$	(78,892) \$	- \$	- 9	s - s	- \$	-	\$-	\$-	s - s	-
13 ACTUAL ENVIROMENTAL COLLECTIONS PER GL	\$	(140,111) \$	(303,254) \$	(833,991) \$	(1,890,100) \$	(2,476,432)	- (2,751,612) \$	(2,902,873) \$	(2,522,075)	\$ (3,116,872)	\$ (2,739,597)	\$ (1,323,889)	
14 Current Pool Under (Over) Collection	\$	942,575 \$	181,224 \$	(657,217) \$	(1,515,984) \$	(2,251,792)	\$ (2,521,864) \$	(2,487,682) \$	(2,322,124)	\$ (2,753,717)	\$ (2,276,627)	\$ (124,182) \$	1,017,408
15 ENDING BALANCE - UNDER (OVER) COLLECTION	\$	942,575 \$	1,123,799 \$	466,582 \$	(1,049,402) \$	(3,301,194)	\$ (5,823,058) \$	6 (8,310,740) \$	(10,632,864)	\$ (13,386,581)	\$ (15,663,209)	\$ (15,787,391) \$	(14,769,983)
16 Populatory Filing Poconciliation (Balance through June 2019) :	D	ar Audit Staff											

16 <u>Regulatory Filing Reconciliation (Balance through June 2019) :</u>
17 Balance in GL
18 Actual Balance per Filing
19 Adjustment to Tie to Audit Report dated April 9, 2020

20 Adjustment Necessary to Filing

 Per Audit Staff

 \$ 9,874,087

 \$ 8,844,611

 \$ 5,309

 \$ 1,024,167

 Collection over 3 years

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)

### **Residential**

1	Outstanding Residential Uncollected Revenue Decoupling For Decoupling Year 2021-2022*	\$3,050,498
2	Residential Revenue Decoupling Deficiency / (Excess) For Decoupling Year 2022-2023*	\$4,054,537
3	Total Residential Revenue Deficiency / (Excess) for Decoupling Still To Be Collected as of October 31, 2023*	\$7,105,035
4	Estimated Residential November 2023 - October 2024 Sales (therms)	68,225,945
5	Residential Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor rate per therm November 2023 - October 2024	\$0.1041
	Commercial	
6	Outstanding Commercial Uncollected Revenue Decoupling For Decoupling Year 2021-2022*	\$683,199
7	Commercial Revenue Decoupling Deficiency / (Excess) For Decoupling Year 2022-2023*	\$1,387,364
8	Total Commercial Revenue Deficiency / (Excess) for Decoupling Still To Be Collected as of October 31, 2023*	\$2,070,562
9	Estimated Commercial November 2023 - October 2024 Sales (therms)	116,700,287
10	Commercial Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor rate per therm November 2023 - October 2024	\$0.0177

Schedule 4 RDAF Page 2 of 3

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Revenue Decoupling Reconcilation Reconciliation of Previous Period November 2022 – October 2023

1								Resid	ential**								
2	Month	Recoupment Beginning Balance	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Collections	(Over)/Under Ending Balance	Balance Subject to Interest	Interest Rate	Days per Month	Interest	Cumulati Interest
3		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)
4 C	Y 21/22 Deficiency/(Surplus)	\$2,551,253															
5	Nov-22	\$2,857,121	\$0.000	924,985	\$0.0152	2,234,947	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$33,971)	\$2,823,150	\$2,840,136	6.25%	30	\$14,590	\$14,590
	Dec-22	\$2,837,740	\$0.000	6,750,145	\$0.0152	112,821	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$1,715)	\$2,836,025	\$2,836,883	7.00%	31	\$16,866	\$31,456
	Jan-23	\$2,852,891	\$0.000	9,235,355	\$0.0152	25,123	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	36	(\$380)	\$2,852,511	\$2,852,701	7.50%	31	\$18,171	\$49,627
	Feb-23	\$2,870,682	\$0.000	9,079,880	\$0.0152	30,264	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	644	(\$424)	\$2,870,259	\$2,870,471	7.75%	28	\$17,066	\$66,692
	Mar-23	\$2,887,324	\$0.000	9,375,593	\$0.0152	(2,944)	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	125	\$52	\$2,887,376	\$2,887,350	7.75%	31	\$19,005	\$85,698
)	Apr-23	\$2,906,381	\$0.000	6,668,399	\$0.0152	1,087	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$17)	\$2,906,364	\$2,906,373	8.00%	30	\$19,110	\$104,80
1	May-23	\$2,925,475	\$0.000	3,101,195	\$0.0152	1,196	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$18)	\$2,925,457	\$2,925,466	8.00%	31	\$19,877	\$124,68
2	Jun-23	\$2,945,334	\$0.000	2,000,813	\$0.0152	1,044	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$16)	\$2,945,318	\$2,945,326	8.25%	30	\$19,972	\$144,657
3	Jul-23	\$2,965,290	\$0.000	1,324,728	\$0.0152	149	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	(\$2)	\$2,965,287	\$2,965,289	8.25%	31	\$20,777	\$165,434
1	Aug-23	\$2,986,065	\$0.000	1,267,441	\$0.0152	0	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	\$0	\$2,986,065	\$2,986,065	8.50%	31	\$21,557	\$186,99
5	Sep-23	\$3,007,622	\$0.000	2,090,550	\$0.0152	0	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	\$0	\$3,007,622	\$3,007,622	8.50%	30	\$21,012	\$208,00
ô	Oct-23	\$3,028,634	\$0.000	4,940,474	\$0.0152	0	(\$0.0623)	0	(\$0.0562)	0	\$0	\$3,028,634	\$3,028,634	8.50%	31	\$21,864	\$229,86
7	Total			56,759,558		2,403,689		0		804	(\$36,491)					\$229,867	
8																	
9		mulative Collection									(\$36,491)						
)		Approved Collectio									\$2,857,121	-					
1	(Over)/Under Collectio										\$2,820,631						
2		Cumulative Interes	-								\$229,867	-					
3	Total (Over)/Under Collection	on, incuding interes	t								\$3,050,498						
4																	
5									ercial**								

26								Comm	ercial**														
27	Month	Recoupment Beginning Balance	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Recovery Rate	Therm Sales	Collections	(Over)/Under Ending Balance	Balance Subject to Interest	Interest Rate	Days per Month	Interest	Cumulative Interest						
28		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(I)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)						
29 DY	21/22 Deficiency/(Surplus)	\$232,515																					
30	Nov-22	\$664,854	\$0.000	1,113,840	\$0.0039	3,957,319	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$15,434)	\$649,420	\$657,137	6.25%	30	\$3,376	\$3,376						
31	Dec-22	\$652,796	\$0.000	4,521,433	\$0.0039	3,520,580	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$13,730)	\$639,066	\$645,931	7.00%	31	\$3,840	\$7,216						
32	Jan-23	\$642,906	\$0.000	19,398,737	\$0.0039	1,235,232	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$4,817)	\$638,089	\$640,497	7.50%	31	\$4,080	\$11,296						
33	Feb-23	\$642,168	\$0.000	13,854,965	\$0.0039	25,570	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$100)	\$642,069	\$642,119	7.75%	28	\$3,818	\$15,113						
34	Mar-23	\$645,886	\$0.000	14,993,404	\$0.0039	125,380	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$489)	\$645,397	\$645,642	7.75%	31	\$4,250	\$19,363						
35	Apr-23	\$649,647	\$0.000	11,281,561	\$0.0039	33,924	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$132)	\$649,515	\$649,581	8.00%	30	\$4,271	\$23,634						
36	May-23	\$653,786	\$0.000	7,096,169	\$0.0039	279,882	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	(\$1,092)	\$652,694	\$653,240	8.00%	31	\$4,438	\$28,073						
37	Jun-23	\$657,133	\$0.000	6,098,760	\$0.0039	(501,999)	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	\$1,958	\$659,091	\$658,112	8.25%	30	\$4,463	\$32,535						
38	Jul-23	\$663,553	\$0.000	3,978,088	\$0.0039	(144,432)	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	\$563	\$664,116	\$663,835	8.25%	31	\$4,651	\$37,187						
39	Aug-23	\$668,768	\$0.000	5,028,596	\$0.0039	0	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	\$0	\$668,768	\$668,768	8.50%	31	\$4,828	\$42,015						
40	Sep-23	\$673,596	\$0.000	5,881,383	\$0.0039	0	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	\$0	\$673,596	\$673,596	8.50%	30	\$4,706	\$46,721						
41	Oct-23	\$678,302	\$0.000	8,664,105	\$0.0039	0	(\$0.0241)	0	(\$0.0206)	0	\$0	\$678,302	\$678,302	8.50%	31	\$4,897	\$51,617						
42	Total			101,911,040		8,531,457		0		0	(\$33,273)					\$51,617							
43																							
44	Projected Cu	mulative Collection	1								(\$33,273)												
45	Total	Approved Collectio	n								\$664,854												
46	(Over)/Under Collectio	n, excluding interes	t								\$631,581	-											
47		Cumulative Interes	t								\$51,617												
48	Total (Over)/Under Collection	on, incuding interes	t								\$683,199	_											

49

\*

\*

Reference:
 Column (a) - Line 4 (Res) and Line 29 (Com): Company Records, revised with actuals through August 2023
 Column (b) - Approved in Docket No. DG 22-045, Order No. 26,692

53 Column (c) - Company records

54 Column (d) - Approved in Docket No. DG 21-130, Order No. 26,541

55 Column (e) - Company records

So Column (e) - Company records
Column (g) - Company records
Column (g) - Company records
Column (g) - Company records
Column (h) - Approved in Docket No. DG 20-141, Order No. 26,419
Column (i) - Company records
Column (i) - Column (b) x Column (c)) + (Column (d) x Column (e)) + (Column (f) x Column (g)) + (Column (h) + Column (i))
Column (k) - Column (a) + Column (c))
Column (k) - Column (c) + Column (k) - Column

62 Column (I) - [Column (a) + Column (k)] + 2

62 Column (n) - [Column (n) - Nothly Prime Rate - http://www.fedprimerate.com/wall\_street\_journal\_prime\_rate\_history.htm
 63 Column (n) - Days per month
 65 Column (o) - [Column (l) x ((Column (m) / 365) \* Column (n))]

Schedule 4 RDAF Page 3 of 3

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty September 2022 through August 2023 Revenue Decoupling Activity by Sector

	RESIDENTIAL*		ctual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Estimate)	(Estimate)
1	FOR THE MONTH OF:	Se	ep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23
2	DAYS IN MONTH		30	31	30	31	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31
3	Over Under Beginning Balance	\$	- 8	\$ 308,154 \$	486,332 \$	1,792,437	\$ 3,198,724 \$	4,699,829	\$ 3,632,655	\$ 3,703,064	\$ 3,882,816	\$ 3,986,603	\$ 3,957,889 \$	3,900,245
4	Monthly revenue difference Inc/(Dec) revenue	\$	211,789	\$ 222,302 \$	1,371,160 \$	1,448,918	\$ 1,435,977 \$	(1,094,075)	\$ 116,559	\$ 11,196	\$ 121,997	\$ 450	\$ (85,079) \$	125,682
5	True up	\$	95,671	\$ (46,227) \$	(70,893) \$	(57,424)	\$ 40,052 \$	2,204	\$ (70,212)	\$ 143,698	\$ (44,855)	\$ (56,008)	\$ - \$	-
6	Ending Balance Pre-Interest	\$	307,460	\$ 484,229 \$	1,786,599 \$	3,183,931	\$ 4,674,753 \$	3,607,959	\$ 3,679,001	\$ 3,857,958	\$ 3,959,959	\$ 3,931,045	\$ 3,872,810 \$	4,025,927
7	Month's Average Balance	<u>\$</u>	153,730	\$ 396,192 \$	1,136,466 \$	2,488,184	<u>\$ 3,936,738</u> <u>\$</u>	4,153,894	\$ 3,655,828	\$ 3,780,511	\$ 3,921,388	\$ 3,958,824	<u>\$ 3,915,350</u> <u>\$</u>	3,963,086
8	Interest Rate		5.50%	6.25%	6.25%	7.00%	7.50%	7.75%	7.75%	8.00%	8.00%	8.25%	8.25%	8.50%
9	Interest Applied	<u>\$</u>	695	\$ 2,103 \$	5,838 \$	14,793	<u>\$ 25,076</u> <u>\$</u>	24,696	\$ 24,063	\$ 24,858	\$ 26,644	\$ 26,844	<u>\$ 27,434</u> <u>\$</u>	28,610
10	Ending Balance	\$	308,154	\$ 486,332 \$	1,792,437 \$	3,198,724	\$ 4,699,829 \$	3,632,655	\$ 3,703,064	\$ 3,882,816	\$ 3,986,603	\$ 3,957,889	\$ 3,900,245 \$	4,054,537
	COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL*		ctual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Estimate)	(Estimate)
11	FOR THE MONTH OF:	Se	ep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23

11 FOR THE MONTH OF:	 Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23
12 DAYS IN MONTH	30	31	30	31	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31
13 Over Under Beginning Balance	\$ -	\$ 9,048	\$ 164,338	\$ 312,212	\$ 3,928,632	50,717	\$ 765	\$ 508,967	\$ 1,710,084	\$ 1,368,522	\$ 1,414,385	\$ 1,420,598
14 Monthly revenue difference Inc/(Dec) revenue	\$ (87,225)	\$ 122,364	\$ (46,269)	\$ 3,456,112	\$ (4,270,060)	(466,473)	\$ 253,570	\$ 860,819	\$ (462,148)	\$ 190	\$ (3,685)	\$ (43,333)
15 True up	\$ 96,252	\$ 32,468	\$ 192,922	\$ 147,738	\$ 379,511	416,369	\$ 252,959	\$ 333,027	\$ 110,163	\$ 36,271	\$-	\$ -
16 Ending Balance Pre-Interest	\$ 9,027	\$ 163,879	\$ 310,992	\$ 3,916,063	\$ 38,083 \$	612	\$ 507,295	\$ 1,702,812	\$ 1,358,098	\$ 1,404,982	\$ 1,410,700	\$ 1,377,264
17 Month's Average Balance	\$ 4,514	\$ 86,464	\$ 237,665	\$ 2,114,138	<u>\$ 1,983,357</u>	25,665	\$ 254,030	\$ 1,105,890	\$ 1,534,091	\$ 1,386,752	\$ 1,412,543	\$ 1,398,931
18 Interest Rate	5.50%	6.25%	6.25%	7.00%	7.50%	7.75%	7.75%	8.00%	8.00%	8.25%	8.25%	8.50%
19 Interest Applied	\$ 20	<u>\$ 459</u>	<u>\$ 1,221</u>	<u>\$ 12,569</u>	<u>\$ 12,634</u>	5 153	<u>\$ 1,672</u>	<u>\$ 7,272</u>	\$ 10,423	<u>\$ 9,403</u>	<u>\$ 9,897</u>	\$ 10,099
20 Ending Balance	\$ 9,048	\$ 164,338	\$ 312,212	\$ 3,928,632	\$ 50,717 \$	5 765	\$ 508,967	\$ 1,710,084	\$ 1,368,522	\$ 1,414,385	\$ 1,420,598	\$ 1,387,364
				•			•					

21 Total Ending Balance	\$ 317,202 \$	650,671 \$	2,104,649 \$	7,127,355 \$	4,750,546 \$	3,633,420 \$	4,212,031 \$	5,592,900 \$	5,355,125 \$	5,372,274 \$	5,320,842 \$	5,441,901

Schedule 5 PTAM Page 1 of 1

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism Summary

Line <u>No.</u>	Property Tax Reconciliation (a)	<u>Amount</u> (b)
1	Projected (Over)/Under Recovery Balance November 1, 2023	\$358,839
2	2022 Property Tax Year Variance	\$2,545,330
3	Total PTAM to be Recovered	\$2,904,168
4	Forecasted Distribution therm Sales (therms)	184,926,232
5	LDAC - PTAM Portion (\$/therm)	\$0.01570
	Reference: Line No. 1 - Schedule 5 page 2, Line 20 Line No. 2 - Schedule 5 page 3, Line 73	

Line No. 3 - Line 1 + Line 2

Line No. 4 - Company Forecast

Line No. 5 - Line 3 divided by Line 4

Schedule 5 PTAM Page 2 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism Reconciliation of Previous Recovery Period November 2022 – October 2023

	1	Month	Beginning Balance	Collections	(Over)/Under Ending Balance	Balance Subject to Interest	Interest Rate	Days per Month	Interest	Cumulative Interest
	2		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
	3	Nov-22	\$2,364,465	(\$113,206)	\$2,251,259	\$2,307,862	5.50%	30	\$10,433	\$10,433
	4	Dec-22	\$2,261,692	(\$191,266)	\$2,070,426	\$2,166,059	5.50%	31	\$10,118	\$20,551
	5	Jan-23	\$2,080,544	(\$372,424)	\$1,708,120	\$1,894,332	7.00%	31	\$11,262	\$31,813
	6	Feb-23	\$1,719,382	(\$283,052)	\$1,436,331	\$1,577,856	7.00%	28	\$8,473	\$40,286
	7	Mar-23	\$1,444,803	(\$301,075)	\$1,143,728	\$1,294,266	7.00%	31	\$7,695	\$47,981
	8	Apr-23	\$1,151,423	(\$221,228)	\$930,195	\$1,040,809	7.75%	30	\$6,630	\$54,611
	9	May-23	\$936,825	(\$129,370)	\$807,455	\$872,140	7.75%	31	\$5,741	\$60,351
	10	Jun-23	\$813,195	(\$92,470)	\$720,725	\$766,960	7.75%	30	\$4,885	\$65,237
r	11	Jul-23	\$725,610	(\$68,657)	\$656,953	\$691,282	8.25%	31	\$4,844	\$70,080
r	12	Aug-23	\$661,797	(\$70,974)	\$590,823	\$626,310	8.25%	31	\$4,388	\$74,469
ł	13	Sep-23	\$595,212	(\$89,866)	\$505,346	\$550,279	8.25%	30	\$3,731	\$78,200
ł	14	Oct-23	\$509,078	(\$153,361)	\$355,717	\$432,397	8.50%	31	\$3,122	\$81,322
	15		\$358,839	(\$2,086,947)						
	16			Projected Cum	ulative Collection	(\$2,086,947)				
	17			Total Ap	proved Collection	\$2,364,465				

Total Approved Collection	\$2,364,465
(Over)/Under Collection, excluding interest	\$277,517
Cumulative Interest	\$81,322
Total (Over)/Under Collection, incuding interest	\$358,839

### Reference:

\* \*

- (a) From General Ledger
- (b) Actuals: Company financials; Forecast: PTAM Rate \* Forecasted Therms
- (c) Column (a) + Column (b)
- (d) [Column (a) + Column (c)] ÷ 2
- (e) Interest rate Quarterly Prime Rate- http://www.fedprimerate.com/wall\_street\_journal\_prime\_rate\_history.htm
- (f) Days per month
- (g) [Column (d) x ((Column (e) / 365) \* Column (f))]
- (h) Column (g) + Prior Month Column (h)
  - Projected

Schedule 5 PTAM

#### Page 3 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism Municipal Property Tax 2022 Invoices

Tax Year 2022 Line Municipality Parcel Installment #1 Installment #2 Installment #3 Installment #4 Total Due Notes (d) (e) (f) (h) (a) (b) (c) (g) Allenstown 000400-000001-000000 s 28.173 \$ 15.891 \$ \$ s 44.064 1 2 Amherst Amherst 002-033-00 55,921 2,711 53.326 109,247 5,371 1,541 167,379 002-033-000 002-033-007 000001/000001/000UTL 2,660 3 4 5 Auburn 803 738 89,187 Bedford 1-1-A 78,192 6 7 Belmont 999-000-000-001 21,032 16,015 37,046 000404-000051.0000L1 00099-000002-000000 0-9-99 9,619 14,078 70,188 Berlin Boscawen 6,563 14.619 16,182 28 697 8 9 10 60,426 2,939 130,614 5,622 Bow Canterbury 000000-000002-000000 2,683 Canterbi Derry Franklin Gilford Gilford Gilford 11 35-102 54.263 41.956 96.219 35-102 000-001-00 210-002.000 210-003.000 210-004.000 50.242 50 867 101,109 12 13 14 15 16 17 109 101 95 92 96 51 48 46 48 50 (A) 47 46 48 (A) (A) (A) (A) Gilford 210-005.000 200-003.000 5.753 Gilford 3.158 2.595 99-4-2 99-4-1 056-027-001 0GAS-0001 88,208 2,610 3,423 336,709 Goffstown 46 619 41,589 18 19 20 21 22 1,379 2,069 148,889 Goffstown Hollis 1,232 1,354 187,820 Hooksett Hooksett 0036-0041-0001 3,024 3,815 6,839 Hudson 100-006-000 192.634 133.065 325.699 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 167-030-000 167-029-000 425/44/83/1 861 1,057 159,000 14,788 908 794 116,063 1,769 1,851 275,063 30,664 Hudson Hudson Laconia Litchfield 000UTL-000UTL-000001 15,876 Londonderry 81-7-0 81-7-1 81-14-0 46,634 24,320 70,954 Londonderry Londonderry Londonderry 241,469 239,644 481,113 16,638 12,048 28,685 (B) (B) 22,002 81-14-0 11,549 10,454 Londonderry- 7 Delta 32 14,184 14,338 28,522 (C) 14-21-2 Drive LLC 000070-000004-000000 81,479 124,701 206.180 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 I oudon 0999A-0075 0752-0001 0508-0002A Manchester 1 174 871 1 493 525 2 668 395 20,310 22,842 43,152 Manchester 133 566 63 266 70 299 Manchester 0394-0004 0471-0008 Mancheste 461 519 980 Marrimack Merrimack Milford Milford 006D-3-000000-000000 036-183-000-000 055-007-000-000 0039-00026 135,089 215,420 350 509 868 973 1 841 63,457 1,618 128,252 3,198 64,796 Nashua 1,580 137 234 Nashua 0038-00063 97 Nashua 0038-00020 153 217 370 Nashua Nashua Northfield 0041-00011 000PC-00005 000999-000001-000001 40.712 15 428 56.140 1,313,323 25,815 77,306 2,726,682 41,987 134,478 1.413.359 16,172 57,172 Pembroke 999-11 00.003.000.000  $\begin{array}{r} 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 64\\ 65\\ \end{array}$ Sanborton 2,168 2,003 4,171 Tilton Tilton Concord Concord 000R23-000013-00000 000R23-000014-000000 77Z/12 125.307 135.767 261.074 10,315 7,640 503 11,153 7,640 503 21,468 32,151 -8,435 553 6,914 -8,435 553 6,914 (D) (E) 77Z/11 77Z/10 2,111 6,263 6,263 26,353 Concord Concord Concord Concord Concord 304 558 881 336 616 973 777/9 304 336 1,281 77Z/7 77Z/6 77Z/6/A 558 616 973 2 347 881 3,707 (F) 19 55,007 5 12,687 5 14,817 5 14,817 12,687 Concord NO00/1/13 Concord P000/1/6 860 860 682 682 3.082 Concord Concord Concord 792Z/2/1 P000/1/3 NO00/1/2 344 344 379 379 1.446 28 652 28 652 22 737 22 737 102 779 422,896 422,896 1,833,549 1,046 7,276 493,879 493,879 202Z/21 249 1,729 Concord 249 1,729 275 275 1,909 1,909 Concord 743Z/19 66 67 68 Concord 494Z/3 283 283 313 313 1.192 Keene Keene 583038000UTL000 119.006 138 132 257,138 (G) 116039000000000 9,407 9,541 18,948 69 Keene- R&M REALTY 58201400000000 5,102 5,020 10,122 (H) 70 Keene Propane 583038000000000 3.791 3.731 7.522 (I) 71 Total 4.986.886 \$ 5,377,700 \$ 552.820 \$ 552.820 \$ 11.470.227 72

Calculated Municipal Property Taxes Included in Distribution Rates through 12/31/2022 8,924,897 s Difference Between 2022 Municipal Property Taxes Paid and Approved Calculation Municipal Property Taxes Included in Distribution Rates Per Order No. 26,808 73 s 2.545.330

Line 72 - Per Settlement Agreement - Order No. 26,808 (April 28, 2023) Line 73 - Line 71, Column G - Line 72

Note (A) - Since this Parcel is a non-utility property, the Company appropriately paid the State Education Tax. Per RSA 83-F:1.V, the land subject to taxation on those parcels do not meet the definition of utility property and as such is not exempt from the State Education tax.

Note (B) - Both parcels 81-14-0 and 81-14-1 are related to our Londonderry office on Buttrick Road, which houses both gas and electric employees for NH operations or - 14-0 and of -14-1 are related to our Londonderry office on Buttrick Road, which houses both gas and electric employees for NH operations. This is a shared service building; therefore, costs have historically been split 70/30 between EnergyNorth Natural Gas (8840) and Granite State Electric (8830). EnergyNorth Natural Gas pays 70% of the invoice and Granite State Electric pays the remaining 30%.

Note (C) - For Parcel 14-21-2, This Invoice is paid to 7 Delta Drive LLC, and not to the Town of Londonderry, the Company appropriately paid the State Education Tax, as this is a leased property and is considered a non-utility property.

Note (D) - For all Parcels for City of Concord, two invoices for are issued in May 2022 and December 2022. The May Invoice contains Installment #1 for Q2 2022 and Installment #2 for Q3 2022. The December Invoice contains Installment #3 for Q4 2022 and Installment #4 for 01 2023

Note (E) - For Parcel No. 772/11, The company paid \$35.59 in State Education Tax for both Installments #1 and #2. The company paid \$16.17 for Installment #3 and \$16.16 for Installment #4, for a total of \$103.51.

Note (F) - For Parcel No. 77Z/6/A, The company subtracted the State Education Tax correctly for Installments #1 & #2, but subtracted the amount of \$1.03 on #3 and #4 instead of \$0.52 for #3 and \$0.51 for #4.

Note (G) - Formerly listed as Parcel No. 583038000001000.

Note (H) - This invoice is paid to R&M Realty, not to the Town of Keene. Since this Parcel is a leased property, the Company appropriately paid the State Education Tax. Per RSA 83-F:1.V.

Note (I) - This Invoice is paid to Keene Propane NY, not the Town of Keene, so the Company appropriately paid the State Education Tax.

Schedule 6 RCE Page 1 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Local Delivery Adjustment Charge (LDAC) - Recovery of Rate Case Expense and Recoupment Summary

Line <u>No.</u>	Description (A)		<u>Total</u> (B)
1	Projected (Over)/Under Recovery Balance November 1, 2023	\$	(73,721)
2	Additional Rate Case Expenses Approved to be Recovered	\$	-
3	Total Rate Case Expenses to be Recovered	\$	(73,721)
4	Forecast Throughput (Therms)	18	4,926,232
5	LDAC - RCE Factor (\$/Therm)		(\$0.0004)

Reference:

Line No. 1 - Schedule 6 Page 2, Line 20 Line No. 2 - Per Settlement Agreement dated April 19, 2023 in Docket No. DG 20-105, Exhibit 64, Bates 007. Order No. 26,808 Line No. 3 - Line 1 plus Line 2

Schedule 6 RCE Page 2 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Recoupment/Rate Case Expense Reconcilation Reconciliation of Previous Period November 2022 – October 2023

1	Month	Beginning Balance	Collections	(Over)/Under Ending Balance	Balance Subject to Interest	Interest Rate	Days per Month	Interest	Cumulative Interest
2		(a)**	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
3	Nov-22	\$885,471	(\$54,780)	\$830,691	\$858,081	6.25%	30	\$4,408	\$4,408
4	Dec-22	\$835,099	(\$76,466)	\$758,633	\$796,866	7.00%	31	\$4,738	\$9,145
5	Jan-23	\$763,370	(\$135,333)	\$628,037	\$695,704	7.50%	31	\$4,432	\$13,577
6	Feb-23	\$632,469	(\$101,402)	\$531,066	\$581,767	7.75%	28	\$3,459	\$17,036
7	Mar-23	\$534,525	(\$107,914)	\$426,611	\$480,568	7.75%	31	\$3,163	\$20,199
8	Apr-23	\$429,774	(\$79,215)	\$350,559	\$390,166	8.00%	30	\$2,565	\$22,764
9	May-23	\$353,124	(\$46,921)	\$306,203	\$329,664	8.00%	31	\$2,240	\$25,004
10	Jun-23	\$308,443	(\$40,265)	\$268,179	\$288,311	0.00%	30	\$0	\$25,004
11	Jul-23	\$268,179	(\$57,469)	\$210,709	\$239,444	0.00%	31	\$0	\$25,004
12	Aug-23	\$210,709	(\$61,460)	\$149,249	\$179,979	0.00%	31	\$0	\$25,004
13	Sep-23	\$149,249	(\$77,530)	\$71,719	\$110,484	0.00%	30	\$0	\$25,004
14	Oct-23	\$71,719	(\$145,440)	(\$73,721)	(\$1,001)	0.00%	31	\$0	\$25,004
15			(\$984,196)	. ,	. ,			\$25,004	

16	Projected Cumulative Collection	(\$984,196)
17	Total Approved Collection	\$885,471
18	(Over)/Under Collection, excluding interest	(\$98,725)
19	Cumulative Interest	\$25,004
20	Total (Over)/Under Collection, incuding interest	(\$73,721)

### Reference:

(a) Per Settlement Agreement dated April 19, 2023 in Docket No. DG 20-105, Exhibit 64, Bates 007.

(b) Company records (Rate Case Recoveries minus Sent to Phased-In Revenues)

(c) Column (a) + Column (b)

(d) [Column (a) + Column (c)] ÷ 2

(e) Per Settlement Agreement dated April 19, 2023 in Docket No. DG 20-105, the Company eliminated carrying charges on a going forward basis effective Jun 1, 2

(f) Days per month

(g) [Column (d) x ((Column (e) / 365) \* Column (f))]

(h) Column (g) + Prior Month Column (h)

Projected

\*

\* \* \*

Schedule 6 RCE Page 3 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Docket No. DG 20-105 Rate Case Expense To be Recovered November 2023 – October 2024 As of July 31, 2023

Line No	Service Provider	Ex	(a) pense as of 8/31/22	(b) Amount Approved for Recovery per Order No. 26,691	1	(c) reed upon 1/18/22 Audit justments	U Am t	) = (a) - (b) + (c) Inresolved nounts Prior o 4/28/23 Settlement	S A	(e) greed upon 4/28/23 ettlement greement djustments	Ap Re	= (d) + (e) Amount proved for covery per Order No. 26,808	Description of Service
Line No			0/01/22	 20,001	710	Justinents	<u> </u>	ottionioni	7.0	guotmento		20,000	
1	Concentric Energy Advisors	\$	48,381.75	\$ -		-	\$	48,381.75	\$	-	\$	48,381.75	Review of Decoupling Mechanism
	FTI Consulting												Revenue Requirement, Rate Design, Marginal Cost of Service , Functional Cost of Service, Decoupling Effects on EE, Cost of
2		\$	385,965	\$ 385,965		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Capital
3	Keegan Werlin	\$	148,407	\$ 101,571	\$	(5,913)	\$	40,923	\$	-	\$	40,923	Legal Services
4	ScottMadden	\$	34,215	\$ -		-	\$	34,215	\$	-	\$	34,215	Testimony Support
5	Management Applications Consulting	\$	71,243	\$ 33,246		-	\$	37,997	\$	(37,997)	\$	-	Review Status of Depreciation
6	Court Reporter	\$	1,743	\$ 1,743			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Court Reporter
7	Customer Notice	\$	46,241	\$ 46,241	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Customer Notice
8	Legal Notices	\$	467	\$ 467	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Legal Notices
9	Printing Expenes	\$	160	\$ 160	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Printing Expenses
10													
11	Subtotal	\$	736,821	\$ 569,392	\$	(5,913)	\$	161,516	\$	(37,997)	\$	123,519	
12						( )							
13													
14	DOE Consultants												
15	Blue Ridge Consulting	\$	64,653	\$ 64,653	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Revenue Requirement
16	J. Randall Woolridge	\$	33,800	\$ 33,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Cost of Capital
17	Ũ												
18	OCA Consultants												
19	Exeter Associates	\$	12,924	\$ 12,924	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Cost of Service/Rate Design
20													
21	Subtotal DOE/OCA	\$	111,376	\$ 111,376	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
22			•	•									-
23	Grand Total	\$	848,198	\$ 680,768	\$	(5,913)	\$	161,516	\$	(37,997)	\$	123,519	Total Estimated Amount
			-					-					

### Reference:

Amounts in "Post Audit Expense" column represent costs for which invoices were received after the completion of the Audit.

\_\_\_\_

Schedule 7 RGAP Page 1 of 3

### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty For Recovery Period November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024 Residential Gas Assistance Program

Winter Period Distribution (as of August 1, 2023)	Cus	tomer Charge	Block		Total	
R-3 Base Rates	\$	15.39	\$	0.6167		
R-4 Base Rates	\$	8.47		0.3392	-	
Program Distribution Subsidy	\$	6.9260	\$	0.2775		
Normal Winter Therms						640
Estimated Winter 2023/2024 Distribution Subsidy	\$	41.56	\$	177.60	\$	219.16
Number of Estimated 2023/2024 Participants		6,032		65		6,097
Winter Period COG (as of August 1, 2023)		ENNG		Keene		Total
R-3 COG Rates	\$	0.1326	\$	2.6520		
R-4 COG Rates	\$	0.0729		1.4586	-	
Program COG Subsidy	\$	0.0597	\$	1.1934	\$	-
Estimated Winter 2023/2024 COG Subsidy	\$	38.19	\$	763.76	\$	801.94
Winter Distribution Subsidy times Number of Participants						1,336,221
Winter COG Subsidy times Number of Participants					\$	279,993
Projected (Over)/Under Recovery Balance November 1, 2023					\$	99,724
Estimated Annual Administrative Costs					<b>^</b>	-
Total Program Costs					þ	1,715,939
Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the						
Twelve months ended 10/31/23 sales and transportation					18	34,926,232
Total Gas Assistance Program Charge					\$	0.0093
Reference:						
Line 3 - Line 2 * 55% to determine discounted rate						
Line 4 - Line 2 minus Line 3						
Line 5 - Company Forecast						
Line 6 - Line 4 * 6 (Months of Winter Period)						
Line 7 - Estimated number of participants for 2023/2024 is based on the actual						
number participants as of April 2022.						
Line 10 - Line 9 * 55% to determine discounte rate						
Line 11 - Line 9 - Line 10						
Line 12 - Line 5 * Line 11						
Line 13 - Line 6 * Line 8						
Line 14 - Line 8 * Line 13						
Line 15 - Schedule 7, page 2, Line 11, Total column						
Line 16 - No costs anticipated						
Line 17 - Line 13 + Line 14 + Line 15 + Line 16						
Line 18 & 19 - Company forecast						

Line 18 & 19 - Company forecast Line 20 - Line 17 / Line 18 & 19

Schedule 7 RGAP Page 2 of 3

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty November 2022 through October 2023 Residential Gas Assistance Program Reconciliation

	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Actual)	(Estimate)	(Estimate)	(Estimate)	(Estimate)	
1 FOR THE MONTH OF:	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Total
2 DAYS IN MONTH	30	31	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	
						-							
3 Beginning Balance	\$ 520,92	0 \$ 469,649	\$ 863,860	\$ 866,595	\$ 762,607	\$ 642,187	\$ 531,271	\$ 471,455	\$ 352,098	\$ 290,521	\$ 235,271	\$ 160,200	\$ 520,920
4 Add: Actual Costs	84,14	5 675,647	598,216	357,611	371,022	250,067	148,059	34,397	13,907	13,564	(798)	797	2,546,634
5 Less: Collected Revenue	(137,94	8) (285,392	(600,968)	(466,422)	(496,044)	(364,828)	(211,270)	(156,537)	(77,728)	(70,705)	(75,650)	(62,207)	(3,005,699)
6 Add: Administrative and Start Up Costs							<u> </u>						
7 Ending Balance Pre-Interest	\$ 467,11	7 \$ 859,903	\$ 861,108	\$ 757,785	\$ 637,585	\$ 527,426	\$ 468,060	\$ 349,315	\$ 288,277	\$ 233,380	\$ 158,823	\$ 98,790	\$ 61,855
8 Month's Average Balance	<u>\$ 494,01</u>	8 \$ 664,776	\$ 862,484	<u>\$ 812,190</u>	\$ 700,096	\$ 584,806	\$ 499,666	\$ 410,385	\$ 320,188	<u>\$ 261,950</u>	<u>\$ 197,047</u>	<u>\$ 129,495</u>	\$ 494,758
9 Interest Rate	6.25	% 7.00%	6 7.50%	7.75%	7.75%	8.00%	8.00%	8.25%	8.25%	8.50%	8.50%	8.50%	
10 Interest Applied	<u>\$ 2,53</u>	2 \$ 3,957	\$ 5,487	<u>\$ 4,822</u>	<u>\$ 4,601</u>	<u>\$ 3,845</u>	<u>\$ 3,395</u>	<u>\$ 2,783</u>	<u>\$ 2,244</u>	<u>\$ 1,891</u>	<u>\$ 1,377</u>	<u>\$ 935</u>	37,869
11 Ending Balance	\$ 469,64	9 \$ 863,860	\$ 866,595	\$ 762,607	\$ 642,187	\$ 531,271	\$ 471,455	\$ 352,098	\$ 290,521	\$ 235,271	\$ 160,200	\$ 99,724	\$ 99,724

Reference:

Estimated Actual Costs are based off 2022 data Estimated Collected Revenue are based off 2022 data, Keene's Collected Revenue are included in ENNG GL for those estimates

October 2023 Estimated Actual Costs based off 2021 data, no costs reported for Keene in that period

Schedule 7 RGAP Page 3 of 3

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty

Quarterly Report Gas Assistance Program (GAP) 2022-23 Discounted 45%

					202	2-23 Discounted 4	5%									
		Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Actual/ Projected Total To Date (1)	Summary Original Projection (2)	Variance
Customer Count																
		Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected			
Actual / Projected No. of Customers LIHEAP		4.315	4.045	4.315	4.315	4.045	1.015	4.045	4.315	4.315	1.045	1.015	1015	Average	4 400	107
LIHEAP Non-LIHEAP		4,315	4,315 1.612	4,315	4,315	4,315 1.612	4,315 1.612	4,315 1.612	4,315 1.612	4,315	4,315 1,612	4,315 1.612	4,315 1.612	4,315 1.612	4,482 1.615	167
Total	(-)	5,927	5.927	1,612	5.927	5.927	1,612	5.927	1,612	1,612	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	6.097	3 170
Total	(a)	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	5,927	6,097	170
GAP Recoveries																
Actual / Projected																
Therm Sales		8,231,091	14,904,980	29,897,544	22,991,323	24,491,558	17,984,971	10,478,442	7,598,618	6,090,557	6,296,037	7,971,933	13,604,579	170,541,633	186,338,561	15,796,928
GAP Rate Per Therm		\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	\$0.0203	
Total		\$167,091	\$302,571	\$606,920	\$466,724	\$497,179	\$365,095	\$212,712	\$154,252	\$123,638	\$127,810	\$161,830	\$276,173	\$3,461,995	\$3,782,673	\$320,678
Adjustment		-\$29,143	-\$17,179	-\$5,952	-\$302	-\$1,135	-\$267	-\$1,442	\$2,285	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$53,134	\$0	
Total Adjusted Recoveries (3)	_	\$137,948	\$285,392	\$600,968	\$466,422	\$496,044	\$364,828	\$211,270	\$156,537	\$123,638	\$127,810	\$161,830	\$276,173	\$3,408,861	\$3,782,673	\$373,812
Program Costs Actual & Projected Costs																
Prior Period Ending Balance	(c)	520,920												520,920	494,571	(26,349)
IT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
` Admin.	(b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Education		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (incl. Reporting Costs)		2,532	3,957.36	5,487	4,822	4,601	3,845	3,395	2,783	0	0	0	0	31,423	0	(31,423)
Fixed Discount		9,478	41,057.54	41,604	42,665	53,069	50,707	38,434	7,030	41,050	41,050	41,050	41,050	448,244	253,365	(194,879)
Variable Discount		16,354	134,679.57	184,681	189,765	229,241	171,449	88,610	23,488	21,684	26,784	57,043	123,655	1,267,433	959,169	(308,264)
COG Discount	<i></i>	58,313	499,909.48	371,931	125,182	88,712	27,912	21,015	3,880	16,695	20,622	43,919	95,206	1,373,296	2,074,829	701,533
Total Monthly Costs (3)	(d)	\$86,677	\$679,604	\$603,704	\$362,433	\$375,623	\$253,913	\$151,454	\$37,180	\$79,429	\$88,456	\$142,013	\$259,911	\$3,120,396	\$3,287,364	\$166,967
Avg Monthly Residential Customer Bill	\$	145.99 \$	227.86 \$	262.41 \$	182.87 \$	137.28 \$	90.09 \$	115.25 \$	69.29 \$	46.19 \$	43.33 \$	43.58 \$	57.68	\$1,421.81	\$2,697.63	\$1,275.82
Avg Monthly Residential Low Income Customer Bill	\$	90.25 \$	137.62 \$	157.41 \$	114.91 \$	89.04 \$	61.08 \$	114.88 \$	67.23 \$	44.84 \$	42.36 \$	43.74 \$	59.19	\$1,022.54	\$453.64	(\$568.90)
Avg Monthly GAP Customer Discount		\$55.74	\$90.23	\$105.00	\$67.95	\$48.24	\$29.01	\$0.38	\$2.06	\$1.35	\$0.97	(\$0.16)	(\$1.51)	\$399.27	\$2,243.99	\$1,844.72
Avg Monthly GAP Customer Discount as a % to Avg Monthly Residential Customer Bill		38.18%	39.60%	40.01%	37.16%	35.14%	32.20%	0.33%	2.98%	2.93%	2.24%	-0.36%	-2.62%	28.08%	83.18%	
Gross Monthly Revenues	_	\$14,095,231	\$27,071,121	\$41,607,821	\$34,648,481	\$25,347,265	\$16,355,107	\$10,413,931	\$9,937,569	\$5,815,241	\$5,736,826	\$6,538,784	\$7,948,572	\$205,515,949	196,688,781	\$8,827,168
Total Costs as a percent of Gross Monthly Revenues	_	0.61%	2.51%	1.45%	1.05%	1.48%	1.55%	1.45%	0.37%	1.37%	1.54%	2.17%	3.27%	1.52%	2.03%	

Reference:

This column represents actual data for the months in which such data is available plus projected data for the remaining months in the 12-month program year. GAP Projection on Bates 135 of the 2021-22 Cost of Gas Filing, DG 21-130. Ties to the Company's GAP deferral accounts 840-0-2000-10-1169-1756 & 8843-2-0000-10-1169-1756.

(1) (2) (3)

The actual number of customers provided for this report are the number of registered customers that were billed during the month. Actual administrative costs consists of bill inserts and advertising. The Prior Year 2021-22 under/(over) ending balance. The total discount is calculated from the actual Residential Low Income R-4 & R-7 bills for the month plus interest. (a) (b) (c) (d)

Schedule 8 Page 1 of 4

## Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty LDAC 2023-2024 Filing LDAC Bill Comparisons, Proposed LDAC Rates November 1, 2023 – October 31, 2024 vs. Current LDAC Rates

3		Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24		Apr-24	Winter lov-Apr
5 Typical Usage (Therms)		84	118	137	131	104		66	640
6 Energy Efficiency	\$	0.0667	\$ 0.0667	\$ 0.0699	\$ 0.0699	\$ 0.0699	\$	0.0699	
7 Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	5.63	\$ 7.90	\$ 9.56	\$ 9.13	\$ 7.26	\$	4.61	\$ 44.0
8 Gas Holder	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$	-	
9 Gas Holder Amount	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
0 MGP	\$		\$ 0.0022	0.0022	\$	\$ 0.0022		0.0022	
1 MGP Amount	\$	0.19	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.23	\$	0.15	\$ 1.4
2 Environmental	\$	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	\$ 0.0022	0.0022		0.0022	
3 Total Environmental	\$	0.19	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.23	\$	0.15	\$ 1.
4 RDAF	\$	0.1041	\$ 0.1041	\$ 0.1041	\$ 0.1041	\$ 0.1041	\$	0.1041	
5 RDAF amount	\$	8.78	\$ 12.33	\$ 14.24	\$ 13.60	\$ 10.81	\$	6.87	\$ 66.
6 PTAM	\$	0.0157	\$ 0.0157	\$ 0.0157	\$ 0.0157	\$ 0.0157	\$	0.0157	
7 PTAM amount	\$	1.32	\$ 1.86	\$ 2.15	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.63	\$	1.04	\$ 10.0
8 RCE	s	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)		(0.0004)	
9 RCE Amount	\$	(0.03)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.04)	\$	(0.03)	\$ (0.2
0 GAP	s	0.0093	\$ 0.0093	\$ 0.0093	\$ 0.0093	\$ 0.0093	\$	0.0093	
1 GAP Amount	s	0.78	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.21	\$ 0.97	\$	0.61	\$ 5.9
2 LDAC	s	0.1976	\$ 0.1976	\$ 0.2008	\$ 0.2008	\$ 0.2008	\$	0.2008	
3 LDAC Amount	\$	16.67	\$ 23.40	\$ 27.48	\$ 26.23	\$ 20.85	s	13.25	\$ 127.

May-24		Jun-24		Jul-24	Aug-24		Sep-24		Oct-24		Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
37		19		14	12		21		52		155	795
\$ 0.0699	\$	0.0699	\$	0.0699	\$ 0.0699	\$	0.0699	\$	0.0699			
\$ 2.61	\$	1.35	\$	0.97	\$ 0.86	\$	1.47	\$	3.60	\$	10.87	\$ 54.9
\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-			
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
\$ 0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$ 0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$	0.0022			
\$ 0.08	\$	0.04	\$	0.03	\$ 0.03	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.34	\$ 1.7
\$ 0.0022			\$		\$ 0.0022		0.0022		0.0022			
\$ 0.08	\$	0.04	\$	0.03	\$ 0.03	\$	0.05	\$	0.11	\$	0.34	\$ 1.7
\$ 0.1041	\$	0.1041	\$	0.1041	0.1041		0.1041	\$	0.1041			
\$ 3.89	\$	2.02	\$	1.44	\$ 1.28	\$	2.19	\$	5.36	\$	16.19	\$ 82.8
\$ 0.0157			\$		\$ 0.0157		0.0157		0.0157			
\$ 0.59	\$	0.30	\$	0.22	\$ 0.19	\$	0.33	\$	0.81	\$	2.44	\$ 12.4
\$ (0.0004)		(0.0004)		(0.0004)	(0.0004)		(0.0004)		(0.0004)			
\$ (0.01)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.01)	\$ (0.00)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.06)	\$ (0.3
\$ 0.0093			\$	0.0093	0.0093			\$	0.0093			
\$ 0.35	\$	0.18	\$	0.13	\$ 0.11	\$	0.20	\$	0.48	\$	1.45	\$ 7.4
\$ 0.2008		0.2008	\$	0.2008	\$ 0.2008			\$	0.2008			
\$ 7.50	s	3.89	s	2.78	\$ 2.48	s	4.23	s	10.35	s	31.22	\$ 159.0

25	Residential Customers (Rate Codes R-3)													_	
26			Nov-22		Dec-22		Jan-23		Feb-23		Mar-23		Apr-23		Winter Nov-Apr
	Typical Usage (Therms)		84		118		137		131		104		66		640
	Energy Efficiency Energy Efficiency Amount	\$ \$	0.0640 5.40	\$ \$	0.0640 7.58	\$ \$	0.0667 4.99	\$	0.0667 4.99	\$ \$	0.0667 4.99	\$ \$	0.0667 4.99	\$	32.92
	Gas Holder Gas Holder Amount	\$ \$	:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-
	MGP MGP Amount	\$ \$	0.0076 0.64	\$ \$	0.0076 0.90	\$ \$	0.0076 1.04	\$	0.0076 0.99		0.0076 0.79	\$ \$	0.0076 0.50	\$	4.86
	Environmental Total Environmental	\$ \$	0.0076 0.64	\$ \$	0.0076 0.90		0.0076 1.04	\$ \$	0.0076 0.99		0.0076 0.79		0.0076 0.50	\$	4.86
	RDAF RDAF amount	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$	-
	PTAM PTAM amount	\$ \$	0.0124 1.05	\$ \$	0.0124 1.47		0.0124 1.70	\$ \$	0.0124 1.62		0.0124 1.29	\$ \$	0.0124 0.82	\$	7.94
	RCE RCE Amount	\$ \$	0.0044 0.37	\$ \$	0.0044 0.52		0.0044 0.60	\$ \$	0.0044 0.57		0.0044 0.46		0.0044 0.29	\$	2.82
	GAP GAP Amount	\$ \$	0.0203 1.71	\$ \$	0.0203 2.40		0.0203 2.78	\$\$	0.0203 2.65		0.0203 2.11		0.0203 1.34	\$	12.99
	Total LDAC Total LDAC Amount	\$ \$	0.1086 9.16		0.1086 12.86		0.1113 15.23		0.1113 14.54		0.1113 11.56		0.1113 7.34	\$	70.68
	LDAC Bill Impact : Residential Customers (Rate Cod	les F	R-3)											_	
47 48			Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24		Mar-24		Apr-24		Winter Nov-Apr
49	Energy Efficiency Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	0.23 4.2%	\$	0.32	\$	4.58 91.8%	\$	4.14 83.1%	\$	2.27 45.6%	\$	(0.37) -7.5%		11.17 15.8%

45	Total LDAC Amount	\$	9.16	\$ 12.86 \$	15.23	\$	14.54 \$	11.56	\$	7.34	\$	70.68
	LDAC Bill Impact : Residential Customers (Rate Cod	les	R-3)									
47												Winter
48			Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24		Feb-24	Mar-24		Apr-24		Nov-Apr
49	Energy Efficiency	\$	0.23	\$ 0.32 \$	4.58	\$	4.14 \$	2.27	\$	(0.37)	\$	11.17
50	Energy Efficiency Amount		4.2%	4.2%	91.8%		83.1%	45.6%		-7.5%		15.8%
51	Environmental	\$	(0.45)	\$ (0.64) \$	(0.74)	\$	(0.70) \$	(0.56)	\$	(0.36)	\$	(3.44)
52	Total Environmental		-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%		-70.8%	-70.8%		-70.8%		-70.8%
53	RDAF	\$	8.78	\$ 12.33 \$	14.24	\$	13.60 \$	10.81	\$	6.87	\$	66.62
54	RDAF amount											
55	PTAM	\$	0.28	\$ 0.39 \$	0.45	\$	0.43 \$	0.34	\$	0.22	\$	2.11
56	PTAM amount		26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	l	26.6%	26.6%		26.6%		26.6%
57	RCE	s	(0.40)	\$ (0.57) \$	(0.66)	\$	(0.63) \$	(0.50)	s	(0.32)	s	(3.07)
58	RCE Amount	Ľ	-109.1%	-109.1%	-109.1%		-109.1%	-109.1%		-109.1%		-109.1%
59	GAP	\$	(0.93)	\$ (1.30) \$	(1.50)	\$	(1.44) \$	(1.14)	\$	(0.73)	\$	(7.04
60	GAP Amount		-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%		-54.2%	-54.2%		-54.2%		-54.2%
61	Total LDAC	\$	7.51	\$ 10.54 \$	12.25	\$	11.69 \$	9.29	\$	5.91	\$	57.19
62	Total LDAC Amount	Ľ.	82.0%	82.0%	80.4%		80.4%	80.4%		80.4%	L .	80.9%

	May-23		Jun-23		Jul-23		Aug-23		Sep-23		Oct-23		Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
	37		19		14		12		21		52		155	795
\$ \$	0.0667 2.49		0.0667 1.29	\$ \$	0.0667 0.92		0.0667 0.82		0.0667 1.40	\$ \$	0.0667 3.44	\$	10.37	\$ 43.29
\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	:	\$	-	\$ -
\$ \$	0.0076 0.28	\$ \$	0.0076 0.15	\$ \$	0.0076 0.11	\$ \$	0.0076 0.09	\$ \$	0.0076 0.16	\$ \$	0.0076 0.39	\$	1.18	\$ 6.05
\$ \$	0.0076 0.28	\$ \$	0.0076 0.15	\$ \$	0.0076 0.11	\$ \$	0.0076 0.09	\$ \$	0.0076 0.16	\$ \$	0.0076 0.39	\$	1.18	\$ 6.05
\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	•	\$	-	\$ -
\$ \$	0.0124 0.46	\$ \$	0.0124 0.24	\$ \$	0.0124 0.17	\$ \$	0.0124 0.15	\$ \$	0.0124 0.26	\$ \$	0.0124 0.64	\$	1.93	\$ 9.86
\$ \$	0.0044 0.16		0.0111 0.21	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0111 0.14		0.0111 0.23	\$ \$	0.0111 0.57	\$	1.48	\$ 4.29
\$ \$	0.0203 0.76	\$ \$	0.0203 0.39	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0203 0.25	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0203 1.05	\$	3.16	\$ 16.15
\$ \$	0.1113 4.16		0.1180 2.29		0.1180 1.63		0.1180 1.46		0.1180 2.48		0.1180 6.08	ş	18.10	\$ 88.78

						Summer	Total
May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	May-Oct	Nov-Oct
\$ 0.12	\$ 0.06 \$	0.04		\$ 0.07	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.50	\$ 11.66
4.8%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	279
\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.10) \$	(0.07)		\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.28)	\$ 1.53	7.81
-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	129.2%	129.2%
\$ 3.89	\$ 2.02 \$	1.44	5 1.28	\$ 2.19	\$ 5.36	\$ 16.19	\$ 82.81
\$ 0.12	\$ 0.06 \$	0.05		\$ 0.07	\$ 0.17	0.51	2.63
26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6
\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.22) \$	(0.16) \$		\$ (0.24)	\$ (0.59)	(1.54)	(4.61
-109.1%	-103.6%	-103.6%	-103.6%	-103.6%	-103.6%	-104.2%	-107.4%
\$ (0.41)	\$ (0.21) \$	(0.15)		(0.23)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.71)	(8.75
-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-9.5%	-54.2%
\$ 3.34	\$ 1.60 \$	1.15		\$ 1.74	\$ 4.27	13.13	70.32
80.4%	70.2%	70.2%	70.2%	70.2%	70.2%	72.5%	79.29

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty LDAC 2023-2024 Filing LDAC Bill Comparisons, Proposed LDAC Rates November 1, 2023 – October 31, 2024 vs. Current LDAC Rates

Schedule 8 Page 2 of 4

	Proposed LDAC Rates November 1, 2023 – October 3 Commercial/Industrial Sales Customers and Comme			Tra	neportation Cust		re (Pato Co	do	G 41)				
3				IIa		onne		/ue					Winter
4		1	Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	1	Nov-Apr
5	Typical Usage (Therms)		261		390		482		458	334	185		2,111
	Energy Efficiency	\$	0.0444		0.0444	\$	0.0466	\$	0.0466	\$ 0.0466	0.0466		
7	Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	11.58	\$	17.33	\$	22.46	\$	21.35	\$ 15.58	\$ 8.62	\$	96.93
	Gas Holder	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$		
9	Gas Holder Amount	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ •	\$	\$	-
	MGP	\$	0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$	0.0022	\$ 0.0022	\$ 0.0022		
11	MGP Amount	\$	0.58	\$	0.87	\$	1.07	\$	1.02	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.41	\$	4.68
	Environmental	\$	0.0022		0.0022	\$		\$	0.0022	\$ 0.0022	0.0022		
13	Total Environmental	\$	0.58	\$	0.87	\$	1.07	\$	1.02	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.41	\$	4.68
	RDAF	\$	0.0177		0.0177		0.0177		0.0177	0.0177	0.0177		
15	RDAF amount	\$	4.62	\$	6.91	\$	8.53	\$	8.11	\$ 5.92	\$ 3.27	\$	37.36
	PTAM	\$	0.0157		0.0157		0.0157		0.0157	0.0157	0.0157		
17	PTAM amount	\$	4.10	\$	6.13	\$	7.57	\$	7.19	\$ 5.25	\$ 2.90	\$	33.14
	RCE	\$	(0.0004)		(0.0004)		(0.0004)		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	(0.0004)		
19	RCE Amount	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.16)	\$	(0.19)	\$	(0.18)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.07)	\$	(0.84)
	GAP	\$	0.0093		0.0093	\$			0.0093	0.0093	0.0093		
21	GAP Amount	\$	2.43	\$	3.63	\$	4.48	\$	4.26	\$ 3.11	\$ 1.72	\$	19.63
	LDAC	\$	0.0889		0.0889	\$	0.0911		0.0911	0.0911	0.0911		
23	LDAC Amount	\$	23.19	\$	34.71	\$	43.91	\$	41.75	\$ 30.47	\$ 16.86	\$	190.89

	May-24		Jun-24		Jul-24		Aug-24		Sep-24		Oct-24	Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
	91		47		33		25		47		141	383	2,494
\$	0.0466 4.23		0.0466		0.0466 1.52		0.0466		0.0466 2.17		0.0466 6.58	\$ 17.86	\$ 114.78
\$\$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	- 4		-	\$ \$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	0.0022 0.20	\$ \$	0.0022	\$ \$	0.0022 0.07	\$ \$	0.0022 \$	\$ \$	0.0022 0.10	\$ \$	0.0022 0.31	\$ 0.85	\$ 5.53
\$	0.0022 0.20		0.0022		0.0022 0.07		0.0022		0.0022 0.10		0.0022 0.31	\$ 0.85	\$ 5.53
\$	0.0177 1.61		0.0177		0.0177 0.58		0.0177 \$		0.0177 0.82		0.0177 2.50	\$ 6.78	\$ 44.14
\$	0.0157 1.43	\$ \$	0.0157 0.73			\$ \$	0.0157 \$ 0.40 \$		0.0157 0.73		0.0157 2.22	\$ 6.02	\$ 39.15
\$	(0.0004) (0.04)		(0.0004) 3 (0.02) 3		(0.0004) (0.01)		(0.0004) \$ (0.01) \$		(0.0004) (0.02)		(0.0004) (0.06)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (1.00)
\$	0.0093 0.84	\$ \$	0.0093 3		0.0093 0.30	\$ \$	0.0093 \$ 0.24 \$		0.0093 0.43		0.0093 1.31	\$ 3.56	\$ 23.19
\$	0.0911 8.28	\$ \$	0.0911 s 4.25 s		0.0911 2.97	\$ \$	0.0911 \$ 2.30 \$				0.0911 12.87	\$ 34.92	\$ 225.81

	,	Nov-22		Dec-22		Jan-23		Feb-23		Mar-23		Apr-23	1	Winter Nov-Apr
Typical Usage (Therms)		261		390		482		458		334		185		2,111
Energy Efficiency	s	0.0426	s	0.0426	s	0.0444	s	0.0444	s	0.0444	s	0.0444		
Energy Efficiency Amount	ŝ	11.10	\$	16.61	\$	21.40	\$	20.34	\$	14.85	ŝ	8.21	\$	92
Gas Holder	s	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Gas Holder Amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
MGP	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076		
MGP Amount	\$	1.98	\$	2.97	\$	3.66	\$	3.48	\$	2.54	\$	1.41	\$	1
Environmental	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076		
Total Environmental	\$	1.98	\$	2.97	\$	3.66	\$	3.48	\$	2.54	\$	1.41	\$	1
RDAF	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-		
RDAF amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
PTAM	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124		0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124		
PTAM amount	\$	3.23	\$	4.84	\$	5.98	\$	5.68	\$	4.15	\$	2.29	\$	2
RCE	\$	0.0044		0.0044		0.0044	\$	0.0044		0.0044		0.0044		
RCE Amount	\$	1.15	\$	1.72	\$	2.12	\$	2.02	\$	1.47	\$	0.81	\$	1
GAP	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203		0.0203	\$		\$	0.0203		0.0203		
GAP Amount	\$	5.30	\$	7.92	\$	9.78	\$	9.30	\$	6.79	\$	3.76	\$	4:
Total LDAC	\$	0.0872	\$	0.0872		0.0890	\$		\$	0.0890		0.0890		
Total LDAC Amount	\$	22.73	s	34.02	ŝ	42.89	\$	40.78	s	29.76	s	16.46	S	18

	LDAC Bill Impact : Commercial/Industrial Sales Cust	tom	ers and Co	mme	ercial/Industrial Tra	an	sportation C	ust	tomers (Rate	Codes G-41				
48														Winter
49			Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24	Mar-24		Apr-24		Nov-Apr
50	Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	0.48	\$	0.72	\$	1.06	\$	1.01 \$	0.74	\$	0.41	\$	4.42
51	Energy Efficiency %		4.3%		4.3%		5.0%		5.0%	5.0%	Į.	5.0%		4.8%
52	Environmental Amount	\$	(1.40)	\$	(2.10)	\$	(2.59)	\$	(2.47) \$	(1.80)	\$	(1.00)	\$	(11.36)
53	Environmental %		-70.8%		-70.8%		-70.8%		-70.8%	-70.8%	Į.	-70.8%		-70.8%
54	RDAF amount	\$	4.62	\$	6.91	\$	8.53	\$	8.11 \$	5.92	\$	3.27	\$	37.36
55	RDAF %	-					·							
56	PTAM amount	\$	0.86	s	1.29	s	1.59	ŝ	1.51 \$	1.10	s	0.61	s	6.97
57	PTAM %		26.6%		26.6%		26.6%		26.6%	26.6%		26.6%		26.6%
58	RCE Amount	\$	(1.25)	\$	(1.87)	s	(2.31)	s	(2.20) \$	(1.61)	s	(0.89)	s	(10.13)
	RCE %	Ť	-109.1%	Ŧ	-109.1%	-	-109.1%		-109.1%	-109.1%		-109.1%		-109.1%
60	GAP Amount	\$	(2.87)	\$	(4.29)	s	(5.30)	\$	(5.04) \$	(3.68)	s	(2.03)	s	(23.22)
	GAP %	Ĺ	-54.2%		-54.2%		-54.2%		-54.2%	-54.2%		-54.2%	Ľ	-54.2%
62	Total LDAC Amount	\$	0.46	s	0.69	s	1.02	\$	0.97 S	0.71	s	0.39	s	4.24
	Total LDAC %	~	2.0%	-	2.0%	Ť.,	2.4%	Ŧ	2.4%	2.4%		2.4%		2.3%

	May-23 91		Jun-23 47		Jul-23 33		Aug-23 25		Sep-23		Oct-23		Summer May–Oct 383		Total Nov-Oct 2,494
\$	0.0444 4.03	\$ \$	0.0444 2.07		0.0444 1.45		0.0444 1.12		0.0444 2.07		0.0444 6.27	\$	17.01	\$	109.52
\$	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-								
\$	0.0076 0.69	\$ \$	0.0076 0.35	\$ \$	0.0076 0.25	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0076 0.35	\$ \$	0.0076 1.07	\$	2.91	\$	18.95
\$	0.0076 0.69	\$ \$	0.0076 0.35	\$ \$	0.0076 0.25	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0076 0.35	\$ \$	0.0076 1.07	\$	2.91	\$	18.95
\$	•	\$ \$	• .	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$	-	\$	-
\$ \$	0.0124 1.13	\$ \$	0.0124 0.58	\$ \$	0.0124 0.40	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0124 0.58	\$ \$	0.0124 1.75	\$	4.75	\$	30.92
\$ \$	0.0044 0.40	\$ \$	0.0111 0.52		0.0111 0.36	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0111 0.52		0.0111 1.57	\$	3.65	\$	12.93
\$	0.0203 1.84	\$ \$	0.0203 0.95	\$ \$	0.0203 0.66	\$ \$	0.0203 0.51	\$ \$	0.0203 0.94	\$ \$	0.0203 2.87	\$	7.78	\$	50.63
\$	0.0890 8.08				0.0957 3.12						0.0957 13.52	s	36.06	s	222.71

							Summer		Total
May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24		May-Oct		Nov-Oct
\$ 0.20 \$	0.10 \$	0.07 \$	0.06 \$	0.10 \$	0.31	\$	0.84	\$	5.26
5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%		5.0%		4.8%
\$ (0.49) \$	(0.25) \$	(0.18) \$	(0.14) \$	(0.25) \$	(0.76)	\$	(2.06)	\$	(13.42)
-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%		-70.8%		-70.8%
\$ 1.61 \$	0.83 \$	0.58 \$	0.45 \$	0.82 \$	2.50	\$	6.78	\$	44.14
\$ 0.30 \$ 26.6%	0.15 \$ 26.6%	0.11 \$ 26.6%	0.08 \$ 26.6%	0.15 \$ 26.6%	0.47 26.6%		1.26 26.6%		8.23 26.6%
\$ (0.44) \$ -109.1%	(0.54) \$ -103.6%	(0.37) \$ -103.6%	(0.29) \$ -103.6%	(0.54) \$ -103.6%	(1.62) -103.6%	\$	(3.80) -104.2%		(13.93) -107.7%
\$ (1.00) \$ -54.2%	(0.51) \$ -54.2%	(0.36) \$ -54.2%	(0.28) \$ -54.2%	(0.51) \$ -54.2%	(1.55) -54.2%		(4.22) -54.2%		(27.43) -54.2%
\$ 0.19 \$	(0.21) \$	(0.15) \$							3.10
2.4%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	1	-3.2%	1	1.4%

Г

## Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty LDAC 2023-2024 Filing LDAC Bill Comparisons, Proposed LDAC Rates November 1, 2023 – October 31, 2024 vs. Current LDAC Rates

Schedule 8 Page 3 of 4

3 4			Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24		Mar-24		Apr-24		Winter Nov-Apr
51	ypical Usage (Therms)		2,543		3,519		4,174		3,998		3,106		1,898		19,238
	Energy Efficiency Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	0.0444 112.91		0.0444 156.23	\$ \$	0.0466 194.53	\$ \$	0.0466 186.31	\$ \$	0.0466 144.73		0.0466 88.43	\$	883.14
	Gas Holder Gas Holder Amount	\$ \$	-	\$	-										
	//GP //GP Amount	\$ \$	0.0022 5.64		0.0022 7.80	\$ \$	0.0022 9.26	\$ \$	0.0022 8.87	\$ \$	0.0022 6.89	\$ \$	0.0022 4.21	\$	42.67
	Environmental Total Environmental	\$	0.0022 5.64		0.0022 7.80	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0022 8.87	\$ \$	0.0022 6.89	\$ \$	0.0022 4.21	\$	42.67
	RDAF RDAF amount	\$	0.0177 45.01		0.0177 62.28	\$ \$	0.0177 73.89	\$ \$	0.0177 70.77		0.0177 54.97		0.0177 33.59	\$	340.51
	PTAM PTAM amount	\$ \$	0.0157 39.93		0.0157 55.24	\$ \$	0.0157 65.54	\$ \$	0.0157 62.77		0.0157 48.76		0.0157 29.79	\$	302.03
	RCE RCE Amount	\$ \$	(0.0004) (1.02)		(0.0004) (1.41)		(0.0004) (1.67)		(0.0004) (1.60)		(0.0004) (1.24)		(0.0004) (0.76)	\$	(7.70)
	GAP GAP Amount	\$ \$	0.0093 23.65		0.0093 32.72	\$ \$	0.0093 38.82		0.0093 37.18		0.0093 28.88		0.0093 17.65	\$	178.91
	DAC DAC Amount	\$	0.0889		0.0889	\$ \$	0.0911 380.37	\$ \$	0.0911 364.30		0.0911 283.00	\$ S	0.0911	s	1.739.57

	May-24		Jun-24		Jul-24		Aug-24		Sep-24		Oct-24		Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
	1,031		572		420		343		619		1,610		4,595	23,832
\$ \$	0.0466 48.06	\$ \$	0.0466 26.66		0.0466 19.55		0.0466 15.97			\$ \$	0.0466 75.01	\$	214.11	\$ 1,097.26
\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$ -
\$ \$	0.0022 2.29	\$ \$	0.0022 1.27	\$ \$	0.0022 0.93	\$ \$	0.0022 0.76	\$ \$	0.0022 1.37	\$ \$	0.0022 3.57	\$	10.19	\$ 52.86
\$ \$	0.0022 2.29	\$ \$	0.0022 1.27	\$ \$	0.0022 0.93	\$ \$	0.0022 0.76	\$ \$	0.0022 1.37	\$ \$	0.0022 3.57	\$	10.19	\$ 52.86
\$ \$	0.0177 18.25	\$ \$	0.0177 10.13	\$ \$	0.0177 7.43	\$ \$	0.0177 6.06	\$ \$	0.0177 10.96	\$ \$	0.0177 28.49	\$	81.33	\$ 421.84
\$ \$	0.0157 16.19	\$ \$	0.0157 8.98	\$ \$	0.0157 6.59	\$ \$	0.0157 5.38	\$ \$	0.0157 9.73	\$ \$	0.0157 25.27	\$	72.14	\$ 374.17
\$ \$	(0.0004) (0.41)		(0.0004) (0.23)		(0.0004) (0.17)		(0.0004) (0.14)		(0.0004) (0.25)		(0.0004) (0.64)	\$	(1.84)	\$ (9.53)
\$ \$	0.0093 9.59	\$ \$	0.0093 5.32		0.0093 3.90	\$ \$	0.0093 3.19	\$ \$	0.0093 5.76	\$ \$	0.0093 14.97	\$	42.73	\$ 221.64
\$ \$	0.0911 93.97	\$ \$	0.0911 52.13		0.0911 38.23	\$ \$	0.0911 31.22		0.0911 56.44	s s	0.0911	s	418.66	\$ 2.158.23

	Current LDAC Rates November 1, 2022 – October 31 Commercial/Industrial Sales Customers and Comme			Trar	enortation Cuet		re (Pato Co	dor	G-42)			
23		l ciai/	industria	ITai	isponation ouse	Jine	13 (Nate Co	ue.	5 (0-42)			Winter
26			lov-22		Dec-22		Jan-23		Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	Nov-Apr
27	Typical Usage (Therms)		2,543		3,519		4,174		3,998	 3,106	 1,898	19,238
28	Energy Efficiency	\$	0.0426	\$	0.0426	\$	0.0444	\$	0.0444	\$ 0.0444	\$ 0.0444	
29	Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	108.21	\$	149.72	\$	185.35	\$	177.52	\$ 137.90	\$ 84.25	\$ 842.94
	Gas Holder	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$ -	
31	Gas Holder Amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	MGP	\$		\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$ 0.0076	\$ 0.0076	
33	MGP Amount	\$	19.33	\$	26.74	\$	31.73	\$	30.39	\$ 23.60	\$ 14.42	\$ 146.21
	Environmental	\$	0.0076		0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	0.0076	0.0076	
35	Total Environmental	\$	19.33	\$	26.74	\$	31.73	\$	30.39	\$ 23.60	\$ 14.42	\$ 146.21
	RDAF	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	
37	RDAF amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	PTAM	\$	0.0124		0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$ 0.0124	\$ 0.0124	
39	PTAM amount	\$	31.53	\$	43.63	\$	51.76	\$	49.58	\$ 38.51	\$ 23.53	\$ 238.55
	RCE	\$	0.0044		0.0044	\$	0.0044	\$	0.0044	0.0044	\$ 0.0044	
41	RCE Amount	\$	11.19	\$	15.48	\$	18.37	\$	17.59	\$ 13.67	\$ 8.35	\$ 84.65
	GAP	\$	0.0203		0.0203	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203	0.0203	\$ 0.0203	
43	GAP Amount	\$	51.62	\$	71.43	\$	84.74	\$	81.16	\$ 63.05	\$ 38.52	\$ 390.53
	Total LDAC	\$	0.0872			\$	0.0890	\$	0.0890	0.0890	\$ 0.0890	
45	Total LDAC Amount	\$	221.88	\$	307.00	\$	371.95	\$	356.23	\$ 276.73	\$ 169.08	\$ 1,702.87

													Summer		Total
	May-23		Jun-23		Jul-23		Aug-23		Sep-23		Oct-23	1	May–Oct		Nov-Oct
	1,031		572		420		343		619		1,610		4,595		23,832
				i .		i .		i .							
\$	0.0444		0.0444		0.0444						0.0444				
\$	45.79	\$	25.40	\$	18.63	\$	15.21	\$	27.50	\$	71.47	\$	204.01	\$	1,046.95
s	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-				
\$\$	-	ŝ	-	ŝ	-	\$	-	ŝ		ŝ	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076				
\$	7.84	\$	4.35	\$	3.19	\$	2.60	\$	4.71	\$	12.23	\$	34.92	\$	181.13
s	0.0076	s	0.0076	s	0.0076	s	0.0076	s	0.0076	s	0.0076				
\$ \$	7.84	ŝ	4.35	ŝ	3.19	ŝ	2.60	ŝ	4.71	ŝ	12.23	s	34.92	\$	181.13
														Ť	
\$ \$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-				
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
e	0.0124	s	0 0124	s	0.0124	s	0.0124	s	0.0124	s	0.0124				
\$	12.79	ŝ	7.09	ŝ	5.20	ŝ	4.25	ŝ	7.68	ŝ	19.96	s	56.97	\$	295.52
э	12.79	\$	7.09	þ	5.20	э	4.25	Þ	7.08	\$	19.90	2	50.97	э	295.52
\$	0.0044	\$	0.0111	\$	0.0111	\$	0.0111	\$	0.0111	\$	0.0111				
\$	4.54	\$	6.35	\$	4.66	\$	3.80	\$	6.88	\$	17.87	\$	44.09	\$	128.74
\$ \$	0.0203	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203	\$	0.0203				
\$	20.94	\$	11.61	\$	8.52	\$	6.96	\$	12.57	\$	32.68	\$	93.27	\$	483.80
\$	0.0890	s	0.0957	s	0.0957	s	0.0957	s	0.0957	s	0.0957				
ŝ	91.89	š	54.81	š	40.19	š	32.82	š	59.34	š	154.21	s	433.27	ŝ	2.136.14
~	01.00	~	54.01	Ŷ	10.10	Ŷ	-1.01	Ŷ	50.04	-			. 50.21		2,.00.14

	LDAC Bill Impact : Commercial/Industrial Sales Cus	ome	rs and Comme														
47																	
48		1	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24		Nov-Apr							
49	Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	4.70 \$	6.51 \$	9.18 \$	8.80 \$	6.83 \$	4.17	\$	40.20							
50	Energy Efficiency %		4.3%	4.3%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%		4.8%							
51	Total Environmental	\$	(13.69) \$	(18.94) \$	(22.47) \$	(21.52) \$	(16.72) \$	(10.21)	\$	(103.54)							
52	Environmental %		70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%		-70.8%							
53	RDAF amount	\$	45.01 \$	62.28 \$	73.89 \$	70.77 \$	54.97 \$	33.59	\$	340.51							
54	RDAF %		4501%														
55	PTAM amount	s	8.39 \$	11.61 \$	13.78 \$	13.19 \$	10.25 \$	6.26	s	63.48							
56	PTAM %		26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	26.6%	Ľ	26.6%							
57	RCE Amount	s	(12.21) \$	(16.89) \$	(20.04) \$	(19.19) \$	(14.91) \$	(9.11)	s	(92.34)							
58	RCE %		-109.1%	-109.1%	-109.1%	-109.1%	-109.1%	-109.1%	Ľ	-109.1%							
59	GAP Amount	s	(27.97) \$	(38.71) \$	(45.92) \$	(43.98) \$	(34.16) \$	(20.87)	s	(211.62)							
	GAP %	Ť	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%	-54.2%		-54.2%							
61	Total LDAC Amount	s	4.24 \$	5.87 \$	8.42 \$	8.07 \$	6.27 \$	3.83	s	36.70							
	Total LDAC %	Ŧ	1.9%	1.9%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%		2.2%							

	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24		Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
ŝ	2.27 \$		0.92 \$		1.36 \$	3.54	s	10.11	\$ 50.31
	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%		5.0%	4.89
\$	(5.55) \$	(3.08) \$	(2.26) \$	(1.84) \$	(3.33) \$	(8.66)	\$	(24.73)	\$ (128.27
	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%		-70.8%	-70.8
\$	18.25 \$	10.13 \$	7.43 \$	6.06 \$	10.96 \$	28.49	\$	81.33	\$ 421.84
\$	3.40 \$ 26.6%	1.89 \$ 26.6%	1.38 \$ 26.6%	1.13 \$ 26.6%	2.04 \$ 26.6%	5.31 26.6%		15.16 26.6%	78.65 26.69
\$	(4.95) \$ -109.1%	(6.58) \$ -103.6%	(4.82) \$ -103.6%	(3.94) \$ -103.6%	(7.12) \$ -103.6%	(18.51) -103.6%		(45.93) -104.2%	(138.27 -107.49
\$	(11.34) \$ -54.2%	(6.29) \$ -54.2%	(4.61) \$ -54.2%	(3.77) \$ -54.2%	(6.81) \$ -54.2%	(17.71) -54.2%		(50.54) -54.2%	(262.16 -54.2
\$	2.08 \$ 2.3%	(2.68) \$ -4.9%	(1.96) \$ -4.9%	(1.60) \$ -4.9%	(2.90) \$ -4.9%	(7.54) -4.9%	\$	(14.60)	\$ 22.10 1.0 <sup>4</sup>

#### Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty LDAC 2023-2024 Filing LDAC Bill Comparisons, Proposed LDAC Rates November 1, 2023 – October 31, 2024 vs. Current LDAC Rates

Schedule 8 Page 4 of 4

3 4			Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24		Mar-24		Apr-24	1	Winter Nov-Apr
5 Typic	cal Usage (Therms)		1,907		2,294		2,692		2,308		2,175		1,933		13,309
	gy Efficiency gy Efficiency Amount	\$ \$	0.0444 84.68		0.0444 101.83	\$ \$	0.0466 125.44	\$	0.0466 107.55	\$ \$	0.0466 101.38		0.0466 90.08	\$	610.96
8 Gas I 9 Gas I	Holder Holder Amount	s s	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-								
0 MGP 1 MGP	Amount	\$ \$	0.0022 4.23		0.0022 5.0871	\$ \$	0.0022 5.9706		0.0022 5.1190	\$ \$	0.0022 4.8252		0.0022 4.2874	\$	29.52
	ronmental I Environmental	\$ \$	0.0022 4.23		0.0022 5.09	\$ \$	0.0022 5.97		0.0022 5.12		0.0022 4.83		0.0022 4.29	\$	29.52
4 RDAI	F F amount	\$ \$	0.0177 33.76		0.0177 40.60	\$ \$	0.0177 47.65		0.0177 40.85		0.0177 38.51		0.0177 34.21	\$	235.57
6 PTAN 7 PTAN	M M amount	\$ \$	0.0157 29.94		0.0157 36.01	\$ \$	0.0157 42.26		0.0157 36.23		0.0157 34.15		0.0157 30.35	\$	208.95
B RCE RCE	Amount	\$ \$	(0.0004) (0.76)		(0.0004) (0.92)		(0.0004) (1.08)		(0.0004) (0.92)		(0.0004) (0.87)		(0.0004) (0.77)	\$	(5.32
GAP 1 GAP	Amount	\$ \$	0.0093 17.74		0.0093 21.33	\$ \$	0.0093 25.03		0.0093 21.46		0.0093 20.23		0.0093 17.98	\$	123.78
2 LDAC 3 LDAC	C C Amount	s s	0.0889 169.59		0.0889 203.94	\$ \$	0.0911 245.28	\$ \$	0.0911 210.30	\$ \$	0.0911 198.22		0.0911 176.13	\$	1,203.46

	May-24		Jun-24		Jul-24		Aug-24		Sep-24		Oct-24	Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct
	1,482		1,317		1,269		1,323		1,295		1,611	8,298	21,607
\$	0.0466 69.07		0.0466 61.40		0.0466 59.13		0.0466 61.66		0.0466 60.35		0.0466 75.08	\$ 386.68	\$ 997.65
\$ \$	:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	:	\$ \$	:	\$ -	\$ -
\$ \$	0.0022 3.2874	\$ \$	0.0022 2.9222	\$ \$	0.0022 2.8142	\$ \$	0.0022 2.9350	\$ \$	0.0022 2.8725	\$ \$	0.0022 3.5736	\$ 18.40	\$ 47.92
\$ \$	0.0022 3.29	\$ \$	0.0022 2.92	\$ \$	0.0022 2.81	\$ \$	0.0022 2.94	\$ \$	0.0022 2.87	\$ \$	0.0022 3.57	\$ 18.40	\$ 47.92
\$ \$	0.0177 26.23	\$ \$	0.0177 23.32	\$ \$	0.0177 22.46	\$ \$	0.0177 23.42	\$ \$	0.0177 22.92	\$ \$	0.0177 28.52	\$ 146.87	\$ 382.45
\$ \$	0.0157 23.27	\$ \$	0.0157 20.68	\$ \$	0.0157 19.92	\$ \$	0.0157 20.78	\$ \$	0.0157 20.33		0.0157 25.30	\$ 130.28	\$ 339.23
\$ \$	(0.0004) (0.59)		(0.0004) (0.53)		(0.0004) (0.51)		(0.0004) (0.53)		(0.0004) (0.52)		(0.0004) (0.64)	\$ (3.32)	\$ (8.64)
\$ \$	0.0093 13.78		0.0093 12.25	\$ \$	0.0093 11.80	\$ \$	0.0093 12.31	\$ \$	0.0093 12.04	\$ \$	0.0093 14.98	\$ 77.17	\$ 200.95
\$ \$	0.0911 135.05	\$ \$	0.0911 120.05	\$ \$	0.0911 115.61	\$ \$	0.0911 120.57	\$ \$	0.0911 118.01	\$ \$	0.0911 146.81	\$ 756.09	\$ 1,959.55

6		Nov-22		Dec-22		Jan-23		Feb-23		Mar-23		Apr-23	Winter Nov-Apr
8 Typical Usage (Therms)		1,907		2,294		2,692		2,308		2,175		1,933	13,309
9 Energy Efficiency	s	0.0426	s	0.0426	s	0.0444	ŝ	0.0444	s	0.0444	s	0.0444	
0 Energy Efficiency Amount	\$	81.16	\$	97.59	\$	119.52	\$	102.47	\$	96.59	\$	85.83	\$ 583.1
1 Gas Holder	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		
2 Gas Holder Amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
3 MGP	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	
MGP Amount	\$	14.4955	\$	17.4308	\$	20.4583	\$	17.5405	\$	16.5336	\$	14.6909	\$ 101.1
Environmental	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	\$	0.0076	
5 Total Environmental	\$	14.50	\$	17.43	\$	20.46	\$	17.54	\$	16.53	\$	14.69	\$ 101.1
7 RDAF	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
BRDAF amount	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
PTAM	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	\$	0.0124	
PTAM amount	\$	23.65	\$	28.44	\$	33.38	\$	28.62	\$	26.98	\$	23.97	\$ 165.0
RCE	\$	0.0044		0.0044		0.0044			\$	0.0044		0.0044	
2 RCE Amount	\$	8.39	\$	10.09	\$	11.84	\$	10.16	\$	9.57	\$	8.51	\$ 58.5
3 GAP	\$	0.0203		0.0203		0.0203		0.0203	\$	0.0203		0.0203	
GAP Amount	\$	38.72	\$	46.56	\$	54.65	\$	46.85	\$	44.16	\$	39.24	\$ 270.1
5 Total LDAC	\$	0.0872		0.0872		0.0890			\$	0.0890		0.0890	
5 Total LDAC Amount	\$	166.22	\$	199.88	\$	239.58	\$	205.41	\$	193.62	\$	172.04	\$ 1,176.7
LDAC Bill Impact : Commercial/Industria	Sales Custom	ers and Co	mme	rcial/Industrial T	rans	sportation (	Cus	tomers (Rat	te C	odes G-52)			 Water
3		Nov-23		Dec-23		Jan-24		Feb-24		Mar-24		Apr-24	Winter Nov-Apr
0 Energy Efficiency	s	3.53	ŝ	4.24		5.92	\$	5.08	s	4.79	s	4.25	27.8

51         Energy Efficiency Amount         4.3%         5.0		
52 Environmental \$ (10.27) \$ (12.34) \$ (14.49) \$ (12.42) \$ (11.1		4.8%
	مارة المارة	
	1) \$ (10.40)	\$ (71.63)
53 Total Environmental -70.8% -70.8% -70.8% -70.8% -70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%
54 RDAF \$ 33.76 \$ 40.60 \$ 47.65 \$ 40.85 \$ 38.5	1 \$ 34.21	\$ 235.57
56 PTAM         \$         6.29         \$         7.57         \$         8.88         \$         7.62         \$         7.7           57 PTAM amount         26.6%	8 \$ 6.38 % 26.6%	
58         RCE         \$ (9.16)         \$ (11.01)         \$ (12.92)         \$ (11.01)         \$ (10.4)           59         RCE Amount         -109.1%         -109.1%         -109.1%         -109.1%         -109.1%         -109.1%		
60         GAP         \$ (20.98)         \$ (25.23)         \$ (29.61)         \$ (25.39)         \$ (23.1)           61         GAP Amount         -54.2%         -54.2		
62 Total LDAC \$ 3.37 \$ 4.05 \$ 5.70 \$ 4.89 \$ 4.6	1 \$ 4.09	\$ 26.72
63 Total LDAC Amount 2.0% 2.4% 2.4% 2.4	% 2.4%	2.3%

May-23		Nay-23 Jun-23		23 Jun-23 Jul-23 Aug			Aug-23	Sep-23 Oct-23					Summer May–Oct	Total Nov–Oct	
	1,482		1,317		1,269		1,323		1,295		1,611		8,298		21,607
\$ \$	0.0444 65.81	\$ \$	0.0444 58.50	\$ \$	0.0444 56.34	\$ \$		\$ \$	0.0444 57.50		0.0444 71.54	\$	368.43	\$	951.58
\$ \$	:	\$ \$	:	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	s	-	\$	-
\$ \$	0.0076 11.2642	\$ \$	0.0076 10.0129	\$ \$	0.0076 9.6430	\$ \$	0.0076 10.0568	\$ \$	0.0076 9.8427	\$ \$	0.0076 12.2448	s	63.06	\$	164.21
\$ \$	0.0076 11.26	\$ \$	0.0076 10.01	\$ \$	0.0076 9.64	\$ \$	0.0076 10.06	\$ \$	0.0076 9.84	\$ \$	0.0076 12.24	s	63.06	\$	164.21
\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	۰.	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$	-	\$	-
\$ \$	0.0124 18.38	\$ \$	0.0124 16.34	\$ \$	0.0124 15.73	\$ \$	0.0124 16.41	\$ \$	0.0124 16.06	\$ \$	0.0124 19.98	\$	102.89	\$	267.93
\$ \$	0.0044 6.52	\$ \$	0.0111 14.62		0.0111 14.08	\$ \$	0.0111 14.69	\$ \$	0.0111 14.38	\$ \$	0.0111 17.88	\$	82.18	\$	140.74
\$ \$	0.0203 30.09	\$ \$	0.0203 26.75	\$ \$	0.0203 25.76	\$ \$	0.0203 26.86	\$ \$	0.0203 26.29	\$ \$	0.0203 32.71	\$	168.45	\$	438.62
\$ \$	0.0890 131.91	s s	0.0957 126.08	s s	0.0957 121.43	\$ \$	0.0957 126.64	\$ \$	0.0957 123.94	s s	0.0957 154.19	s	784.18	\$	1,960.93

						Summer	Total
May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	May-Oct	Nov-Oct
\$ 3.26	\$ 2.90	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.91	\$ 2.85	\$ 3.54	\$ 18.26	\$ 46.07
5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	4.8%
\$ (7.98)	\$ (7.09)	\$ (6.83)	\$ (7.12)	\$ (6.97)	\$ (8.67)	\$ (44.66)	\$ (116.29)
-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%	-70.8%
\$ 26.23	\$ 23.32	\$ 22.46	\$ 23.42	\$ 22.92	\$ 28.52	\$ 146.87	\$ 382.45
\$ 4.89 26.6%	\$ 4.35 26.6%	\$ 4.19 26.6%	\$ 4.37 26.6%	\$ 4.27 26.6%	5.32 26.6%	27.38 159.7%	71.30 26.61%
\$ (7.11) -109.1%	(15.15) -103.6%	\$ (14.59) -103.6%	\$ (15.22) -103.6%	\$ (14.89) -103.6%	(18.53) -104%	(85.50) -104%	(149.38) -106.14%
\$ (16.30) -54.2%	\$ (14.49) -54.2%	\$ (13.96) -54.2%	\$ (14.56) -54.2%	\$ (14.25) -54.2%	(17.72) -54.2%	(91.28) -325.1%	(237.68) -379%
\$ 3.14	\$ (6.04)	\$ (5.81)	\$	\$ (5.93)	(7.38)		(1.37)
2.4%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-4.8%	-3.6%	-0.1%

### NHPUC NO. 11 GAS LIBERTY UTILITIES

### 34 ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE - MANUFACTURED GAS PLANTS

### Manufactured Gas Plants

Required Annual Environmental Increase	\$500,070
Estimated Ending Balance on October 31, 2023	-\$168,651
Annual Net Increase to Rates	\$331,419
Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/2024 - sales and transportation	184,926,232 therms
MGP Surcharge per therm	\$0.0018 per therm
Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	
Required Annual Environmental Increase	\$35,011
Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/2024 - sales and transportation	184,926,232 therms
Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Surcharge per therm	\$0.0002 per therm
Total Environmental Surcharge	<u>\$0.0020</u> per therm

DATED: August 21, 2023

EFFECTIVE: November 1, 2023

ISSUED BY: <u>/s/Neil Proudman</u> Neil Proudman TITLE: President

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. xxxxxx dated xxxx, in Docket No. DG 23-xxx

### 35 RATE CASE EXPENSE AND RECOUPMENT FACTOR CALCULATION

Description					
Prior Period Recoupment/Rate Case Expense (Over)/Under Collection, including interest as of October 31, 2023	\$	(73,721)			
Rate Case Expense DG 17-048 Rate Case Expense DG 20-105 Rate Case Expense to Recover as of August 31, 2023	\$ \$ \$	-			
Projected Recoupment/Rate Case Expense Recovery Projected Interest Total Projected Recovery, November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024	\$ \$	(73,721) 25,004 (73,721)			
Forecast Throughput (Therms)	184	4,926,232			
RCE Factor (\$/Therm)		(\$0.0004)			

DATED: August 21, 2023

EFFECTIVE: November 1, 2023

ISSUED BY: <u>/s/Neil Proudman</u> Neil Proudman TITLE: President

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 26,xxx dated xxx in Docket No. DG 23-xxx

## 36 LOCAL DISTRIBUTION ADJUSTMENT CHARGE CALCULATION

Local Delivery Adjustment Charge Calc	ulation	Sales	Transportatio	
			Customers	-
Residential Mon Heating Rates - R-1 Energy Efficiency Charge	0.0667			
Demand Side Management Charge	0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)	0.0000	0.0667		
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0002	0.0001		
Anufactured Gas Plants	0.0018			
invironmental Surcharge (ES)	0.0010	0.0020		
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.1041		
roperty Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0157		
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		(0.0004)		
aas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0093		
DAC		0.1974	per therm	
<u> Residential Heating Rates - R-3, R-4, R-6, R-7</u>				
inergy Efficiency Charge	0.0667			
Demand Side Management Charge	0.0000	_		
Conservation Charge (CCx)		0.0667		
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0002			
Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0018	-		
invironmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0020		
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.1041		
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0157		
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		(0.0004)		
Sas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0093		
DAC		0.1974	per therm	
Commercial/Industrial Low Annual Use Rates - G-41, G-51, G-44, G-55 inergy Efficiency Charge	0.0444			
Demand Side Management Charge	0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)		0.0444	0.0444	
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0002			
Vanufactured Gas Plants	0.0018	_		
Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0020	0.0020	
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0177	0.0177	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0157	0.0157	
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Sas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0093	0.0093	_
DAC		0.0887	0.0887	per the
Commercial/Industrial Medium Annual Use Rates - G-42, G-52, G-45, G-5	6			
Energy Efficiency Charge	0.0444			
Demand Side Management Charge	0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)		0.0444	0.0444	
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0002		0.0444	
Anufactured Gas Plants	0.0018			
invironmental Surcharge (ES)	0.0010	0.0020	0.0020	
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0177	0.0177	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0157	0.0157	
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Gas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0093	0.0093	
DAC		0.0887	0.0887	per the
Commercial/Industrial Large Annual Use Rates - G-43, G-53, G-54, G-46.		<u>. G-58</u>		
nergy Efficiency Charge	0.0444			
lemand Side Management Charge	0.0000	0.0444	0.0444	
Conservation Charge (CCx)	0.0000	0.0444	0.0444	
telief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Anaufactured Gas Plants	0.0002			
Nanufactured Gas Plants	0.0018	0.0000	0.0000	
nvironmental Surcharge (ES) Aurous Descuplice à diuctment Factor (PDAE)		0.0020	0.0020	
tevenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0177	0.0177	
roperty Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0157	0.0157	
ate Case Expense Factor (RCEF) as Assistance Program (GAP)		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
as Assistance Moorant USAM		0.0093	0.0093	_
DAC		0.0887	0.0887	per the

DATED:	August 21, 2023	ISSUED BY:	/s/Neil Proudman
			Neil Proudman
EFFECTIVE: 1	November 1, 2023	TITLE:	President

### NHPUC NO. 11 GAS LIBERTY UTILITIES

### 34 ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE - MANUFACTURED GAS PLANTS

Manufactured Gas Plants		
Required Annual Environmental Increase	<del>\$983,056</del>	\$500,070
DG 19-145 Audit adjustment of \$1,024,167 amortized over 3 years, approved by Order-	<mark>\$341,389</mark>	-\$168,651
Estimated Ending Balance on October 31, 20223	<del>\$89,763</del>	\$331,419
Environmental Subtotal	<del>\$1,414,208</del>	
Overall Annual Net Increase to Rates	<del>\$1,414,208</del>	
Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/20234 - sales and transportation	- <del>186,338,561</del>	184,926,232 therms
MGP Surcharge per therm	<u>\$0.0076</u>	\$0.0018 per therm
Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH		
Required Annual Environmental Increase	\$69,514	\$35,011
Estimated weather normalized firm therms billed for the twelve months ended 10/31/20234 - sales and transportation	_ <del>186,338,561</del>	184,926,232 therms
Gasholder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Surcharge per therm	<u>\$0.0004</u>	\$0.0002 per therm
<u>Total Environmental Surcharge <del>(excludes Gas Holder per Order No. 26,692 dated September 29, 2022)</del> -</u>	<u>\$0.0076</u>	\$0.0020 per therm

DATED:February 7, 2023August 21, 2023

ISSUED BY: <u>/s/Neil Proudman</u> Neil Proudman TITLE: President

EFFECTIVE:November 1, 202<u>3</u>2

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. xxxxx dated xxxx, in Docket No. DG 23-xxx Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 26,715 dated October 31, 2022, in Docket No. DG 22-045

### 35 RATE CASE EXPENSE AND RECOUPMENT FACTOR CALCULATION

Description	Total		
Prior Period Recoupment/Rate Case Expense (Over)/Under Collection, including interest as of October 31, 20234	<del>\$ 129,039</del>	\$	(73,721)
Rate Case Expense DG 17-048 Rate Case Expense DG 20-105 Rate Case Expense to Recover as of August 31, 202 <mark>34</mark>	\$ <u>(4,836)</u> <u>\$680,768</u> <u>\$675,932</u>	\$ \$ \$	-
Projected Recoupment/Rate Case Expense Recovery Projected Interest Total Projected Recovery, <del>November 1, 2022 - October 31, 2023</del> November 1, 2023 - October 31, 2024	\$ <u>804,971</u> \$ <u>17,048</u> \$ <u>822,018</u>	\$ \$ \$	(73,721) 29,668 (73,721)
Forecast Throughput (Therms)	<del>186,338,561</del>		184,926,232
RCE Factor (\$/Therm)	- <del>\$0.0044</del>		(\$0.0004)

DATED:February 7<u>August 21</u>, 2023

ISSUED BY: <u>/s/Neil Proudman</u> Neil Proudman TITLE: President

EFFECTIVE: November 1, 2023November 1, 2022

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 26,715 dated October 31, 2022, in Docket No. DG 22-045 Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 26,xxx dated xxx in Docket No. DG 23-xxx

### 36 LOCAL DISTRIBUTION ADJUSTMENT CHARGE CALCULATION

Local Delivery Adjus	stment Char	ge Calcu	lation	Sales	Transportation	
				Customers		•
Residential Non Heating Rates - R-1						
Energy Efficiency Charge			0.0667			
Demand Side Management Charge			0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)				0.0667		
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0000		0.0002			
Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0076		0.0018	_		
Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0076		0.0020		
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0000		0.1041		
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0124		0.0157		
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		0.0111		(0.0004)		
Gas Assistance Program (GAP) LDAC		0.0203	-	0.0093	per therm	
Residential Heating Rates - R-3, R-4, R-6, R-7 Energy Efficiency Charge			0.0667			
			0.0000			
Demand Side Management Charge		-	0.0000	0.0667		
Conservation Charge (CCx) Relief Holder and a condition Street Conserved NH	0.0000		0.0002	0.0661		
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0076		0.0002			
vianuractured Gas Plants Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0076	0.0010	0.0020		
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0000		0.1041		
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF) Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0124		0.0157		
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		0.0111		(0.0004)		
Gas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0203		0.0093		
LDAC		0.1180	-	0.1974	per therm	
				0.1014		
Commercial/Industrial Low Annual Use Rates - G-41	0.51 0.44	0.55				
Lommercialrindustrial Low Annual Ose Rates - G-41 Energy Efficiency Charge	. G-21. G-44.	<u>G-22</u>	0.0444			
Demand Side Management Charge			0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)				0.0444	0.0444	
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0000		0.0002			
Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0076		0.0018	_		
Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0076		0.0020	0.0020	
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0000		0.0177	0.0177	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0124		0.0157	0.0157	
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		0.0111		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Gas Assistance Program (GAP) LDAC		0.0203	-	0.0093	0.0093 0.0887	per ther
				0.0001	0.0001	per caer
Commercial/Industrial Medium Annual Use Rates - G	-42 6-52 6	-45 G-56				
Energy Efficiency Charge			0.0444			
Demand Side Management Charge			0.0000			
Conservation Charge (CCx)				0.0444	0.0444	
Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0000		0.0002			
Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0076		0.0018			
Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0076		0.0020	0.0020	
Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0000		0.0177	0.0177	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)		0.0124		0.0157	0.0157	
Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		0.0111		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Gas Assistance Program (GAP)		0.0203	-	0.0093	0.0093	
LDAC		0.0957		0.0887	0.0887	per there
Commercial/Industrial Large Annual Use Rates - G-4 Energy Efficiency Charge	3. G-53. G-54	4. G-46. (	G-56. G-5 0.0444	7. G-58		
Energy Erriciency Unarge Demand Side Management Charge			0.0000			
Demand Side Ivianagement Charge Conservation Charge (CCx)			0.0000	0.0444	0.0444	
Conservation Charge (CCX) Relief Holder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH	0.0000		0.0002	0.0444	0.0444	
Relier nolder and pond at Gas Street, Concord, NH Manufactured Gas Plants	0.0076		0.0002			
Manuractured Gas Mants Environmental Surcharge (ES)		0.0076	0.0010	0.0020	0.0020	
nvironmental Surcharge (ES) Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Factor (RDAF)		0.0000		0.0020	0.0020	
Serving Decoupling Adjustment Factor INDAFT		0.0124		0.0157	0.0157	
				0.0151	0.0151	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)					(0.0004)	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM) Rate Case Expense Factor (RCEF)		0.0111		(0.0004)	(0.0004)	
Property Tax Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM) Rate Cose Expense Factor (RCEF) Gas Assistance Program (GAP) LDAC			-		(0.0004) 0.0093 0.0887	per there

DATED:May 15, 2023August 21, 2023		/s/Neil Proudman
EFFECTIVE: June 1, 2023November 1, 2023	TITLE:	Neil Proudman President

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 26,808 dated April 28, 2023, in Docket No. DG 20-10523-xxx